UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10

GENERAL FORM FOR REGISTRATION OF SECURITIES

Pursuant to Section 12(b) or (g) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Exact name of registrant as specified in charter,
State of incorporation, address of principal

File Number

Exact name of registrant as specified in charter,
state of incorporation, address of principal

I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

44-0308720

(A Missouri Corporation) 1201 Walnut Street Kansas City, Missouri 64106 (816) 556-2200 www.kcpl.com

Securities to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None.

Securities to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

1-707

Common Stock without par value

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
		Number
	Cautionary Statements Regarding Forward-Looking Information	3
	Glossary of Terms	4
Item 1	Business	6
Item 1A	Risk Factors	11
Item 2	Financial Information	16
Item 3	Properties	34
Item 4	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	35
Item 5	Directors and Executive Officers	36
Item 6	Executive Compensation	38
Item 7	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	47
Item 8	Legal Proceedings	47
Item 9	Market Price of and Dividends on the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	48
Item 10	Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities	49
Item 11	Description of Registrant's Securities to be Registered	49
Item 12	Indemnification of Directors and Officers	50
Item 13	Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	
	Consolidated Statements of Income	54
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	55
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	57
	Consolidated Statements of Common Shareholder's Equity	58
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	59
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	60
	Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves	101
Item 14	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	102
Item 15	Financial Statements and Exhibits	102

This registration statement should be read in its entirety. No one section of the registration statement deals with all aspects of the subject matter.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS REGARDING CERTAIN FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Statements made in this report that are not based on historical facts are forward-looking, may involve risks and uncertainties, and are intended to be as of the date when made. In connection with the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Company is providing a number of important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the provided forward-looking information. These important factors include:

- · future economic conditions in the regional, national and international markets, including but not limited to regional and national wholesale electricity markets
- · market perception of the energy industry and the Company
- · changes in business strategy, operations or development plans
- · effects of current or proposed state and federal legislative and regulatory actions or developments, including, but not limited to, deregulation, reregulation and restructuring of the electric utility industry
- · adverse changes in applicable laws, regulations, rules, principles or practices governing tax, accounting and environmental matters including, but not limited to, air quality
- · financial market conditions and performance including, but not limited to, changes in interest rates and in availability and cost of capital and the effects on the Company's pension plan assets and costs
- · credit ratings
- · inflation rates
- · effectiveness of risk management policies and procedures and the ability of counterparties to satisfy their contractual commitments
- · impact of terrorist acts
- · increased competition including, but not limited to, retail choice in the electric utility industry and the entry of new competitors
- · ability to carry out marketing and sales plans
- · weather conditions including weather-related damage
- · cost, availability, quality and deliverability of fuel
- · ability to achieve generation planning goals and the occurrence and duration of unplanned generation outages
- \cdot delays in the anticipated in-service dates of additional generating capacity
- · nuclear operations and
- · other risks and uncertainties.

This list of factors is not all-inclusive because it is not possible to predict all factors. Item 1A. Risk Factors included in this report should be carefully read for further understanding of potential risks to the Company. Other sections of this report and other periodic reports filed by the Company with the SEC should also be read for more information regarding risk factors.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The following is a glossary of frequently used abbreviations or acronyms that are found throughout this report.

Abbreviation or Acronym

Definition

ARO Asset Retirement Obligation
BART Best available retrofit technology
CAIR Clean Air Interstate Rule
CAMR Clean Air Mercury Rule

Clean Air Act Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990

CO₂ Carbon Dioxide

Company KCP&L and its wholly owned subsidiaries

DOE Department of Energy

EBITDA Earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization

EEI Edison Electric Institute

EIRR Environmental Improvement Revenue Refunding

EPA Environmental Protection Agency
 FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board
 FERC The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
 FIN Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation

GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

Great Plains Energy Great Plains Energy Incorporated

HSS Home Service Solutions Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of KCP&L

ISO Independent System Operator

KCC The State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas

KCP&L Kansas City Power & Light Company, a wholly owned subsidiary

of Great Plains Energy

KW Kilowatt **kWh** Kilowatt hour

MAC Material Adverse Change

MD&A Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and

Results of Operations

MPSC Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

MW Megawatt
MWh Megawatt hour

NEIL Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited

NO_x Nitrogen Oxide

NPNS Normal Purchases and Normal Sales
NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission
OCI Other Comprehensive Income

PRB Powder River Basin

PURPA Public Utility Regulatory Policy Act

Receivables Company Kansas City Power & Light Receivables Company, a wholly owned

subsidiary of KCP&L

RTO Regional Transmission Organization

Abbreviation or Acronym

Definition

SECSecurities and Exchange CommissionServicesGreat Plains Energy Services IncorporatedSFASStatement of Financial Accounting Standards

SO₂ Sulfur Dioxide

SPP Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

T - Lock Treasury Lock

Union Pacific Union Pacific Railroad Company

WCNOC Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation

Wolf Creek Generating Station

Worry Free Service, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of HSS

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

The terms "Company" and "KCP&L" are used throughout this report. "KCP&L" refers to Kansas City Power & Light Company, and the "Company" refers to KCP&L and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Information in other Items of this report as to which reference is made in this Item 1. is hereby incorporated by reference in this Item 1. The use of terms such as see or refer to shall be deemed to incorporate into this Item 1. the information to which such reference is made.

THE COMPANY

KCP&L, a Missouri corporation incorporated in 1922, is an integrated, regulated electric utility, which provides electricity to customers primarily in the states of Missouri and Kansas. The Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Home Service Solutions Inc. (HSS), sold its wholly owned subsidiary Worry Free Service, Inc. (Worry Free) in February 2005 and completed the disposition of its interest in R.S. Andrews Enterprises, Inc. (RSAE) in June 2003. After these sales, HSS has no active operations.

All of KCP&L's common stock is owned by Great Plains Energy Incorporated (Great Plains Energy), a Missouri corporation headquartered in Kansas City, Missouri. Great Plains Energy is a public utility holding company and does not own any significant assets other than the stock of its subsidiaries. In addition to KCP&L, Great Plains Energy owns subsidiaries which, among other things, provide competitive retail electricity supply services in several electricity markets offering retail choice and hold investments in affordable housing limited partnerships.

Business Segments

The Company's sole reportable business segment is KCP&L. For information regarding the revenues, income and assets attributable to the Company's reportable business segment, see Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements. Comparative financial information and discussion regarding KCP&L's reportable business segment can be found in Item 2. Financial Information, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A).

KCP&L

KCP&L, headquartered in Kansas City, Missouri, engages in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity. KCP&L serves approximately 500,000 customers located in all or portions of 24 counties in western Missouri and eastern Kansas. Customers include approximately 440,000 residences, over 55,000 commercial firms, and over 2,200 industrials, municipalities and other electric utilities. KCP&L's retail revenues averaged approximately 82% of its total operating revenues over the last three years. Wholesale firm power, bulk power sales and miscellaneous electric revenues accounted for the remainder of utility revenues. KCP&L is significantly impacted by seasonality with approximately one-third of its retail revenues recorded in the third quarter.

Capital Program and Financing

For information on KCP&L's capital program and financial needs, see Item 2. Financial Information, MD&A, Capital Requirements and Liquidity section and Notes 17 and 18 to the consolidated financial statements.

Comprehensive Energy Plan

For a discussion of KCP&L's comprehensive energy plan, please refer to the Comprehensive Energy Plan section in Item 2. Financial Information, MD&A and Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements.

Regulation

KCP&L is regulated by the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri (MPSC) and The State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas (KCC) with respect to retail rates, certain accounting matters, standards of service and, in certain cases, the issuance of securities, certification of facilities and service territories. KCP&L is classified as a public utility under the Federal Power Act and accordingly, is subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). By virtue of its 47% ownership interest in Wolf Creek Generating Station (Wolf Creek), KCP&L is subject to regulation by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), with respect to licensing, operations and safety-related requirements.

Missouri jurisdictional retail revenues averaged 57% of KCP&L's total retail revenue over the last three years. Kansas jurisdictional retail revenues averaged 43% of KCP&L's total retail revenue over the last three years. See Item 2. Financial Information, MD&A, Critical Accounting Policies section and Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information concerning regulatory matters.

Missouri and Kansas Rate Case Filings

In February 2006, KCP&L filed rate cases with the MPSC and the KCC. For information on these rate cases, see Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements for additional discussion of KCP&L's comprehensive energy plan.

Southwest Power Pool Regional Transmission Organization

Under FERC Order 2000, KCP&L, as an investor-owned utility, is strongly encouraged to join a FERC approved RTO. See Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements for further information. On March 20, 2006, FERC issued an order rejecting in part, conditionally accepting in part and suspending the start of the SPP energy imbalance market for five months from the requested date of May 1, 2006. Guidance was provided on several issues considered critical to the successful implementation of this stage of the market. KCP&L will continue preparation for this new start-up date.

Competition

Missouri and Kansas continue on the fully integrated utility model and no legislation authorizing retail choice has been introduced in Missouri or Kansas for several years. As a result, KCP&L does not compete with others to supply and deliver electricity in its franchised service territory, although other sources of energy can provide alternatives to KCP&L's customers. If Missouri or Kansas were to pass and implement legislation authorizing or mandating retail choice, KCP&L may no longer be able to apply regulated utility accounting principles to deregulated portions of its operations and may be required to write off certain regulatory assets and liabilities.

KCP&L does compete in the wholesale market to sell power in circumstances when power generated is not required for customers in its service territory. KCP&L competes in this regard with other owners of generating stations, principally utilities in its region, on the basis of availability and price. In recent years these wholesale sales have been an important source of revenues to KCP&L.

Power Supply

KCP&L is a member of the Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (SPP) reliability region. As one of the ten regional members of the North American Electric Reliability Council, SPP is responsible for maintaining reliability in its area through coordination of planning and operations. As a member of the SPP, KCP&L is required to maintain a capacity margin of at least 12% of its projected peak summer demand. This net positive supply of capacity and energy is maintained through its generation assets and capacity, power purchase agreements and peak demand reduction programs. The capacity margin is designed to ensure the reliability of electric energy in the SPP region in the event of operational failure of power generating units utilized by the members of the SPP.

KCP&L's maximum system net hourly summer peak load of 3,610 MW occurred on August 21, 2003. The maximum winter peak load of 2,563 MW occurred on December 7, 2005. During 2005, the summer peak load was 3,512 MW. The projected peak summer demand for 2006 is 3,595 MW. KCP&L expects to meet its projected capacity requirements for the years 2006 through 2009 with its generation assets and through short-term capacity purchases, additional demand-side management and efficiency programs and the addition of wind generation. As part of its comprehensive energy plan, KCP&L expects to have Iatan No. 2 in service in 2010.

Fuel

The principal sources of fuel for KCP&L's electric generation are coal and nuclear fuel. KCP&L expects, with normal weather, to satisfy approximately 98% of its 2006 fuel requirements from these sources with the remainder provided by natural gas and oil. The actual 2005 and estimated 2006 fuel mix and delivered cost in cents per net kWh generated are in the following table.

	Fuel M	ix ^(a)		el cost in cents per t kWh generated	
Fuel	Estimated 2006	Actual 2005	Estimated 2006	Actual 2005	
Coal	77%	77%	1.24	1.01	
Nuclear	21	21	0.44	0.44	
Natural gas and oil	2	2	11.15	8.29	
Total Generation	100%	100%	1.22	1.06	

⁽a) Fuel mix based on percent of total MWhs generated.

Less than 1% of KCP&L's rates contain an automatic fuel adjustment clause. Consequently, to the extent the price of coal, coal transportation, nuclear fuel, nuclear fuel processing, natural gas or purchased power increase significantly after the expiration of the contracts described in this section, or if KCP&L's lower fuel cost units do not meet anticipated availability levels, KCP&L's net income may be adversely affected until the increased cost could be reflected in rates.

Coal

During 2006, KCP&L's generating units, including jointly owned units, are projected to burn approximately 13.5 million tons of coal. KCP&L has entered into coal-purchase contracts with various suppliers in Wyoming's Powder River Basin (PRB), the nation's principal supply region of low-sulfur coal, and with local suppliers. These contracts will satisfy all projected coal requirements for 2006 and 2007 and 84%, 35% and 22% respectively, for 2008 through 2010. The remainder of KCP&L's coal requirements will be fulfilled through additional contracts or spot market purchases. KCP&L has entered into its coal contracts over time at higher average prices affecting coal costs for 2006 and beyond.

KCP&L has also entered into rail transportation contracts with various railroads for moving coal from the PRB to its generating units. These contracts will satisfy approximately all of the projected requirements for 2006 and 2007 and 98%, 78% and 77%, respectively, for 2008 through 2010; however, KCP&L has been experiencing coal delivery issues. Coal transportation costs are expected to increase in 2006 and beyond. See Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements regarding a rate complaint case against Union Pacific Railroad Company. See Item 2. Financial Information, MD&A, Business Overview for additional information.

Nuclear Fuel

KCP&L owns 47% of Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation (WCNOC), the operating company for Wolf Creek, its only nuclear generating unit. Wolf Creek purchases uranium and has it processed for

use as fuel in its reactor. This is a three step process that involves conversion of uranium concentrates to uranium hexafluoride, enrichment of uranium hexafluoride and fabrication of nuclear fuel assemblies. The owners of Wolf Creek have on hand or under contract 100% of the uranium and conversion services needed to operate Wolf Creek through September 2009. The owners also have under contract 100% of the uranium enrichment required to operate Wolf Creek through March 2008. Fabrication requirements are under contract through 2024. Letters of intent have been issued with suppliers for a substantial portion of Wolf Creek's uranium, conversion and enrichment requirements extending through at least 2017.

All uranium, uranium conversion and uranium enrichment arrangements, as well as the fabrication agreement, have been entered into in the ordinary course of business. However, contraction and consolidation among suppliers of these commodities and services, coupled with increasing worldwide demand and past inventory drawdowns, have introduced some uncertainty as to Wolf Creek's ability to replace some of these contracts in the event of a protracted supply disruption. Management believes this potential problem is common to the nuclear industry. Accordingly, in the event the affected contracts were required to be replaced, KCP&L's and Wolf Creek's management believe the industry and government would work together to minimize disruption of the nuclear industry's operations, including Wolf Creek's operations.

See Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding nuclear plant.

Natural Gas

KCP&L is projecting decreased use of natural gas during 2006 as a result of KCP&L's projected normal summer weather and fewer plant outages in 2006. KCP&L has hedged approximately 45% of its 2006 projected natural gas usage for generation requirements to serve retail load and firm MWh sales.

