SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

	by the Registrant [X] by a Party other than the Registrant []
Check	the appropriate box:
[]	Preliminary Proxy Statement Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
[] [x] []	Definitive Proxy Statement Definitive Additional Materials Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 240.14a-11(c) or Rule 240.14a-12
	KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY (NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)
Payme	nt of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
[]	\$125 per Exchange Act Rules 0-11(c)(1)(ii), 14a-6(i)(1), 14a-6(i)(2) or Item 22(a)(2) of Schedule 14A.
[]	\$500 per each party to the controversy pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 14a-6(i)(3).
[]	Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
	(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
	(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11:
	(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
	(5) Total fee paid:
[X]	Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
[]	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
	(1) Amount Previously Paid:
	(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
	(3) Filing Party:
	(4) Date Filed:
	####
[Form of letter sent to various analysts/portfolio managers commencing July 23, 1996]	

[date]

[inside address]

Dear [name]:

Kansas City Power & Light Company is pleased to note that David Parker of Robert W. Baird & Co Inc. recently changed his recommendation and now backs the KCPL/UtiliCorp merger. In a First Call report dated July 19, 1996, Mr. Parker raises some of the same concerns we have regarding Western's sustainable

earnings and dividend levels and therefore, its stock price.

In particular, Mr. Parker said, "...the long and bumpy road [Western Resources'] merger would face raises significant uncertainties concerning the true value of WR's bid. If shareholders do not approve a UCU/KLT merger, we do not believe the KLT board will support a combination with WR, keeping the tone of the courting relationship very unfriendly. Since friendly utility combinations are moving at a snails pace, we expect an unfriendly merger to move at a glacial speed."

Thank you again for taking time recently to discuss with us the strategic benefits of the KCPL/UCU merger. If you need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

/s/David Myers

David Myers Manager, Investor Relations KCPL (816) 556-2312