Purchased Power

At times, KCP&L purchases power to meet its customers' needs. Management believes KCP&L will be able to obtain enough power to meet its future demands due to the coordination of planning and operations in the SPP region; however, price and availability of power purchases may be impacted during periods of high demand. KCP&L's purchased power, as a percent of MWh requirements, averaged approximately 5% for 2005, 2004 and 2003.

Environmental Matters

KCP&L's operations are subject to regulation by federal, state and local authorities with regard to air and other environmental matters. The generation and transmission of electricity produces and requires disposal of certain hazardous products that are subject to these laws and regulations. In addition to imposing continuing compliance obligations, these laws and regulations authorize the imposition of substantial penalties for noncompliance, including fines, injunctive relief and other sanctions. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on KCP&L.

KCP&L operates in an environmentally responsible manner and seeks to use current technology to avoid and treat contamination. KCP&L regularly conducts environmental audits designed to ensure compliance with governmental regulations and to detect contamination. Environmental-related legislation is continuously introduced in Congress. Such legislation typically includes various compliance dates and compliance limits. Such legislation could have the potential for a significant financial impact on KCP&L, including the installation of new pollution control equipment to achieve compliance. However, KCP&L would seek recovery of capital costs and expenses for such compliance through rates. KCP&L will continue to monitor proposed legislation. See Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding environmental matters.

EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2005, the Company had 2,078 employees, including 1,335 represented by three local unions of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW). KCP&L has labor agreements with Local 1613, representing clerical employees (expires March 31, 2008), with Local 1464, representing transmission and distribution workers (expires January 31, 2009), and with Local 412, representing power plant workers (expires February 28, 2007).

All of the individuals in the following table have been officers or employees in a responsible position with the Company for the past five years except as noted in the footnotes. The term of office of each officer commences with his or her appointment by the Board of Directors and ends at such time as the Board of Directors may determine. There are no family relationships between any of the executive officers, nor any arrangement or understanding between any executive officer and any other person involved in officer selection.

Officers of KCP&L

Name	Age	Current Position(s)	Year First Assumed An Officer Position
Michael J. Chesser ^{(a)*}	57	Chairman of the Board	2003
William H. Downey (b)*	61	President and Chief Executive Officer	2000
Terry Bassham (c)*	45	Chief Financial Officer	2005
Lora C. Cheatum (d)*	49	Vice President, Administrative Services	2005
Michael W. Cline (e)	44	Treasurer	2003
F. Dana Crawford (f)*	55	Vice President, Plant Operations	2005
Barbara B. Curry (g)*	51	Secretary	2005
Stephen T. Easley (h)*	50	Senior Vice President, Supply	2000
Mark G. English (i)	54	Assistant Secretary	2003
Chris B. Giles (j)*	52	Vice President, Regulatory Affairs	2005
William P. Herdegen III ^{(k)*}	51	Vice President, Customer Operations	2001
John R. Marshall (1)*	56	Senior Vice President, Delivery	2005
William G. Riggins (m)*	47	Vice President, Legal and Environmental Affairs and General Counsel	2000
Marvin L. Rollison ⁽ⁿ⁾	53	Vice President, Corporate Culture and Community Strategy	2005
Richard A. Spring*	51	Vice President, Transmission	1994
Lori A. Wright ^{(o)*}	43	Controller	2002

* Designated an executive officer.

(a) Mr. Chesser is also Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer - Great Plains Energy. He was previously Chief Executive Officer of United Water (2002-2003) and President and Chief Executive Officer of GPU Energy (2000-2002).

(b) Mr. Downey is also President and Chief Operating Officer - Great Plains Energy. He was previously Executive Vice President of Great Plains Energy (2001- 2003) and Executive Vice President of KCP&L (2000- 2002) and President - KCP&L Delivery Division (2000-2002).

(c) Mr. Bassham is also Executive Vice President, Finance and Strategic Development and Chief Financial Officer - Great Plains Energy. He was previously Executive Vice President, Chief Financial and

Administrative Officer (2001-2005) and Executive Vice President and General Counsel (2000-2001) of El Paso Electric Company.

Ms. Cheatum was previously Interim Vice President, Human Resources (2004-2005) and Director, Human Resources (2001-2004) of KCP&L, and Regional Human Resources Director (1999-2001) of McLane Distribution, a division of Wal-Mart.

Mr. Cline is also Treasurer and Chief Risk Officer - Great Plains Energy. He was previously Treasurer of Great Plains Energy (2005), Assistant Treasurer of Great Plains Energy and KCP&L (2003-2005), Director, Corporate Finance (2001-2002), and Assistant Treasurer-Corporate Finance of Corning Inc. (2001).

Mr. Crawford was previously Plant Manager (1994-2005) of KCP&L's LaCygne Generating Station.

Ms. Curry is also Senior Vice President, Corporate Services and Corporate Secretary - Great Plains Energy. She was previously Senior Vice President, Retail Operations (2003-2004), Executive Vice President, Global Human Resources (2001-2003) and Executive Vice President, Corporate Services (1997-2001) of TXU

Mr. Easley was previously Vice President, Generation Services (2002-2005), President and CEO of GPP

(2001-2002) and Vice President - Business Development of KCP&L Power Division (2000-2001). He was promoted to Senior Vice President, Supply of KCP&L in March 2005.

Mr. English is also General Counsel and Assistant Secretary - Great Plains Energy. He was previously Corporate Counsel and Assistant Secretary (2003-2005) and Corporate Counsel (2001-2003) of Great Plains Energy, and Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of KLT Inc. (1997-2001).

Mr. Giles was previously Senior Director, Regulatory Affairs and Business Planning (2004-2005) and Director, Regulatory Affairs of KCP&L (1993-2004).

- Mr. Herdegen was Chief Operating Officer of Laramore, Douglass and Popham, an engineering consulting company, (2001) and Vice President and Director of Utilities Practice of System Development Integration, a
- company, (2001) and vice President and Director of Ounties Fractice of System Development Integration, a consulting company, (1999-2001).

 Mr. Marshall was previously President of Coastal Partners, Inc., a strategy consulting company (2001-2005), Senior Vice President, Customer Service of Tennessee Valley Authority (2002-2004), and President of Duquesne Light Company (1999-2001).

Mr. Riggins was previously General Counsel of Great Plains Energy (2000-2005).

Mr. Rollison was previously Supervisor-Engineering (2000-2005).

Ms. Wright is also Controller - Great Plains Energy. She served as Assistant Controller of KCP&L from 2001 until named Controller in 2002 and was Director of Accounting and Reporting of American Electric Power Company, Inc. (2000-2001).

Available Information

KCP&L's website is www.kcpl.com. Information contained on the company's website is not incorporated herein. KCP&L makes available, free of charge, on or through its website, the annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company electronically files such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. In addition, the Company makes available on or through their website all other reports, notifications and certifications filed electronically with the SEC.

KCP&L is not required to file reports with the SEC under Sections 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act); however KCP&L has voluntarily continued to file such reports, including an Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, with the SEC. Upon the effectiveness of the registration on this Form 10 of its common stock without par value (common stock) under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act, KCP&L will be required to file periodic reports under Section 13(a) of the Exchange

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Actual results in future periods for the Company could differ materially from historical results and the forward-looking statements contained in this report. Factors that might cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed below. The Company's business is influenced by many factors that are difficult to predict, involve uncertainties that may materially affect

actual results, and are often beyond the Company's control. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known or that the Company's management currently believes to be immaterial may also adversely affect the Company. The risk factors described below, as well as the other information included in this registration statement and in the other documents filed with the SEC, should be carefully considered before making an investment in the Company's securities.

KCP&L has Regulatory Risks

KCP&L is subject to extensive federal and state regulation, as described below. Failure to obtain adequate rates or regulatory approvals, in a timely manner, adoption of new regulations by federal or state agencies, or changes to current regulations and interpretations of such regulations may materially affect the Company's business and its results of operations and financial position. The Energy Policy Act of 2005 repealed the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended, and provided certain utility customer protection authority to FERC and the states. The Energy Policy Act of 2005, among other things, also requires FERC to perform a study of competition in wholesale and retail electricity markets and authorizes the creation of an Electric Reliability Organization (ERO) to establish and enforce mandatory reliability standards subject to FERC oversight. The final rule for ERO development and processes for insuring reliable grid operations was issued in February 2006. Management has not yet determined the impact of this final rule. FERC is in the process of establishing rules implementing the Energy Policy Act of 2005, and there is the risk that the rules may adversely affect operations, the results of operations and financial condition of the Company.

KCP&L is regulated by the MPSC and KCC with respect to retail rates, certain accounting matters, standards of service and, in certain cases, the issuance of securities and certification of facilities and service territories. Failure to obtain adequate and timely rate relief may adversely affect KCP&L's results of operations and financial condition. KCP&L is also subject to regulation by FERC with respect to the issuance of short-term debt, wholesale electricity sales and transmission matters and the NRC as to nuclear operations.

The Company has Financial Market and Ratings Risks

The Company and Great Plains Energy, which is KCP&L's source of equity capital, rely on access to both short-term money markets and longer-term capital markets as a significant source of liquidity for capital requirements not satisfied by cash flows from operations. KCP&L's capital requirements are expected to increase substantially over the next several years as it implements the generation and environmental projects in its comprehensive energy plan. The Company's management believes that it and Great Plains Energy will maintain sufficient access to these financial markets at a reasonable cost based upon current credit ratings and market conditions. However, changes in market conditions or credit ratings could adversely affect each of their ability to access financial markets at a reasonable cost, impact the rate treatment provided KCP&L, or both, and therefore materially affect its results of operations and financial position.

KCP&L and certain of its securities are rated by Moody's Investors Service and Standard & Poor's. These ratings impact KCP&L's cost of funds.

The Company's Financial Statements Reflect the Application of Critical Accounting Policies

The application of the Company's critical accounting policies reflects complex judgments and estimates. These policies include industry-specific accounting applicable to regulated public utilities, accounting for pensions and long-lived and intangible assets. The adoption of new Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) or changes to current accounting policies or interpretations of such policies may materially affect the Company's results of operations and financial position.

KCP&L is Subject to Environmental Laws and the Incurrence of Environmental Liabilities

KCP&L is subject to regulation by federal, state and local authorities with regard to air and other environmental matters. The generation, transmission and distribution of electricity produces and requires disposal of certain hazardous products, which are subject to these laws and regulations. In addition to imposing continuing compliance obligations, these laws and regulations authorize the imposition of substantial penalties for noncompliance, including fines, injunctive relief and other sanctions. KCP&L regularly conducts environmental audits designed to ensure compliance with governmental regulations and to detect contamination. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on KCP&L's results of operations and financial position.

New environmental laws and regulations affecting KCP&L's operations may be adopted, and new interpretations of existing laws and regulations could be adopted or become applicable to KCP&L or its facilities, which may substantially increase its environmental expenditures in the future. New facilities, or modifications of existing facilities, may require new environmental permits or amendments to existing permits. Delays in the environmental permitting process, denials of permit applications or conditions imposed in permits may materially affect the cost and timing of the generation and environmental retrofit projects included in the comprehensive energy plan, among other projects, and thus materially affect KCP&L's results of operations and financial position. In addition, KCP&L may not be able to recover all of its costs for environmental expenditures through rates in the future. Under current law, KCP&L is also generally responsible for any on-site liabilities associated with the environmental condition of its facilities that it has previously owned or operated, regardless of whether the liabilities arose before, during or after the time it owned or operated the facilities. The incurrence of material environmental costs or liabilities, without related rate recovery, could have a material adverse effect on KCP&L's results of operations and financial position. See Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding environmental matters.

KCP&L is Affected by Demand, Seasonality and Weather

The results of operations of KCP&L can be materially affected by changes in weather and customer demand. KCP&L estimates customer demand based on historical trends, to procure fuel and purchased power. Differences in customer usage from these estimates due to weather or other factors could materially affect KCP&L's results of operations.

Weather conditions directly influence the demand for electricity and natural gas and affect the price of energy commodities. KCP&L is significantly impacted by seasonality with approximately one-third of its retail revenues recorded in the third quarter. In addition, severe weather, including but not limited to tornados, snow, rain and ice storms can be destructive causing outages and property damage that can potentially result in additional expenses and lower revenues. KCP&L's Iatan and Hawthorn stations use water from the Missouri River for cooling purposes. Low water and flow levels, which have been experienced in recent years, can increase KCP&L's maintenance costs at these stations and, if these levels get low enough, could cause KCP&L to modify plant operations.

KCP&L has Commodity Price Risks

KCP&L engages in the wholesale and retail marketing of electricity and, accordingly, is exposed to risks associated with the price of electricity. KCP&L generates, purchases and sells electricity in the retail and wholesale markets.

Fossil Fuel and Transportation Prices Impact KCP&L's Costs

Less than 1% of KCP&L's rates contain an automatic fuel adjustment clause, exposing KCP&L to risk from changes in the market prices of coal and natural gas used to generate power and in the cost of coal and natural gas transportation. Changes in KCP&L's fuel mix due to electricity demand, plant

availability, transportation issues, fuel prices and other factors can also adversely affect KCP&L's fuel costs.

KCP&L does not hedge its entire exposure from fossil fuel and transportation price volatility. As a consequence, its results of operations and financial position may be materially impacted by changes in these prices, until increased costs are recovered in rates.

Wholesale Electricity Prices Affect Costs and Revenues

KCP&L's ability to maintain or increase its level of wholesale sales depends on the wholesale market price, transmission availability and the availability of KCP&L's generation for wholesale sales, among other factors. A substantial portion of KCP&L's wholesale sales are made in the spot market, and thus KCP&L has immediate exposure to wholesale price changes. Declines in wholesale market price or availability of generation or transmission constraints in the wholesale markets, could reduce KCP&L's wholesale sales and adversely affect KCP&L's results of operations and financial position.

KCP&L is also exposed to risk because at times it purchases power to meet its customers' needs. The cost of these purchases may be affected by the timing of customer demand and/or unavailability of KCP&L's lower-priced generating units. Wholesale power prices can be volatile and generally increase in times of high regional demand and high natural gas prices.

KCP&L has Operations Risks

The operation of KCP&L's electric generation, transmission and distribution systems involves many risks, including breakdown or failure of equipment or processes; operating limitations that may be imposed by equipment conditions, environmental or other regulatory requirements; fuel supply or fuel transportation reductions or interruptions; transmission scheduling; and catastrophic events such as fires, explosions, severe weather or other similar occurrences.

These and other operating events may reduce KCP&L's revenues or increase its costs, or both, and may materially affect KCP&L's results of operations and financial position.

KCP&L has Construction-Related Risks

KCP&L's comprehensive energy plan includes the construction of an estimated 850 MW coal-fired generating plant, 100.5 MW of wind generation and environmental retrofits at two existing coal-fired units. KCP&L has not recently managed a construction program of this magnitude. There are risks that actual costs may exceed budget estimates, delays may occur in obtaining permits and materials, suppliers and contractors may not perform as required under their contracts, and events beyond KCP&L's control may occur that may materially affect the schedule, budget and performance of these projects. These risks may increase the costs of these construction projects, require KCP&L to purchase additional electricity to supply its retail customers until the projects are completed, or both, and may materially affect KCP&L's results of operations and financial position.

KCP&L has Retirement-Related Risks

Through 2010, approximately 30% of KCP&L's current employees will be eligible to retire with full pension benefits. Failure to hire and adequately train replacement employees, including the transfer of significant internal historical knowledge and expertise to the new employees, may adversely affect KCP&L's ability to manage and operate its business.

Substantially all of KCP&L's employees participate in defined benefit and postretirement plans. If KCP&L employees retire when they become eligible for retirement through 2010, or if KCP&L's plans experience adverse market returns on its investments, or if interest rates materially fall, KCP&L's pension expense and contributions to the plans could rise substantially over historical levels. The timing and number of employees retiring and selecting the lump sum payment option could result in

pension settlement charges that could materially affect KCP&L's results of operations. In addition, assumptions related to future costs, returns on investments, interest rates and other actuarial assumptions, including projected retirements, have a significant impact on KCP&L's results of operations and financial position. Proposed legislation pending in Congress on pension reform could result in increased pension funding requirements. The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) has a project to reconsider the accounting for pensions and other post-retirement benefits. This project may result in accelerated expense, liability recognition and contributions.

KCP&L has Nuclear Exposure

KCP&L owns 47% (548 MW) of Wolf Creek. The NRC has broad authority under federal law to impose licensing and safety-related requirements for the operation of nuclear generation facilities, including Wolf Creek. In the event of non-compliance, the NRC has the authority to impose fines, shut down the facilities, or both, depending upon its assessment of the severity of the situation, until compliance is achieved. Any revised safety requirements promulgated by the NRC could result in substantial capital expenditures at Wolf Creek.

Wolf Creek has the lowest fuel cost per MWh of any of KCP&L's generating units. Although not expected, an extended outage of Wolf Creek, whether resulting from NRC action, an incident at the plant or otherwise, could have a substantial adverse effect on KCP&L's results of operations and financial position in the event KCP&L incurs higher replacement power and other costs that are not recovered through rates. If a long-term outage occurred, the state regulatory commissions could reduce rates by excluding the Wolf Creek investment from rate base.

Ownership and operation of a nuclear generating unit exposes KCP&L to risks regarding decommissioning costs at the end of the unit's life. KCP&L contributes annually to a tax-qualified trust fund to be used to decommission Wolf Creek. The funding level assumes a projected level of return on trust assets. If the actual return on trust assets is below the anticipated level, KCP&L could be responsible for the balance of funds required. If returns are lower than the expected level, management believes a rate increase would be allowed ensuring full recovery of decommissioning costs over the remaining life of the unit.

KCP&L is also exposed to other risks associated with the ownership and operation of a nuclear generating unit, including but not limited to potential liability associated with the potential harmful effects on the environment and human health resulting from the operation of a nuclear generating unit and the storage, handling and disposal of radioactive materials, and to potential retrospective assessments and losses in excess of insurance coverage.

ITEM 2. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Year Ended December 31 ^(a)	20	05	20	004	20	003	20	002	2	001
					(dollars i	n millions)				
Operating revenues	\$	1,131	\$	1,092	\$	1,057	\$	1,013	\$	1,287
Income from continuing operations (b)	\$	144	\$	143	\$	126	\$	103	\$	116
Net income	\$	144	\$	143	\$	117	\$	96	\$	120
Total assets at year end	\$	3,339	\$	3,337	\$	3,303	\$	3,139	\$	3,146
Total redeemable preferred stock, mandatorily										
redeemable preferred securities and long-										
term debt (including current maturities)	\$	976	\$	1,126	\$	1,336	\$	1,313	\$	1,311
SEC ratio of earnings to fixed charges ^(c)		3.87		3.34		3.69		2.88		2.07

- (a) KCP&L's consolidated financial statements include its wholly owned subsidiary HSS. In addition, KCP&L's consolidated results of operations include KLT Inc. and Great Plains Power Incorporated for all periods prior to the October 1, 2001, formation of Great Plains Energy.
- (b) This amount is before discontinued operations of \$(8.7), \$(4.0) and \$3.6 million in 2003 through 2001, respectively. In 2002, this amount is before a \$3.0 million cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle.
- (c) For purposes of computing the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, earnings consists of income from continuing operations before cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles, losses from equity investments and minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries with fixed charges, plus interest charges (excluding the reduction for capitalized interest), income taxes, and the estimated interest components of rents. Fixed charges consist of interest charges (excluding the reduction for capitalized interest) and the estimated interest components of rents.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The discussion and analysis by management focuses on those factors that had a material effect on the financial condition and results of operations of the Company during the periods presented. It should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes. See Item 1A. Risk Factors for further discussion of the Company's risk factors.

COMPREHENSIVE ENERGY PLAN

KCP&L continues to make progress in implementing its comprehensive energy plan and received orders from the MPSC and KCC in 2005. The orders were on agreements reached among KCP&L, the Commissions' staffs and certain key parties in the respective jurisdictions. The Sierra Club and Concerned Citizens of Platte County have appealed the MPSC order, and the Sierra Club has appealed the KCC order. These appeals are expected to be decided in 2006. Although subject to these appeals, the MPSC and KCC orders remain in effect pending the applicable court's decision.

In February 2006, KCP&L filed requests with the MPSC and KCC for annual rate increases of \$55.8 million or 11.5% and \$42.3 million or 10.5%, respectively. Iatan No. 2 detailed project engineering and design has begun and plant construction is expected to start in 2006. KCP&L has selected a developer and contractor for the construction of a 100.5 MW wind project in Kansas and management expects the project to be completed in time for inclusion in rates in 2007. See Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements for more information on the comprehensive energy plan.

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

KCP&L is an integrated, regulated electric utility that engages in the generation, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity. KCP&L has over 4,000 MWs of generating capacity and has transmission and distribution facilities that provide electricity to approximately 500,000 customers in the

states of Missouri and Kansas. KCP&L has continued to experience modest load growth. Load growth consists of higher usage per customer and the addition of new customers. Retail electricity rates are below the national average.

KCP&L's residential customers' usage patterns are significantly affected by weather. Bulk power sales, the major component of wholesale sales, vary with system requirements, generating unit and purchased power availability, fuel costs and requirements of other electric systems. Less than 1% of revenues include an automatic fuel adjustment provision. KCP&L's coal base load equivalent availability factor decreased to 82% in 2005 from 84% in 2004, reflecting scheduled and forced plant outages. The 176 MW Montrose No. 3 generator step-up transformer (GSU) failed in late May 2005. KCP&L leased a spare GSU until the failed GSU was repaired and installed during the fourth quarter of 2005. In August 2005, Hawthorn No. 5's GSU failed, which resulted in a 32-day outage. A spare GSU was installed in September; however, the size of the spare GSU limits the output of the unit to net 500 MW. The 65 MW decrease in Hawthorn No. 5 capability will continue until a new transformer is installed, currently expected in June 2006. The outage to install the repaired GSU is expected to be completed in 14 days.

KCP&L's nuclear unit, Wolf Creek, accounts for approximately 20% of its base load capacity and over a three-year period averaged over 20% of KCP&L's MWhs generated. Wolf Creek's availability was approximately 13% lower in 2005 compared to 2004 due to its scheduled spring 2005 refueling outage. Replacement power costs for scheduled Wolf Creek outages are accrued evenly over the unit's 18-month operating cycle. KCP&L expects its cost of nuclear fuel to remain relatively stable through 2009 because of contracts in place.

The fuel cost per MWh generated and the purchased power cost per MWh has a significant impact on the results of operations for KCP&L. Generation fuel mix can substantially change the fuel cost per MWh generated. Nuclear fuel cost per MWh generated is substantially less than the cost of coal per MWh generated, which is significantly lower than the cost of natural gas and oil per MWh generated. The cost per MWh for purchased power is significantly higher than the cost per MWh of coal and nuclear generation. KCP&L continually evaluates its system requirements, the availability of generating units, availability and cost of fuel supply and purchased power, and the requirements of other electric systems to provide reliable power economically.

KCP&L expects its fuel expense to increase significantly in 2006 due to projected increases in the cost of coal and coal transportation. The anticipated increase in delivered coal prices is expected to affect most regional utilities; therefore, the increase is not expected to materially erode KCP&L's position as a low cost regional electricity generator.

Rail companies have experienced longer cycle times for coal deliveries to utilities across the country since the third quarter of 2004. Western rail service further deteriorated in 2005 due to two train derailments that occurred on the primary rail line serving the PRB. Maintenance to repair significant sections of track on this rail line began in 2005 and is expected to be completed by the end of 2006. These repairs must be completed before normal train operations from the PRB can resume, which affects all users of PRB coal. Approximately 98% of KCP&L's coal requirements come from the PRB and originate on the Burlington Northern Santa Fe and the Union Pacific railroads, both of which have been affected by the current rail situation. As a result, most utilities, including KCP&L, have coal inventories that are below desired levels. KCP&L implemented coal conservation measures by reducing coal generation in 2005 and expects to continue these measures for at least the first half of 2006. This reduction in coal generation in the marketplace caused upward pressure on both pricing for next power generation fuel sources (natural gas and/or oil) and wholesale electricity prices in 2005 and is expected to continue into 2006. The rail companies have indicated that they expect the impact related to the 2006 maintenance program to be less than the 10% to 15% reduction in deliveries

experienced in 2005, but have offered no estimate on the likely reduction. Management cannot predict with any certainty the 2006 impact of the situation; however, an inability to obtain timely delivery of coal to meet generation requirements could materially impact KCP&L's results of operations. Management is monitoring the situation closely and steps will be taken, as necessary, to maintain an adequate energy supply for KCP&L's retail load and firm MWh sales.

LOWER EARNINGS EXPECTED IN 2006

The Company's projected net income is expected to decrease in 2006. The decrease in projected net income for 2006 is due to a significant increase in fuel cost and related transportation expenses at KCP&L and the absence of certain tax benefits recorded in 2005. These factors are expected to more than offset projected operational efficiencies, retail load growth, higher wholesale volume and higher allowance for equity funds used during construction related to the comprehensive energy plan.

Through 2010, approximately 30% of KCP&L's current employees are eligible to retire with full pension benefits. The timing and number of employees retiring and selecting the lump sum payment option could result in settlement charges that could materially affect KCP&L's 2006 results of operations.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

See Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements for information regarding related party transactions.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and related disclosures. Management considers an accounting estimate to be critical if it requires assumptions to be made that were uncertain at the time the estimate was made and changes in the estimate or different estimates that could have been used could have a material impact on the results of operations and financial position. Management has identified the following accounting policies deemed critical to the understanding of the Company's results of operations and financial position. Management has discussed the development and selection of these critical accounting policies with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors for KCP&L.

Pensions

KCP&L does not have a pension plan; however, KCP&L employees and officers participate in Great Plains Energy's pension plans. The Company incurs significant costs in providing non-contributory defined pension benefits under the Great Plains Energy pension plans. The costs are measured using actuarial valuations that are dependent upon numerous factors derived from actual plan experience and assumptions of future plan experience.

Pension costs are impacted by actual employee demographics (including age, compensation levels and employment periods), the level of contributions made to the plan, earnings on plan assets and plan amendments. In addition, pension costs are also affected by changes in key actuarial assumptions, including anticipated rates of return on plan assets and the discount rates used in determining the projected benefit obligation and pension costs.

These actuarial assumptions are updated annually in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 87, "Employers' Accounting for Pensions." In selecting an assumed discount rate, the prevailing market rate of fixed income debt instruments with maturities matching the expected timing of the benefit obligation was considered. The assumed rate of return on plan assets was developed based on the weighted average of long-term returns forecast for the expected portfolio mix of investments held by the plan. These assumptions are based on management's best estimates and judgment; however, material changes may occur if these assumptions differ from actual events.

See Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements for information regarding the assumptions used to determine benefit obligations and net costs.

The following table reflects the sensitivities associated with a 0.5% increase or a 0.5% decrease in key actuarial assumptions for the Great Plains Energy pension plans. Each sensitivity reflects the impact of the change based solely on a change in that assumption only.

Actuarial assumption	Change in Assumption	Impact on Projected Benefit Obligation	Impact on Pension Liability	Impact on 2005 Pension Expense	
			(millions)		
Discount rate	0.5% increase	\$ (32.9)	\$ (18.1)	\$ (2.3)	
Rate of return on plan assets	0.5% increase	-	-	(1.9)	
Discount rate	0.5% decrease	35.1	19.8	2.5	
Rate of return on plan assets	0.5% decrease	-	-	1.9	

KCP&L recorded pension expense reflecting orders from the MPSC and KCC that established annual pension costs at \$22 million, reducing 2005 pension expense. The difference between pension costs under SFAS No. 87 and the amount allowed for ratemaking is recorded as a regulatory asset or liability for future ratemaking recovery or refunds, as appropriate. See discussion of Regulatory Matters below and Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information.

Market conditions and interest rates significantly affect the future assets and liabilities of the plan. It is difficult to predict future pension costs, changes in pension liability and cash funding requirements due to volatile market conditions.

Regulatory Matters

As a regulated utility, KCP&L is subject to the provisions of SFAS No. 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation." Accordingly, KCP&L has recorded assets and liabilities on its balance sheet resulting from the effects of the ratemaking process, which would not be recorded under GAAP if KCP&L were not regulated. Regulatory assets represent costs incurred that have been deferred because future recovery in customer rates is probable. Regulatory liabilities generally represent probable future reductions in revenue or refunds to customers. Future recovery of regulatory assets is not assured, but is generally subject to review by regulators in rate proceedings for matters such as prudence and reasonableness. Future reductions in revenue or refunds for regulatory liabilities generally are not mandated, pending future rate proceedings or actions by the regulators. Management regularly assesses whether regulatory assets and liabilities are probable of future recovery or refund by considering factors such as decisions by the MPSC, KCC or FERC on KCP&L's rate case filings; decisions in other regulatory proceedings, including decisions related to other companies that establish precedence on matters applicable to KCP&L; and changes in laws and regulations. If recovery or refund of regulatory assets or liabilities is not approved by regulators or is no longer deemed probable, these regulatory assets or liabilities are recognized in the current period results of operations. KCP&L's continued ability to meet the criteria for application of SFAS No. 71 may be affected in the future by restructuring and deregulation in the electric industry. In the event that SFAS No. 71 no longer applied to a deregulated portion of KCP&L's operations, the related regulatory assets and liabilities would be written off unless an appropriate regulatory recovery mechanism is provided. Additionally, these factors could result in an impairment on utility plant assets as determined pursuant to SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Imp

Impairment of Assets and Intangible Assets

Long-lived assets and intangible assets subject to amortization are periodically reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable as prescribed under SFAS No. 144.

Impairment analyses require management to make assumptions about future sales, operating costs and discount rates over the life of the related asset, or in some cases over an indefinite life. Potential impairment indicators include such factors as current period losses combined with a history of losses, or a projection of continuing losses or a significant decrease in the market price of the asset under review. Management's assumptions about these factors require significant judgment and under different assumptions, the fair value of an asset could be materially different.

Accounting standards require a company to recognize an impairment in the current period results of operations if the sum of the undiscounted expected future cash flows from the company's asset is less than the carrying value of the asset. The impairment recognized is the difference between the fair value and book value of the asset.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of the Company's results of operations includes KCP&L, an integrated, regulated electric utility and HSS, an unregulated subsidiary of KCP&L. References to KCP&L, in the discussion that follows, reflect only the operations of the utility. The following table summarizes the Company's comparative results of operations.

	2005	2004	2003
		(millions)	_
Operating revenues	\$1,130.9	\$1,091.6	\$1,057.0
Fuel	(207.9)	(179.4)	(160.3)
Purchased power	(61.3)	(52.5)	(53.2)
Other operating expenses	(460.8)	(442.3)	(422.6)
Depreciation and amortization	(146.6)	(145.2)	(141.0)
Gain (loss) on property	(4.6)	(5.1)	1.6
Operating income	249.7	267.1	281.5
Non-operating income (expenses)	11.8	(1.9)	(3.1)
Interest charges	(61.8)	(74.2)	(70.3)
Income taxes	(48.2)	(52.8)	(83.5)
Minority interest in subsidiaries	(7.8)	5.1	1.3
Income from continuing operations	143.7	143.3	125.9
Discontinued operations, net of income taxes	-	-	(8.7)
Net income	\$ 143.7	\$ 143.3	\$ 117.2

Net income was relatively unchanged in 2005 compared to 2004. KCP&L's net income decreased \$4.8 million primarily due to higher fuel costs and purchased power prices, as well as the effects of plant outages and coal conservation on fuel mix. Higher other operating expenses were partially offset by the regulatory accounting treatment of pension expense. These decreases to net income were offset by retail revenues increasing 6% as a result of significantly warmer summer weather in 2005 compared to an unusually mild summer in 2004. Additionally, the favorable impact of sustained audit positions on the 2005 composite tax rates lowered income taxes. KCP&L's decrease was more than offset by \$5.2 million in reduced losses at HSS primarily due to a 2004 impairment charge related to the 2005 sale of Worry Free.

Income from continuing operations increased \$17.4 million in 2004 compared to 2003. Wholesale revenues increased as a result of increased generation, bundling transmission with energy and lower than expected retail loads during the summer months. An increase in operating expenses more than offset these factors primarily due to the increase in MWhs generated, including higher coal and coal transportation costs, higher administrative expenses, an impairment charge related to the first quarter 2005 sale of Worry Free and the significant positive impact on 2003 of the Hawthorn No. 5 litigation settlements. Income taxes decreased due to the favorable impact of state tax planning on the composite tax rate and the allocation of tax benefits from holding company losses pursuant to Great Plains Energy's intercompany tax allocation agreement with its subsidiaries, including the Company. The change in discontinued operations was due to a \$7.1 million loss on the June 2003 disposition of HSS' interest in RSAE and continuing losses through the date of disposition of \$1.6 million.

Sales Revenues and MWh Sales

		0/			0/	
		%			%	
	2005	Change		2004	Change	2003
Retail revenues			(mi	illions)		
Residential	\$ 380.0	9	\$	347.1	(4)	\$ 361.5
Commercial	434.6	3		421.1	1	417.6
Industrial	100.9	5		96.2	1	95.0
Other retail revenues	8.6	(2)		8.7	1	8.7
Total retail	924.1	6		873.1	(1)	882.8
Wholesale revenues	192.4	(4)		200.2	27	157.5
Other revenues	14.3	(15)		16.8	15	14.6
KCP&L electric revenues	1,130.8	4		1,090.1	3	1,054.9
Subsidiary revenues	0.1	(93)		1.5	(25)	2.1
Total revenues	\$ 1,130.9	4	\$	1,091.6	3	\$ 1,057.0

		%		%	
	2005	Change	2004	Change	2003
Retail MWh sales			(thousands)		
Residential	5,383	10	4,903	(3)	5,047
Commercial	7,292	4	6,998	1	6,933
Industrial	2,165	5	2,058	1	2,035
Other retail MWh sales	82	(3)	85	-	85
Total retail	14,922	6	14,044	-	14,100
Wholesale MWh sales	4,608	(30)	6,603	14	5,777
KCP&L electric MWh sales	19,530	(5)	20,647	4	19,877

Retail revenues increased \$51.0 million in 2005 compared to 2004. The increase was driven by significantly warmer summer weather in 2005 compared to an unusually mild summer in 2004 and continued load growth of approximately 2%, adjusted for weather for 2005 and 2004. Residential usage per customer increased 9% in 2005, driven by a 45% increase in cooling degree-days, which was 19% above normal.

Retail revenues decreased \$9.7 million in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to a \$14.4 million reduction in residential revenues. Residential usage per customer decreased 4% in 2004 compared to 2003 as a result of significantly cooler summer weather in 2004. The Kansas City area experienced one of the coolest summers in the past 30 years, which resulted in cooling degree-days 18% below normal. The impact of the cooler summer weather was partially offset by load growth in 2004. The average number of residential and commercial customers continues to grow; both increased 1% to 2% in 2005 and 2004 compared to the respective prior years.

Wholesale revenues decreased \$7.8 million in 2005 compared to 2004 due to a 30% decrease in MWhs sold, which was significantly offset by an increase in the average market price per MWh. The decrease in MWhs sold was driven by a 5% decrease in net MWhs generated as a result of coal conservation and plant outages. Additionally, retail MWh sales increased 6% in 2005 compared to 2004, which resulted in less MWhs available for wholesale sales. Average market price per MWh increased 56% to \$47.82 in 2005 compared to 2004 due to warmer summer weather in 2005, higher natural gas prices, transmission constraints and coal conservation in the region.

Wholesale revenues increased \$42.7 million in 2004 compared to 2003. Wholesale MWhs sold increased 14%, primarily due to increased generation, bundling transmission with energy and lower than expected retail loads during the summer months, combined with successful marketing efforts. Average market prices per MWh increased 13% to \$30.72 in 2004 compared to 2003, primarily due to more sales made during periods of higher natural gas prices and bundling transmission with energy to provide a delivered product. Additionally, wholesale revenues were affected by the partial settlements of the Hawthorn No. 5 litigation.

As described in Item 8. Legal Proceedings, KCP&L filed suit against multiple defendants who are alleged to have responsibility for the 1999 Hawthorn No. 5 boiler explosion. Various defendants settled with KCP&L in this litigation, resulting in KCP&L recording \$2.4 million and \$35.8 million in 2004 and 2003, respectively. A portion of the settlements, \$1.2 million and \$17.3 million for 2004 and 2003, respectively, was recorded as a recovery of capital expenditures. The following table summarizes the income statement impact related to the remainder of the settlements for loss of use of Hawthorn No. 5.

	2004		2003	
		(millions)		
Wholesale revenues	\$ 0.	2 \$	2.7	
Fuel	0.	2	4.0	
Purchased Power	3.0	3	11.8	
Operating income	1.	2	18.5	
Income taxes	(0.	5)	(7.2)	
Net income	\$ 0.	7 \$	11.3	

Fuel and Purchased Power

Net MWhs Generated		%				
by Fuel Type	2005	2005 Change 20		2004 Change		
			(thousands)			
Coal	14,994	(4)	15,688	5	15,011	
Nuclear	4,146	(13)	4,762	14	4,178	
Natural gas and oil	473	206	155	(43)	270	
Total Generation	19,613	(5)	20,605	6	19,459	

Fuel expense increased \$28.5 million in 2005 compared to 2004 despite a 5% decrease in MWhs generated due to a combination of changes in the fuel mix to higher cost generation, increased coal and coal transportation costs and increased natural gas prices. The changes in fuel mix were driven by the number and duration of plant outages as well as coal conservation measures. KCP&L's 2005 coal and coal transportation contracts were entered into at higher average prices than related 2004 contracts.

Fuel expense increased \$19.1 million in 2004 compared to 2003 due to a 6% increase in MWhs generated, higher coal and coal transportation costs, higher natural gas costs and the net effect of \$3.8

million from the Hawthorn No. 5 partial litigation settlements. The increase was partially offset by changes in the fuel mix to lower cost generation due to increased coal and nuclear fuel and less natural gas in the fuel mix. The change in fuel mix was primarily due to the 2003 refueling outage at Wolf Creek and the cooler 2004 summer weather, which allowed coal and nuclear capacity to supply a greater percentage of the reduced retail load.

Purchased power expense increased \$8.8 million in 2005 compared to 2004. The average price per MWh purchased increased 61% in 2005 compared to 2004 partially offset by an 8% decline in MWhs purchased. The increased prices were driven by purchases during higher priced peak hours as a result of warmer weather, plant outages and overall higher average prices due to higher natural gas prices combined with transmission constraints, coal conservation and outages in the region.

Purchased power expense decreased \$0.7 million in 2004 compared to 2003. MWhs purchased decreased 31% in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to lower retail customer demand and a 2% increase in the coal fleet equivalent availability factor in 2004 compared to 2003. The decrease in MWhs purchased was partially offset by an 11% increase in the average price per MWh purchased in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to higher natural gas market prices and increased market demand. Another offset includes the net effect of the Hawthorn No. 5 partial litigation settlements, which impacted purchased power expense by \$11.0 million in 2004 compared to 2003.

Other Operating Expenses (including other operating, maintenance and general taxes)

Other operating expenses increased \$18.5 million in 2005 compared to 2004 primarily due to the following:

- · increased employee related expenses of \$4.7 million including severance and incentive compensation,
- · increased expenses of \$2.4 million due to higher legal reserves,
- · increased regulatory expenses of \$1.2 million including expenses related to the comprehensive energy plan,
- · increased general taxes of \$5.9 million mostly due to increases in gross receipts tax, assessed property valuations and mill levies,
- · increased expenses of \$4.2 million due to higher restoration costs for a January 2005 ice storm and June 2005 wind storms compared to the 2004 wind storm restoration costs and
- · increased production operations and maintenance expenses of \$4.3 million primarily due to scheduled and forced plant maintenance in 2005 and the reversal of an environmental accrual in 2004.

Partially offsetting the increase in other operating expenses was:

- decreased pension expense of \$4.7 million due to the regulatory accounting treatment of pension expense in accordance with MPSC and KCC orders and
- · decreased transmission service expense of \$5.7 million primarily due to lower wholesale MWhs sold.

Other operating expenses increased \$19.7 million in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to the following:

- · increased pension expense of \$3.5 million primarily due to lower discount rates, the amortization of investment losses from prior years and plan settlement losses,
- · increased other employee-related costs of \$3.5 million including higher medical costs and incentive compensation costs,
- · increased property taxes of \$4.3 million primarily due to increases in assessed property valuations and mill levies,

- · increased outside services of \$4.4 million including costs associated with Sarbanes-Oxley compliance,
- · increased transmission and distribution expenses including \$2.5 million primarily due to increased transmission usage charges as a result of the increased wholesale MWh sales, \$2.3 million related to SPP administration and \$1.3 million in storm related expenses and
- · increased office expense including \$2.1 million expenditure to buy out computer equipment operating leases.

Partially offsetting the increase in other operating expenses was:

- · decreased plant maintenance expense of \$1.3 million primarily due to differences in timing and scope of outages and \$0.9 million in lower gross receipts taxes as a result of lower retail revenues and
- · decreased expenses due to the reversal of an environmental accrual and the establishment of a regulatory asset for the probable recovery in the Kansas jurisdiction of enhanced security costs.

Gain (loss) on Property

Gain (loss) on property remained relatively unchanged in 2005 compared to 2004, due to offsetting losses. During 2005, KCP&L wrote off \$3.6 million of plant operating system development costs as a result of vendor non-performance. See Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements for related legal proceedings. Gain (loss) on property increased operating expenses \$6.7 million in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to the 2004 impairment charge related to the 2005 sale of Worry Free.

Interest Charges

Interest charges decreased \$12.4 million in 2005 compared to 2004 primarily due to \$10.1 million of interest related to the IRS 1995-1999 audit settlement in 2004. Interest charges increased \$3.9 million in 2004 compared to 2003. The increase was primarily due to the \$10.1 million of interest discussed above, partially offset by a \$6.3 million decrease primarily due to the 2004 redemption of KCP&L's \$154.6 million 8.3% Junior Subordinated Deferred Interest Bonds.

Income Taxes

Income taxes decreased \$4.6 million in 2005 compared to 2004. Several factors contributed to the decreased taxes including lower taxable income in 2005. The favorable impact of sustained audit positions on the composite tax rate decreased income taxes \$6.3 million, including \$3.1 million reflecting the composite tax rate change on deferred tax balances, and the domestic manufacturers' deduction provided for under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 contributed \$1.5 million to the decrease in taxes. These 2005 decreases to income taxes were partially offset when compared to 2004 due to the \$10.1 million 2004 tax reserves release discussed below.

Income taxes decreased \$30.7 million in 2004 compared to 2003. Several factors contributed to the decreased taxes including lower taxable income in 2004. The favorable impact of state tax planning on the composite tax rate decreased income taxes \$10.1 million, including \$8.6 million reflecting the composite tax rate change on deferred tax balances resulting from book to tax temporary differences. An additional \$10.1 million decrease is attributable to the reserves for the interest component of the IRS 1995-1999 audit settlement, which offset interest expense and had no impact on income from continuing operations. Income taxes also decreased by \$5.9 million due to the allocation of tax benefits from holding company losses pursuant to Great Plains Energy's intercompany tax allocation agreement with its subsidiaries, including the Company.

- SIGNIFICANT BALANCE SHEET CHANGES (DECEMBER 31, 2005 COMPARED TO DECEMBER 31, 2004)

 Fuel inventories decreased \$4.0 million primarily due to \$9.3 million in fewer coal deliveries resulting from railroad performance issues partially offset by an increase in coal due to physical inventory adjustments.
 - Deferred income taxes current assets decreased \$3.9 million partially due to a lower nuclear fuel outage reserve resulting from the completion of the scheduled spring 2005 refueling.
 - Other nonutility property and investments decreased \$12.9 million primarily due to KCP&L receiving a return of its net investment from the Central Interstate Low Level Radioactive Waste Compact Commission.
 - Construction work in progress increased \$47.1 million due to \$25.3 million in contract payments related to wind generation and environmental equipment upgrades and normal construction activity.
 - Regulatory assets increased \$35.6 million primarily due to the regulatory accounting treatment for pension expense and the change in Wolf Creek depreciable life for Missouri regulatory purposes in accordance with MPSC and KCC orders. Additionally, adopting FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 47, "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations" during 2005 increased regulatory assets \$13.2
 - Other deferred charges and other assets increased \$7.0 million primarily due to a reclass from accrued taxes of an \$8.8 million income tax refund receivable that management expects to be delayed until the related IRS audit cycle can be completed.
 - Commercial paper increased \$31.9 million primarily due to \$25.3 million in contract payments related to wind generation and environmental equipment upgrades and timing of cash payments.
 - · Accounts payable increased \$21.9 million primarily due to timing of cash payments.
 - Accrued taxes decreased \$7.0 million due to the timing of tax payments partially offset by an increase related to a reclass of an \$8.8 million income tax refund receivable to other deferred charges and other assets.
 - Asset Retirement Obligations (AROs) increased \$32.2 million primarily due to \$11.3 million related to revised decommissioning cost estimates for Wolf Creek, \$7.5 million of accretion and a \$15.4 million addition due to adopting FIN No. 47 during 2005.
 - Regulatory liabilities increased \$65.5 million primarily due to KCP&L's regulatory treatment of SO₂ emission allowance sales totaling \$61.0 million and \$4.3 million of additional Wolf Creek amortization for Missouri regulatory purposes. See Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements.
 - Derivative instruments deferred credits and other liabilities increased \$2.6 million due to a change in the fair value of KCP&L's interest rate swaps on its 1998 Series A, B and D Environmental Improvement Revenue Refunding (EĬRR) bonds.
 - Other deferred credits and other liabilities decreased \$4.4 million primarily due to KCP&L receiving a return of its net investment from the Central Interstate Low Level Radioactive Waste Compact Commission.
 - Accumulated other comprehensive loss decreased \$10.4 million primarily due to the fair values of the Treasury Locks (T-Locks), which were entered into and settled during 2005. See Note 20 to the consolidated financial statements.

· Long-term debt increased \$186.1 million primarily due to a \$250.0 million issuance of senior notes and an \$85.9 million issuance of Series 2005 EIRR bonds partially offset by the \$145.3 million redemption of debt related to the buyout of the Combustion Turbine Synthetic Lease. EIRR bonds classified as current and current maturities decreased as a result of the repayment and remarketing of the respective bonds.

CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS AND LIQUIDITY

Capital requirements are principally comprised of KCP&L's utility construction and other capital expenditures and debt maturities. These items as well as additional cash and capital requirements for the Company are discussed below.

Liquid resources at December 31, 2005, consisted of \$3.0 million of cash and cash equivalents on hand and unused bank lines of credit of \$218.1 million from KCP&L's revolving credit facility. See the Debt Agreements section below for more information on this agreement. At February 28, 2006, unused bank lines of credit had increased \$9.3 million from the amount at December 31, 2005.

KCP&L expects to meet day-to-day cash flow requirements including interest payments, construction requirements (excluding its comprehensive energy plan), dividends to Great Plains Energy and pension benefit plan funding requirements, discussed below, with internally generated funds. KCP&L might not be able to meet these requirements with internally generated funds because of the effect of inflation on operating expenses, the level of MWh sales, regulatory actions, compliance with future environmental regulations and the availability of generating units. The funds needed to retire maturing debt will be provided from operations, the issuance of long and short-term debt and/or capital contributions from Great Plains Energy. In addition, the Company may issue debt or utilize capital contributions from Great Plains Energy to finance growth or take advantage of new opportunities.

KCP&L currently expects to fund its comprehensive energy plan from a combination of internal and external sources including, but not limited to, contributions from rate increases, capital contributions to KCP&L from Great Plains Energy's proceeds of new equity financing and 2004 FELINE PRIDESSM equity in 2007, new debt financing, and internally generated funds.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

The Company generated positive cash flows from operating activities for the periods presented. Cash flows from operating activities increased during 2005 compared to 2004 primarily due to sales of SO₂ emission allowances totaling \$61.0 million and a \$12.0 million cash settlement on the T-Locks, discussed in significant financing activities. Net income after consideration of non-cash items decreased primarily due to the decrease in operating income discussed in results of operations. The timing of the Wolf Creek outage affects the refueling outage accrual, deferred income taxes and amortization of nuclear fuel.

Cash flow from operating activities increased in 2004 compared to 2003 partially due to a \$26.1 million increase in net income and the changes in working capital detailed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements. In addition, the timing of the Wolf Creek outage affects the refueling outage accrual, deferred income taxes and amortization of nuclear fuel.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Cash used for investing activities varies with the timing of utility capital expenditures and purchases of investments and nonutility property. Investing activities are offset by the proceeds from the sale of properties and insurance recoveries.

Utility capital expenditures increased \$141.6 million during 2005 compared to 2004. KCP&L exercised its early termination option in the Combustion Turbine Synthetic Lease and subsequently paid \$154.0

million to purchase the leased property and made contract payments totaling \$25.3 million related to wind generation and environmental equipment upgrades. These payments were partially offset by the \$28.5 million buyout of KCP&L's operating lease for vehicles and heavy equipment in 2004. The increases in capital expenditures were partially offset by KCP&L's receipt of \$10.0 million for insurance recoveries related to Hawthorn No. 5 during 2005.

Utility capital expenditures increased \$41.9 million in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to the \$28.5 million buyout of KCP&L's operating lease for vehicles and heavy equipment in 2004. Insurance recoveries and litigation settlements related to Hawthorn No. 5 in 2004 of \$31.9 million, a \$10.7 million increase over 2003 recoveries, offset cash used in investing activities.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

The changes in cash flows from financing activities in 2005 compared to 2004 and in 2004 compared to 2003 reflect KCP&L's retirement of \$54.5 million of its medium-term notes and its redemption of \$154.6 million of 8.3% Junior Subordinated Deferred Interest Bonds from KCPL Financing I during 2004. KCPL Financing I used those proceeds to redeem the \$4.6 million common securities held by KCP&L and the \$150.0 million of 8.3% Trust Preferred Securities. These 2004 financing activities were offset by \$225.0 million in equity contributions from Great Plains Energy.

During 2005, KCP&L redeemed its secured 1994 series EIRR bonds totaling \$35.9 million by issuing secured EIRR Bonds Series 2005 also totaling \$35.9 million: \$14.0 million at a fixed rate of 4.65% until maturity at March 1, 2015, and \$21.9 million at a fixed rate of 4.65% until maturity at September 1, 2035. KCP&L also redeemed its unsecured Series C EIRR bonds totaling \$50.0 million by issuing unsecured EIRR Bonds Series 2005 also totaling \$50.0 million at a fixed rate of 4.65% until maturity at September 1, 2035. The previous interest rate periods on these two series, with interest rates of 2.25% and 2.38%, respectively, expired on August 31, 2005. Both of the redeemed series were classified as current liabilities at December 31, 2004. Both of the new EIRR Bonds Series 2005 are covered by municipal bond insurance policies issued by XL Capital Assurance Inc. (XLCA). The insurance agreements between KCP&L and XLCA provide for reimbursement by KCP&L for any amounts that XLCA pays under the municipal bond insurance policies. The insurance policies are in effect for the term of the bonds. The insurance agreements contain a covenant that the indebtedness to total capitalization ratio of KCP&L and its consolidated subsidiaries will not be greater than 0.68 to 1.00. At December 31, 2005, KCP&L was in compliance with this covenant. KCP&L is also restricted from issuing additional bonds under its General Mortgage Indenture if, after giving effect to such additional bonds, the proportion of secured debt to total indebtedness would be more than 75%, or more than 50% if the long term rating for such bonds by Standard & Poor's or Moody's Investors Service would be at or below A- or A3, respectively. The insurance agreement covering the unsecured EIRR Bond Series 2005 also requires KCP&L to provide XLCA with \$50 million of general mortgage bonds as collateral for KCP&L's obligations under the insurance agreement in the event KCP&L issues general mortgage bonds (other than refundings of outstanding general mortgage bonds) res

KCP&L had \$625.0 million of outstanding unsecured senior notes at December 31, 2005 and 2004. During 2005, KCP&L completed a private placement of \$250.0 million of 6.05% unsecured senior notes, maturing in 2035. The proceeds from the issuance were used to repay the 7.125% unsecured senior notes that matured in 2005. Pursuant to its obligations under a registration rights agreement entered into in connection with the private placement, KCP&L plans to file in 2006 an S-4 registration statement for the exchange of registered 6.05% unsecured senior notes for the \$250.0 million privately placed notes. The registered notes will carry the same terms and conditions as the privately placed

issue without, except in limited circumstances, transfer restrictions and payment of additional interest provisions.

Significant Financing Activities

KCP&L's long-term financing activities are subject to the authorization of the MPSC. In November 2005, the MPSC authorized KCP&L to issue up to \$635 million of long-term debt and to enter into interest rate hedging instruments in connection with such debt through December 31, 2009. Under stipulations with the MPSC and the KCC, KCP&L maintains common equity at not less than 35% of total capitalization.

In February 2006, FERC authorized KCP&L to issue up to \$300.0 million in outstanding short-term debt instruments through February 2008. The authorization is subject to four restrictions: (i) proceeds of debt backed by utility assets must be used for utility purposes; (ii) if any utility assets that secure authorized debt are divested or spun off, the debt must follow the assets and also be divested or spun off; (iii) if any proceeds of the authorized debt are used for non-utility purposes, the debt must follow the non-utility assets (specifically, if the non-utility assets are divested or spun off, then a proportionate share of the debt must follow the divested or spun off non-utility assets); and (iv) if utility assets financed by the authorized short-term debt are divested or spun off to another entity, a proportionate share of the debt must also be divested or spun off.

During the first quarter of 2006, KCP&L entered into a Forward Starting Swap with a notional principal amount of \$110 million to hedge interest rate volatility on the first \$110 million of the anticipated refinancing of KCP&L's \$225 million senior notes that mature in March 2007.

During 2005, KCP&L entered into two T-Locks to hedge against interest rate fluctuations on the U.S. Treasury rate component of the \$250.0 million 30-year long-term debt that KCP&L issued in 2005. The T-Locks settled simultaneously with the issuance of the long-term fixed rate debt and KCP&L received \$12.0 million in cash for the settlement. See Note 20 to the consolidated financial statements.

During 2005, KCP&L entered into a revolving agreement to sell all of its retail electric accounts receivable to Receivables Company, which sold an undivided percentage ownership interest in the accounts receivable to an outside investor. Receivables Company received \$70 million in cash from the outside investor, which was forwarded to KCP&L as consideration for its sale. KCP&L's accounts receivable agreement is an additional source of liquidity with an all-in borrowing cost generally equal to or lower than KCP&L's other sources of short-term borrowings including the revolving credit facility and commercial paper. See Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information.

Debt Agreements

KCP&L has a \$250 million revolving credit facility with a group of banks that expires in December 2009 to provide support for its issuance of commercial paper and other general purposes. The facility contains a Material Adverse Change (MAC) clause that requires KCP&L to represent, prior to receiving funding, that no MAC has occurred. The clause does, however, permit KCP&L to access the facility even in the event of a MAC in order to repay maturing commercial paper. Available liquidity under this facility is not impacted by a decline in credit ratings unless the downgrade results in a MAC or occurs in the context of a merger, consolidation or sale. A default by KCP&L on other indebtedness totaling more than \$25.0 million is a default under the current facility. Under the terms of the agreement, KCP&L is required to maintain a consolidated indebtedness to consolidated capitalization ratio, as defined in the agreement, not greater than 0.65 to 1.00 at all times. At December 31, 2005, KCP&L was in compliance with this covenant. At December 31, 2005, KCP&L had \$31.9 million of commercial paper outstanding and no cash borrowings under the facility. The weighted-average interest rate of the commercial paper was 4.35%.

KCP&L Projected Utility Capital Expenditures

KCP&L's utility capital expenditures, excluding allowance for funds used to finance construction, were \$332.1 million, \$190.5 million and \$148.7 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Utility capital expenditures projected for the next three years, excluding allowance for funds used during construction, are detailed in the following table.

	2006		2007	2008
		(mil	lions)	
Generating facilities				
Iatan No. 2 ^(a)	\$ 30.7	\$	120.4	\$274.5
Wind generation (a)	143.0		_	_
Environmental (a)	43.3		124.8	101.3
Other	 49.3		53.1	53.9
Total generating facilities	266.3		298.3	429.7
Distribution and transmission facilities				
Customer programs & asset management (a)	5.6		9.1	14.9
Other	93.4		83.9	84.4
Total distribution and transmission facilities	99.0		93.0	99.3
Nuclear fuel	20.9		25.2	1.1
General facilities	30.6		20.5	11.8
Total	\$ 416.8	\$	437.0	\$541.9

⁽a) Comprehensive energy plan

This utility capital expenditure plan is subject to continual review and change and includes utility capital expenditures related to KCP&L's comprehensive energy plan for environmental investments and new capacity. See Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements.

Pensions

KCP&L does not have a pension plan; however, KCP&L employees and officers participate in Great Plains Energy's pension plans. Great Plains Energy maintains defined benefit pension plans for substantially all employees, including officers, of KCP&L, Great Plains Energy Services Incorporated (Services) and WCNOC. KCP&L incurs significant costs in contributing to the plans. At a minimum, plans are funded on an actuarial basis to provide assets sufficient to meet benefits to be paid to plan participants consistent with the funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) and further contributions may be made when deemed financially advantageous.

The Company contributed \$13.8 million to the plans in 2005, which included \$9.3 million of funding above the minimum ERISA funding requirements. In 2004, the Company contributed \$32.7 million to the plans, which included \$28.6 million of funding above the minimum ERISA funding requirements. The Company expects to contribute \$20.0 million to the plans in 2006, which includes \$6.0 million to meet ERISA funding requirements. Management believes the Company and Great Plains Energy have adequate access to capital resources through cash flows from operations or through existing lines of credit to support the funding requirements. Participants in the plans may request a lump-sum cash payment upon termination of their employment. A change in payment assumptions could result in increased cash requirements from pension plan assets with the Company being required to accelerate future funding.

Legislative changes have been proposed that would alter the manner in which pension plan assets and liabilities are valued for purposes of calculating required pension contributions and change the timing

and manner in which required contributions to underfunded plans are made. If these proposals are adopted, the funding requirements could be significantly affected.

Under the terms of the pension plans, Great Plains Energy reserves the right to amend or terminate the plans, and from time to time benefits have changed. See Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information.

Credit Ratings

At December 31, 2005, the major credit rating agencies rated the Company's securities as detailed in the following table.

	Moody's Investors Service	Standard and Poor's
Outlook	Stable	Stable
Senior Secured Debt	A2	BBB
Senior Unsecured Debt	A3	BBB
Commercial Paper	P-2	A-2

The ratings presented reflect the current views of these rating agencies and are subject to change. The Company views maintenance of strong credit ratings as being extremely important and to that end an active and ongoing dialogue is maintained with the agencies with respect to the Company's results of operations, financial position, and future prospects.

None of the Company's outstanding debt requires the acceleration of interest and/or principal payments in the event of a ratings downgrade, unless the downgrade occurs in the context of a merger, consolidation or sale. In the event of a downgrade, the Company may be subject to increased interest costs on credit facilities. Additionally, in KCP&L's bond insurance policies on its secured 1992 series EIRR bonds totaling \$31.0 million, its Series 1993A and 1993B EIRR bonds totaling \$79.5 million and its secured and unsecured EIRR Bonds Series 2005 totaling \$35.9 million and \$50.0 million, respectively, KCP&L has agreed to limits on its ability to issue additional mortgage bonds based on the mortgage bond's credit ratings. See Note 18 to the consolidated financial statements.

Supplemental Capital Requirements and Liquidity Information

The information in the following table is provided to summarize cash obligations and commercial commitments.

Payment due by period	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	After 2010	Total
Long-term debt	(millions)						
Principal	\$ -	\$ 225.5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 755.3	\$ 980.8
Interest	54.2	43.4	40.6	40.6	40.6	541.1	760.5
Lease obligations	15.9	14.4	14.0	10.5	8.4	91.0	154.2
Pension plans	20.0	-	-	-	-	-	20.0
Purchase obligations							
Fuel	107.9	99.9	91.5	46.0	32.3	37.7	415.3
Purchased capacity	5.4	6.8	7.8	8.2	5.4	18.6	52.2
Other	33.6	5.6	2.9	-	-	-	42.1
Total contractual obligations	\$ 237.0	\$ 395.6	\$ 156.8	\$ 105.3	\$ 86.7	\$ 1,443.7	\$ 2,425.1

Long-term debt includes current maturities. Long-term debt principal excludes \$1.8 million discount on senior notes and the \$2.6 million liability for the fair value adjustment to the EIRR bonds related to interest rate swaps. Variable rate interest obligations are based on rates as of December 31, 2005. See Note 18 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information.

Lease obligations include capital and operating lease obligations; capital lease obligations are \$0.2 million per year for the years 2006 through 2010 and total \$3.9 million after 2010. Lease obligations also include railcars to serve jointly-owned generating units where KCP&L is the managing partner. KCP&L will be reimbursed by the other owners for approximately \$2.0 million per year (\$22.7 million total) of the amounts included in the table above. See Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding contractual commitments.

KCP&L expects to contribute \$20.0 million to the pension plans in 2006, which includes \$6.0 million to meet ERISA funding requirements. Minimum ERISA funding requirements for future periods after 2006 are not yet known.

Fuel represents KCP&L's 47% share of Wolf Creek nuclear fuel commitments, KCP&L's share of coal purchase commitments based on estimated prices to supply coal for generating plants and KCP&L's share of rail transportation commitments for moving coal to KCP&L's generating units.

KCP&L purchases capacity from other utilities and nonutility suppliers. Purchasing capacity provides the option to purchase energy if needed or when market prices are favorable. KCP&L has capacity sales agreements not included above that total \$11.4 million for 2006, \$11.2 million per year for 2007 through 2010 and \$12.3 million after 2010.

Other purchase obligations represent individual commitments entered into in the ordinary course of business.

The Company has long-term liabilities recorded on its consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2005, under GAAP that do not have a definitive cash payout date and are not included in the table above.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

KCP&L is contingently liable for guaranteed energy savings under an agreement with a customer, guaranteeing an aggregate value of approximately \$3.9 million over the next four years. A subcontractor would indemnify KCP&L for any payments made by KCP&L under this guarantee. Approximately \$1 million of the guarantee expires each year in 2006 through 2009.

KCP&L also has guarantees related to bond insurance policies that KCP&L has as a credit enhancement to its secured 1992 series EIRR bonds totaling \$31.0 million, its Series 1993A and 1993B EIRR bonds totaling \$79.5 million and EIRR Bond Series 2005 totaling \$85.9 million. The insurance agreement between KCP&L and the issuer of the bond insurance policies provides for reimbursement by KCP&L for any amounts the insurer pays under the bond insurance policies.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

In the normal course of business, the Company faces risks that are either non-financial or non-quantifiable. Such risks principally include business, legal, operations and credit risks and are not represented in the following analysis. See Item 1A. Risk Factors and discussion throughout Item. 2 Financial Information for further discussion of the Company's risk factors.

The Company is exposed to market risks associated with commodity price and supply, interest rates and equity prices. Management has established risk management policies and strategies to reduce the potentially adverse effects the volatility of the markets may have on its operating results. During the normal course of business, under the direction and control of an internal risk management committee, the Company's hedging strategies are reviewed to determine the hedging approach deemed appropriate based upon the circumstances of each situation. Though management believes its risk management practices to be effective, it is not possible to identify and eliminate all risk. The Company could experience losses, which could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations or financial position, due to many factors, including unexpectedly large or rapid movements or disruptions in the energy markets, from regulatory-driven market rule changes and/or bankruptcy or non-performance of customers or counterparties.

Derivative instruments are frequently utilized to execute risk management and hedging strategies. Derivative instruments, such as futures, forward contracts, swaps or options, derive their value from underlying assets, indices, reference rates or a combination of these factors. These derivative instruments include negotiated contracts, which are referred to as over-the-counter derivatives and instruments listed and traded on an exchange. The Company maintains commodity-price risk management strategies that use derivative instruments to minimize significant, unanticipated net income fluctuations caused by commodity price volatility.

Interest Rate Risk

The Company manages interest expense and short and long-term liquidity through a combination of fixed and variable rate debt. Generally, the amount of each type of debt is managed through market issuance, but interest rate swap and cap agreements with highly rated financial institutions may be used to achieve the desired combination. Using outstanding balances and annualized interest rates as of December 31, 2005, a hypothetical 10% increase in the interest rates associated with long-term variable rate debt would result in an increase of less than \$1.1 million in interest expense for 2006. Additionally, interest rates impact the fair value of long-term debt. Also, KCP&L had \$31.9 million of commercial paper outstanding at December 31, 2005. The principal amount, which will vary during the year, of the commercial paper will drive KCP&L's commercial paper interest expense. Assuming that \$31.9 million of commercial paper was outstanding for all of 2006, a hypothetical 10% increase in commercial paper rates would result in an increase of less than \$0.2 million in interest expense for 2006. A change in interest rates would impact the Company to the extent it redeemed any of its outstanding long-term debt. Book value of long-term debt was 1% below fair values at December 31, 2005.

Commodity Risk

KCP&L engages in the wholesale marketing of electricity and is exposed to risk associated with the price of electricity. KCP&L's wholesale operations include the physical delivery and marketing of power obtained through its generation capacity and long, intermediate and short-term capacity or power purchase agreements. The agreements contain penalties for non-performance to limit KCP&L's energy price risk on the contracted energy. KCP&L also enters into additional power purchase agreements with the objective of obtaining the most economical energy to meet its physical delivery obligations to customers. KCP&L is required to maintain a capacity margin of at least 12% of its peak summer demand. This net positive supply of capacity and energy is maintained through its generation assets and capacity and power purchase agreements to protect it from the potential operational failure of one of its power generating units. KCP&L continually evaluates the need for additional risk mitigation measures in order to minimize its financial exposure to, among other things, spikes in wholesale power prices during periods of high demand.

KCP&L's sales include the sales of electricity to its retail customers and bulk power sales of electricity in the wholesale market. KCP&L continually evaluates its system requirements, the availability of

generating units, availability and cost of fuel supply, the availability and cost of purchased power and the requirements of other electric systems; therefore, the impact of the hypothetical amounts that follow could be significantly reduced depending on the system requirements and market prices at the time of the increases. A hypothetical 10% increase in the market price of power could result in a \$5.3 million decrease in operating income for 2006 related to purchased power. In 2006, approximately 77% of KCP&L's net MWhs generated are expected to be coal-fired. KCP&L currently has all of its coal requirements for 2006 under contract. A hypothetical 10% increase in the market price of coal could result in less than a \$1.0 million increase in fuel expense for 2006. KCP&L has also implemented price risk mitigation measures to reduce its exposure to high natural gas prices. A hypothetical 10% increase in natural gas and oil market prices could result in an increase of \$2.4 million in fuel expense for 2006. At December 31, 2005, KCP&L did not have any of its 2006 projected natural gas usage for generation requirements to serve retail load and firm MWh sales hedged. KCP&L had slightly under half of its 2005 projected natural gas usage for generation requirements to serve retail load and firm MWh sales hedged at December 31, 2004.

Investment Risk

KCP&L maintains trust funds, as required by the NRC, to fund its share of decommissioning the Wolf Creek nuclear power plant. As of December 31, 2005, these funds were invested primarily in domestic equity securities and fixed income securities and are reflected at fair value on KCP&L's balance sheets. The mix of securities is designed to provide returns to be used to fund decommissioning and to compensate for inflationary increases in decommissioning costs; however, the equity securities in the trusts are exposed to price fluctuations in equity markets and the value of fixed rate fixed income securities are exposed to changes in interest rates. A hypothetical increase in interest rates resulting in a hypothetical 10% decrease in the value of the fixed income securities would have resulted in a \$4.2 million reduction in the value of the decommissioning trust funds at December 31, 2005. A hypothetical 10% decrease in equity prices would have resulted in a \$4.4 million reduction in the fair value of the equity securities at December 31, 2005. KCP&L's exposure to investment risk associated with the decommissioning trust funds is in large part mitigated due to the fact that KCP&L is currently allowed to recover its decommissioning costs in its rates.

ITEM 3. PROPERTIES

KCP&L Generation Resources

	Unit	Year Completed	Estimated 2006 MW Capacity	Primary Fuel
D I I			•	
Base Load	Wolf Creek	1985	548 (a)	Nuclear
	Iatan No. 1	1980	456 (a) (b)	Coal
	LaCygne No. 2	1977	341 (a)	Coal
	LaCygne No. 1	1973	370 (a)	Coal
	Hawthorn No. 5 (c)	1969	563	Coal
	Montrose No. 3	1964	176	Coal
	Montrose No. 2	1960	164	Coal
	Montrose No. 1	1958	170	Coal
Peak Load	West Gardner Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4 (e)	2003	308	Natural Gas
	Osawatomie (e)	2003	77	Natural Gas
	Hawthorn No. 9 (d)	2000	130	Natural Gas
	Hawthorn No. 8 (e)	2000	77	Natural Gas
	Hawthorn No. 7 (e)	2000	77	Natural Gas
	Hawthorn No. 6 (e)	1997	136	Natural Gas
	Northeast Nos. 17 and 18 (e)	1977	117	Oil
	Northeast Nos. 15 and 16 (e)	1975	116	Oil
	Northeast Nos. 13 and 14 (e)	1976	114	Oil
	Northeast Nos. 11 and 12 (e)	1972	111	Oil
	Northeast Black Start Unit	1985	2	Oil
Гotal			4,053	

⁽a) KCP&L's share of a jointly owned unit.

KCP&L owns the Hawthorn Station (Jackson County, Missouri), Montrose Station (Henry County, Missouri), Northeast Station (Jackson County, Missouri), West Gardner Station (Johnson County, Kansas) and Osawatomie Station (Miami County, Kansas). KCP&L also owns 50% of the 740 MW LaCygne No. 1 and 682 MW LaCygne No. 2 (Linn County, Kansas), 70% of the 651 MW Iatan No. 1 (Platte County, Missouri) and 47% of the 1,166 MW Wolf Creek Unit (Coffey County, Kansas). See Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements for information regarding KCP&L's comprehensive energy plan and the proposed addition of new capacity.

KCP&L Transmission and Distribution Resources

KCP&L's electric transmission system interconnects with systems of other utilities for reliability and to permit wholesale transactions with other electricity suppliers. KCP&L owns over 1,700 miles of transmission lines, approximately 9,000 miles of overhead distribution lines and over 3,700 miles of underground distribution lines in Missouri and Kansas. KCP&L has all the franchises necessary to sell electricity within the territories from which substantially all of its gross operating revenue is derived. KCP&L's transmission and distribution systems are continuously monitored for adequacy to meet customer needs. Management believes the current systems are adequate to serve its customers.

⁽b) The Iatan No. 2 air permit limits KCP&L's accredited capacity of Iatan No. 1 to 456 MWs from 469 MWs until the air quality control equipment included in the comprehensive energy plan is operational.

⁽c) The Hawthorn Generating Station returned to commercial operation in 2001 with a new boiler, air quality control equipment and an uprated turbine following a 1999 explosion.

⁽d) Heat Recovery Steam Generator portion of combined cycle.

⁽e) Combustion turbines.

KCP&L General

KCP&L's principal plants and properties, insofar as they constitute real estate, are owned in fee simple. Certain other facilities are located on premises held under leases, permits or easements. KCP&L electric transmission and distribution systems are for the most part located over or under highways, streets, other public places or property owned by others for which permits, grants, easements or licenses (deemed satisfactory but without examination of underlying land titles) have been obtained.

Substantially all of the fixed property and franchises of KCP&L, which consists principally of electric generating stations, electric transmission and distribution lines and systems, and buildings subject to exceptions and reservations, are subject to a General Mortgage Indenture and Deed of Trust dated as of December 1, 1986. General mortgage bonds totaling \$159.3 million were outstanding at December 31, 2005.

ITEM 4. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

Great Plains Energy is the sole shareholder of KCP&L. The following table shows beneficial ownership of Great Plains Energy's common stock by the named executive officers, directors and all directors and executive officers of KCP&L as of February 7, 2006, (with the exception of shares held in the Employee Savings Plus Plan, which is reported as of January 31, 2006). The total of all shares owned by directors and executive officers represents less than 1% of Great Plains Energy's common stock.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned (1)			
Named Executive Officers	Denemenal owned (1)			
Michael J. Chesser	43,973			
William H. Downey	89,255			
Terry Bassham	11,721			
Stephen T. Easley	39,705			
John R. Marshall	25,761			
Non-management Directors				
David L. Bodde	10,465 (2)			
Mark A. Ernst	8,663			
Randall C. Ferguson, Jr.	4,203			
Luis A. Jimenez	4,650			
James A. Mitchell	5,209			
William C. Nelson	5,069 (3)			
Linda H. Talbott	10,781			
All KCP&L Executive Officers and Directors As A Group (20 persons)	334,181			

- (1) Includes restricted stock and exercisable non-qualified stock options.
 - Restricted Stock: Chesser 36,006 shares; Downey 24,487 shares;
 Bassham 11,721 shares; Marshall 23,567 shares; Easley 12,593 shares;
 other executive officers 15,886.
 - Exercisable Non-Qualified Stock Options: Downey 40,000 shares; Easley 19,000 shares; other executive officers 36,000.
- (2) The nominee disclaims beneficial ownership of 1,000 shares reported and held by nominee's mother.
- (3) The nominee disclaims beneficial ownership of 62 shares reported and held by nominee's wife.

ITEM 5. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

KCP&L Directors

Great Plains Energy, as the sole shareholder of KCP&L, elects the directors of KCP&L. The directors of KCP&L are also directors of Great Plains Energy, and the Board committees of Great Plains Energy function as the Board committees of KCP&L. The nine individuals listed below are all of the current directors of KCP&L and have consented to stand for election to the Board of Great Plains Energy. If they are elected at the annual shareholders meeting on May 2, 2006, to serve on the Great Plains Energy Board, they will also be elected to the KCP&L Board to serve as directors until the next annual shareholders meeting and until their successors are elected and qualified. The committee information listed for each director refers to the Great Plains Energy Board committees, which function as the KCP&L Board committees.

David L. Bodde Director since 1994

Dr. Bodde, 63, is the Senior Fellow and Professor, Arthur M. Spiro Center for Entrepreneurial Leadership at Clemson University (since 2004). He previously held the Charles N. Kimball Professor of Technology and Innovation (1996-2004) at the University of Missouri-Kansas City. He also serves on the board of The Commerce Funds. Dr. Bodde served as a member of the Executive, Audit and Governance committees during 2005.

Michael J. Chesser Director since 2003

Mr. Chesser, 57, is Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer - Great Plains Energy and Chairman of the Board - KCP&L (since October 2003). Previously he served as Chief Executive Officer of United Water (2002-2003); and President and Chief Executive Officer of GPU Energy (2000-2002). Mr. Chesser served as a member of the Executive committee in 2005.

William H. Downey Director since 2003

Mr. Downey, 61, is President and Chief Operating Officer - Great Plains Energy and President and Chief Executive Officer - KCP&L (since October 2003). Mr. Downey joined the Company in 2000 as Executive Vice President - Kansas City Power & Light Company and President - KCPL Delivery Company. Mr. Downey also serves on the board of Enterprise Financial Services Corp. and Grubb & Ellis Realty Advisors, Inc.

Mark A. Ernst Director since 2000

Mr. Ernst, 47, is Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of H&R Block, Inc., a global provider of tax preparation, investment, mortgage and accounting services. He was elected Chairman of the Board in 2002, Chief Executive Officer in 2001 and President in 1999. Mr. Ernst also serves on the board of Knight Ridder, Inc. Mr. Ernst served on the Executive, Audit and Compensation and Development committees during 2005.

Randall C. Ferguson, Jr.

Director since 2002

Mr. Ferguson, 54, is the Senior Partner for Business Development for Tshibanda & Associates, LLC (since March 2005), a consulting and project management services firm committed to assisting clients to improve operations and achieve long-lasting, measurable results. Previously he served as Senior Vice President Business Growth & Member Connections with the Greater Kansas City Chamber of Commerce (2003-2005) and the retired Senior Location Executive (1998-2003) for the IBM Kansas City Region. Mr. Ferguson served on the Audit and Governance committees during 2005.

Luis A. Jimenez Director since 2001

Mr. Jimenez, 61, is Senior Vice President and Chief Strategy Officer (since 2001) of Pitney Bowes Inc., a global provider of integrated mail and document management solutions. He served as Vice President, Global Growth and Future Strategy (1999-2001). Mr. Jimenez served on the Governance and Compensation and Development committees during 2005.

James A. Mitchell Director since 2002

Mr. Mitchell, 64, is the Executive Fellow-Leadership, Center for Ethical Business Cultures (since 1999), a not-for-profit organization, assisting business leaders in creating ethical and profitable cultures. Mr. Mitchell served on the Compensation and Development and Governance committees during 2005.

William C. Nelson Director since 2000

Mr. Nelson, 68, is Chairman (since 2001) of George K. Baum Asset Management, a provider of investment management services to individuals, foundations and institutions. He also serves on the board of DST Systems. Mr. Nelson served on the Executive, Audit and Compensation and Development committees during 2005.

Linda H. Talbott Director since 1983

Dr. Talbott, 65, is President of Talbott & Associates (since 1975), consultants in strategic planning, philanthropic management and development to foundations, corporations, and nonprofit organizations. She is also Chairman of the Center for Philanthropic Leadership. Dr. Talbott served as the Advising Director for Corporate Social Responsibility and on the Governance and Compensation and Development committees during 2005.

KCP&L Audit Committee

The KCP&L Board has designated the Audit Committee of the Great Plains Energy Board as the KCP&L Audit Committee for purposes of Section 10A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and related rules. The members of the Audit Committee are Mark A. Ernst, David L. Bodde, Randall C. Ferguson, Jr., William K. Hall, William C. Nelson and Robert H. West. The Boards identified Messrs. Ernst, Hall, Nelson and West as "audit committee financial experts", as that term is defined by the SEC pursuant to Section 407 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and determined that those individuals are independent.

KCP&L Executive Officers

Information regarding the executive officers of KCP&L is contained in this report in the Item 1 section titled "Officers of KCP&L".

KCP&L Code of Ethics

The Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (Code), which applies to all directors, officers and employees of KCP&L and its subsidiaries. The Code is posted on the investor relations page of the Internet website www.kcpl.com. A copy of the Code is available, without charge, upon written request to Corporate Secretary, Kansas City Power & Light Company, 1201 Walnut, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. KCP&L intend to satisfy the disclosure requirements under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or a waiver from, a provision of the Code that applies to the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller of those Company by posting such information on the investor relations page of their Internet websites.

ITEM 6. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

The following table contains compensation data for KCP&L executive officers named below, for fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003. The compensation shown is paid or payable for services in all capacities to Great Plains Energy and its subsidiaries, including the Company.

		Ann	nual Compensa	<u>ttion</u>	Long '	Term Compens	<u>ation</u>	
					Awai	rds	Payouts	
Name and Principal Position (a)	Year (b)	Salary (\$) (c)	Bonus (\$) (d)	Other Annual Compensation (\$) (1) (e)	Restricted Stock Award(s) (\$)(2) (f)	Securities Underlying Options/ SARs (#) (g)	LTIP Payouts (\$) (3) (h)	All Other Compensation (\$)(4) (i)
Michael J. Chesser	2005	610,000	555,707	=	-	=	=	27,710
Chairman of the Board	2004	550,000	495,535	311,436	-	-	-	8,734
	2003	137,500	123,750	-	1,115,813	-	-	1,403
William H. Downey	2005	440,000	395,292	-	-	-	85,947	39,210
President and Chief	2004	400,000	270,292	-	-	-	-	27,562
Executive Officer	2003	325,000	219,375	-	1,001,998	5,249	-	20,764
Terry Bassham	2005	210,069	141,998	76,119	275,942	-	-	3,228
Chief Financial Officer	2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2003	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stephen T. Easley	2005	250,000	147,798	-	302,000	-	40,086	14,381
Senior Vice President-	2004	225,000	116,684	-	_	-	-	11,972
Supply	2003	210,000	94,500	-	128,378	2,449	-	10,737
John R. Marshall	2005	192,222	347,657	157,315	636,635	-	-	8,338
Senior Vice President-	2004	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Delivery	2003	-	-	-	-	_	-	-

(1) The executive officers named above received certain perquisites, which may include relocation costs, transportation allowances, a tax and financial planning allowance of up to \$1,500, dues for one club and in limited situations, the expenses of spouses accompanying the executive officers. With the exception of Messrs. Marshall and Bassham in 2005 and Mr. Chesser in 2004, perquisites did not reach in any of the reported years the threshold for reporting of the lesser of either \$50,000 or ten percent of salary and bonus set forth in the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

For 2005, amounts include:

<u>Marshall</u>

- · Relocation Costs: \$151,115
- · Transportation Allowance: \$4,200
- · Club Dues: \$500
- · Tax/Financial Planning: \$1,500

Bassham

- · Relocation Costs: \$69,173
- · Transportation Allowance: \$5,400
- · Club Dues: \$875
- · Spouse Travel: \$671

For 2004, amounts include:

<u>Chesser</u>

- · Relocation Costs: \$299,292
- Transportation Allowance: \$7,200
- · Club Dues: \$1,150
- · Spouse Travel: \$3,794
- (2) At Year-End 2005, amounts include:

Restricted Stock: The dollar value of the restricted stock awards shown in Column (f) above is calculated by multiplying the number of shares awarded by the closing market price of the Great Plains Energy common stock on the date of the grant. The grants of restricted stock vest over time. Unvested grants of restricted stock are forfeited in the event the executive's employment with Great Plains Energy is terminated (except in the events of retirement, disability or death, in which cases the grants would be prorated for service during the restriction period).

Chesser

12,135 shares vested October 1, 2005. 12,135 shares each vest on October 1, 2006 and October 1, 2007. Dividends are reinvested with the same restrictions as the restricted stock. The value at December 31, 2005, of the remaining restricted stock was \$678,589.

Downey 8,825 shares vested October 1, 2005. 8,825 shares vest on October 1, 2006, and 8,826 shares vest on October 1, 2007. Dividends are reinvested with the same restrictions as the restricted stock. The value at December 31, 2005, of the remaining restricted stock was \$493,522.

9,083 shares vest March 28, 2008. Dividends are reinvested with the same restrictions as the restricted stock. The value at December 31, 2005, of the restricted stock was \$253,961.

Easley 10,000 shares vest on February 1, 2008. Dividends are reinvested with the same restrictions as the restricted stock. The value at December 31, 2005, of the restricted stock was \$279,600.

<u>Marshall</u> 20,275 shares of restricted stock were granted in 2005, vesting May 25, 2008. Dividends are reinvested with the same restrictions as the restricted stock. The value at December 31, 2005, of the restricted stock was \$566,889.

- The LTIP Payouts for 2005 represent the value of common stock and cash dividends paid under 2003 Performance Shares for the period ended 2005. The value of the payouts are calculated as of February 7, 2006, the date the payouts were approved by the Board.
- For 2005, amounts include:

Chesser

- Contribution under the Great Plains Energy Employee Savings Plus Plan: \$6,300
- Contribution under the Great Plains Energy Employee Savings Plus Plan accruing to the Great Plains Energy Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan: \$12,000 Flex dollars under the Great Plains Energy Flexible Benefits Plan: \$6,835
- Deferred flex dollars: \$1.582
- · Above-market interest paid on compensation deferred pursuant to the Great Plains Energy Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan: \$993

Downey

- Contribution under the Great Plains Energy Employee Savings Plus Plan: \$6,300
- Contribution under the Great Plains Energy Employee Savings Plus Plan accruing to the Great Plains Energy Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan: \$6,900 Flex dollars under the Great Plains Energy Flexible Benefits Plan: \$6,253
- Deferred flex dollars: \$214
- · Above-market interest paid on compensation deferred pursuant to the Great Plains Energy Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan: \$19,543

Bassham

Flex Dollars Under the Great Plains Energy Flexible Benefits Plan: \$3,228

<u>Easley</u>

- Contribution under the Great Plains Energy Employee Savings Plus Plan: \$6,300
- Contribution under the Great Plains Energy Employee Savings Plus Plan accruing to the Great Plains Energy Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan: \$1,200 Flex dollars under the Great Plains Energy Flexible Benefits Plan: \$4,192
- Above-market interest paid on compensation deferred pursuant to the Great Plains Energy Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan: \$2,689

Marshall

- Contribution under the Great Plains Energy Employee Savings Plus Plan accruing to the Great Plains Energy Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan: \$5,200 Flex dollars under the Great Plains Energy Flexible Benefits Plan: \$2,714
- · Above-market interest paid on compensation deferred pursuant to the Great Plains Energy Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan: \$424

	Number of			ated Future Payouts Under a-Stock Price-Based Plans	1
	Shares, Units or Other		Threshold	Target	Maximum
Name	Rights (#)	Performance or Other Period	(\$ or #)	(\$ or #)	(\$ or #)
(a)	(b)(1)	Until Maturation or Payout (c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
Michael J. Chesser	30,233 shares	2 years ending 2006	0	30,233 shares	60,466 shares
	30,233 shares	3 years ending 2007	0	30,233 shares	60,466 shares
William H. Downey	16,719 shares	2 years ending 2006	0	16,719 shares	33,438 shares
	16,719 shares	3 years ending 2007	0	16,719 shares	33,438 shares
Terry Bassham	6,358 shares	3 years ending 2007	0	6,358 shares	12,716 shares
John R. Marshall	7,096 shares	3 years ending 2007	0	7,096 shares	14,192 shares
Stephen T. Easley	5,782 shares	2 years ending 2006	0	5,782 shares	11,564 shares
	5,782 shares	3 years ending 2007	0	5,782 shares	11,564 shares

⁽¹⁾ The awards of performance shares to Messrs. Chesser and Bassham are based on the following weightings of Great Plains Energy objectives during the applicable performance period: 50% total shareholder return compared to other Edison Electric Institute companies; 25% earnings per share; and 25% return on invested capital. The awards of performance shares to Messrs. Downey, Marshall and Easley are based 60%, 20% and 20%, respectively, on the Great Plains Energy objectives, with the remainder based on the following weightings of KCP&L objectives during the applicable performance period: 25% earnings; 25% return on invested capital; 25% on regulatory/build plan on schedule and budget; and 25% distributed utility goal. Payment of performance shares will range from 0% to 200% of the target amount of performance shares, depending on performance. Payment will be made in an amount equal to the number of performance shares earned, multiplied by the fair market value of common stock at the end of the applicable performance period and divided by the fair market value of common stock at the time of grant.

Aggregated Option/SAR Exercises in the Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year-End Option/SAR Values

Shares Acquired on Exercise		Value Realized	Number of Securiti Options/SAF		, 0	Value of Unexer Money Options/S Year F (\$)	ARs at Fiscal
Name (a)	(#) (b)	(\$) (c)	Exercisable (1)(d)	()	Unexercisable (d)	Exercisable(1) (e)	Unexercisable (e)
Michael J. Chesser	-		-	_	-		
William H. Downey	-		=	40,000	5,249	109,40	00 1,207
Terry Bassham	-		-	-	-		
Stephen T. Easley	-		-	19,000	2,449	54,24	40 563
John R. Marshall	-		-	-	-		

Pension Plans

Great Plains Energy has a non-contributory pension plan providing for benefits upon retirement, normally at age 65. In addition, a supplemental retirement benefit is provided for selected executive officers based on the number of years such persons were officers. The following table shows examples of single life option pension benefits (including unfunded supplemental retirement benefits) payable upon retirement at age 65 to the named executive officers, assuming that the person was covered by the supplemental retirement benefit for all years of service.

Average Annual Base Salary	Annu	Annual Pension for Years of Service Indicated							
for Highest 36 Months	15	20	25	30 or more					
150,000	45,000	60,000	75,000	90,000					
200,000	60,000	80,000	100,000	120,000					
250,000	75,000	100,000	125,000	150,000					
300,000	90,000	120,000	150,000	180,000					
350,000	105,000	140,000	175,000	210,000					
400,000	120,000	160,000	200,000	240,000					
450,000	135,000	180,000	225,000	270,000					
500,000	150,000	200,000	250,000	300,000					
550,000	165,000	220,000	275,000	330,000					
600,000	180,000	240,000	300,000	360,000					
650,000	195,000	260,000	325,000	390,000					
700,000	210,000	280,000	350,000	420,000					
750,000	225,000	300,000	375,000	450,000					

Each eligible employee with 30 or more years of credited service, or whose age and years of service add up to 85, is entitled under the pension plan to a total monthly annuity equal to 50% of their average base monthly salary for the period of 36 consecutive months in which their earnings were highest. The monthly annuity will be proportionately reduced if their years of credited service are less than 30 or if their age and years of service do not add up to 85. The compensation covered by the pension plan -- base monthly salary -- excludes any bonuses and other compensation. The pension plan provides that pension amounts are not reduced by Social Security benefits. The estimated years of credited service under the pension plan for the named executive officers in the Summary Compensation table are as follows.

Officer	Years of Credited Service
Michael J. Chesser ^(a)	2.5
William H. Downey	5.5
Terry Bassham	0.5
John R. Marshall ^(a)	0
Stephen T. Easley	9

⁽a) Pursuant to the terms of employment agreements, Messrs. Chesser and Marshall will be credited with two years of service for every one year of service earned. The additional year of service will be paid as a supplemental retirement benefit.

Eligibility for supplemental retirement benefits is limited to executive officers selected by the Compensation and Development Committee of the Board; all the named executive officers are participants. The total retirement benefit payable at the normal retirement date is equal to 1 2/3% of highest average annual base salary over the thirty-six consecutive month period when base salary was highest (highest average annual base salary), as shown above, for each year of credited service, plus an additional 1/3% of highest average annual base salary for each year of credited service when the

executive was eligible for supplemental retirement benefits, up to 30 years (or a maximum of 60% of highest average annual base salary in the situation where the executive was eligible for supplemental retirement benefits for at least 30 years). A liability accrues each year to cover the estimated cost of future supplemental benefits.

The Internal Revenue Code imposes certain limitations on pensions that may be paid under tax qualified pension plans. In addition to the supplemental retirement benefits, the amount by which pension benefits exceed the limitations will be paid outside the qualified plan and accounted for by the Company as an operating expense.

Severance Agreements

Great Plains Energy has severance agreements (Severance Agreements) with certain of its executive officers, including the named executive officers, to ensure their continued service and dedication and their objectivity in considering on behalf of Great Plains Energy any transaction that would change the control of Great Plains Energy. Under the Severance Agreements, an executive officer would be entitled to receive a lump-sum cash payment and certain insurance benefits during the three-year period after a Change in Control (or, if later, the three-year period following the consummation of a transaction approved by Great Plains Energy's shareholders constituting a Change in Control) if the officer's employment was terminated by:

- · Great Plains Energy other than for cause or upon death or disability;
- · the executive officer for Good Reason (as defined in the Severance Agreements); and
- the executive officer for any reason during a 30-day period commencing one year after the Change in Control or, if later, commencing one year following consummation of a transaction approved by Great Plains Energy's shareholders constituting a change in control (a Qualifying Termination).

A Change in Control is defined as:

- · an acquisition by a person or group of 20% or more of the Great Plains Energy common stock (other than an acquisition from or by Great Plains Energy or by a Great Plains Energy benefit plan);
- · a change in a majority of the Board; and
- · approval by the shareholders of a reorganization, merger or consolidation (unless shareholders receive 60% or more of the stock of the surviving company) or a liquidation, dissolution or sale of substantially all of Great Plains Energy's assets.

Upon a Qualifying Termination, a lump-sum cash payment will be made to the executive officer of:

- · the officer's base salary through the date of termination;
- · a pro-rated bonus based upon the average of the bonuses paid to the officer for the last five fiscal years;
- · any accrued vacation pay;
- · two or three times the officer's highest base salary during the prior 12 months;
- · two or three times the average of the bonuses paid to the officer for the last five fiscal years;
- the actuarial equivalent of the excess of the officer's accrued pension benefits including supplemental retirement benefits computed without reduction for early retirement and including two or three additional years of benefit accrual service, over the officer's vested accrued pension benefits; and
- · the value of any unvested Great Plains Energy contributions for the benefit of the officer under the Great Plains Energy Employee Savings Plus Plan.

In addition, Great Plains Energy must offer health, disability and life insurance plan coverage to the officer and his dependents on the same terms and conditions that existed immediately prior to the Qualifying

Termination for two or three years, or, if earlier, until the executive officer is covered by equivalent plan benefits. Great Plains Energy must make certain "gross-up" payments regarding tax obligations relating to payments under the Severance Agreements as well as provide reimbursement of certain expenses relating to possible disputes that might arise.

In the following circumstances, termination of the officer's employment prior to a Change in Control (or, if later, prior to the consummation of a transaction approved by shareholders that constitutes a Change in Control) will be treated as a Qualifying Termination:

- · the officer's employment was terminated without Cause (as defined in the Severance Agreement) and the termination was at the request or direction of the other party to the agreement;
- · the officer terminates his employment for Good Reason; or
- the officer's employment is terminated without Cause and such termination is otherwise in connection with or in anticipation of a Change in Control that actually occurs.

Payments and other benefits under the Severance Agreements are in addition to balances due under the Great Plains Energy Long-Term Incentive Plan and Annual Incentive Plan. Upon a Change in Control (as defined in the Great Plains Energy Long-Term Incentive Plan), all stock options granted in tandem with limited stock appreciation rights will be automatically exercised.

Other Employment Arrangements

Pursuant to the terms of an employment arrangement, Mr. Chesser is entitled to receive three times annual salary and bonus if he is terminated without cause prior to his reaching age 63. After age 63, any benefit for termination without cause will be one times annual salary and bonus until age 65. Messrs. Chesser and Marshall will receive two credited years of service for every one year of service earned. The additional year of service will be paid as a supplemental retirement benefit.

Director Compensation

The directors of KCP&L receive the following compensation for serving on the Boards of Great Plains Energy and KCP&L:

An annual retainer of \$50,000 was paid in 2005 (\$25,000 of which was used to acquire shares of common stock through the Dividend Reinvestment and Direct Stock Purchase Plan on behalf of each non-employee member of the Board). An additional retainer of \$10,000 was paid annually to the lead director. Also, a retainer of \$6,000, \$5,000 and \$5,000 was paid to the non-employee director serving as chair of the Audit Committee, the Compensation and Development Committee and the Governance Committee, respectively. Attendance fees of \$1,000 for each Board meeting and \$1,000 for each committee and other meeting attended were also paid in 2005. Directors may defer the receipt of all or part of the cash retainers and meeting fees.

Great Plains Energy provides life and medical insurance coverage for each non-employee member of the Board. The total premiums paid by Great Plains Energy for this coverage for all non-employee directors in 2005 was \$32,789. Great Plains Energy pays or reimburses directors for travel, lodging and related expenses they incur in attending Board and committee meetings, including the expenses incurred by directors' spouses in accompanying the directors to one Board meeting in 2005. It also matches, on a two-for-one basis, up to \$5,000 per year of charitable donations made by a director to 501(c)(3) organizations that meet our strategic giving priorities and are located in the service territory.

Compensation and Development Committee Report on KCP&L Executive Compensation

The Committee's Responsibilities

The Compensation and Development Committee of the Board of Great Plains Energy (Committee) is composed of six non-employee directors, each of whom is independent under applicable standards of the New York Stock Exchange. The Committee is responsible for setting the executive compensation structure and administering the policies and plans that govern compensation for the executive officers. The purpose of this report is to summarize the Committee's compensation philosophy, identify key elements of the executive compensation programs and describe the process and practices applied by the Committee in making compensation decisions for fiscal year 2005.

Compensation Philosophy

The Committee has adopted a compensation philosophy intended to:

- · Attract and retain highly qualified and experienced executives;
- · Emphasize a significant alignment between pay and Great Plains Energy's and/or the executive's performance;
- · Motivate executives to achieve strong short-term and long-term financial and operational results;
- · Provide variable compensation opportunities that recognize and reward outstanding performance;
- · Align management interests with those of the shareholders; and
- · Provide a significant portion of total pay in the form of stock-based incentives, correspondingly requiring target levels of stock ownership.

Compensation Methodology

Each year the Committee reviews data from market surveys, proxy statements, and other information provided by independent compensation consultants relating to an assessment of Great Plains Energy's competitive position with respect to base salaries, annual incentives, long-term incentives, and other specific aspects of executive compensation. The Committee reviews the alignment between executive pay and performance on a regular basis. In the most recent assessment of its compensation practices by the compensation consultant retained by the Committee, it was reported that analyses demonstrated a strong relationship between pay and performance. The Committee also considers in its assessment individual performance, level of responsibility, internal comparisons, and skills and experience. Certain of Great Plains Energy's executive officers serve as officers and/or directors of various subsidiaries. The total compensation of officers is designed to cover the full range of services they provide to Great Plains Energy and its subsidiaries.

Components of Compensation

Base Salary

The Committee reviews executive officer base salaries annually and concurrent with an evaluation of the executive's performance for the prior year. Base salaries are based upon job responsibilities, level of experience, individual performance, comparisons of the salaries of executives in similar positions obtained from market surveys, internal comparisons and competitive data provided by compensation consultants retained by the Committee. The goal for the base salary component is to compensate executives at a level, which approximates the median salaries of individuals in comparable positions in companies of similar size within the industry and general industry, as appropriate. Base salary increases for Messrs. Chesser, Downey and Easley were effective January 1, 2005. Messrs. Bassham and Marshall were not employed by Great Plains Energy until March 28, 2005 and May 25, 2005, respectively.

Annual Incentives

Annual cash incentives are provided to executive officers based upon the achievement of pre-established corporate and business unit objectives, and also provide the ability to recognize individual performance. In 2005, the Committee administered the Great Plains Energy Annual Incentive Plan (the Plan) that permitted the award of annual cash incentives to executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers set forth in the Summary Compensation Table. Target incentives under the Plan are established as a percentage of base pay, using survey data for individuals in comparable positions and markets and internal comparisons. The Committee has established total target annual bonus levels intended to approximate the 50th percentile bonus levels in comparable positions and markets when target performance is achieved. Target annual incentives under the Plan for 2005 ranged from 30% to 60% of base pay. The total amount available for payment was determined by corporate earnings per share and subject to established threshold, target and maximum levels. The Plan will pay out at 100% at target. Fifty percent of the incentive is payable at the threshold level of performance and 150% of the incentive is payable at the maximum level of performance. If performance falls below target, but is above threshold, the amount of the award payable will be below the target award level. Similarly, performance above target will result in an award higher than target level. Individual awards will not be paid if the corporate EPS performance falls below the threshold level. The entire award is distributed proportionately among participants based on other corporate and business unit measures, such as return on invested capital, customer satisfaction, customer retention rate, reliability, and others. Individual performance is also taken into account. For 2005, discretion was used to exclude from Great Plains Energy reported earnings and Strategic Energy L.L.C. pre-tax income goals and results the applicable effects of mark-to-market gains

The Annual Incentive Plan of Great Plains Energy Incorporated was amended in February of 2006. The amended Plan will continue to be based on achievement of pre-established company and business unit financial and operational metrics. For 2006, the measures for annual incentives are based 50% on core earnings, and 30% on financial ratios, production availability, achievement of comprehensive energy plan milestones, customer satisfaction, profitability, employee engagement and/or other specified business unit objectives. The Committee also takes into account individual performance to account for 20% of the target award. Individual Incentive amounts will range from 0% to 200% of target based on performance, and the Committee intends to target the 50th percentile or above as the basis for target annual bonus levels.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation

The Committee has structured a long-term compensation element to more closely align the interests of management with the creation of long-term shareholder value. The Great Plains Energy Long-Term Incentive Plan was approved by shareholders in 2002, and provides for grants by the Committee of stock options, restricted stock, performance shares, and other stock-based awards. Each executive officer is assigned a long-term incentive target based on both internal comparisons and upon survey data for individuals in comparable positions in the markets in which Great Plains Energy competes for executive talent. Compliance with stock ownership guidelines is also taken into consideration in determining grants under the Long-Term Incentive Program. The Committee has established total target long-term incentive targets at the 50th percentile in comparable positions and markets. Targets range from 40% to 150% of base salary. Based on performance over the period, awards can pay out from 0% to 200%. However, since no long-term grants were made under the program in 2004, in 2005 executives received a two-year performance share grant for 2005-2006 performance, and a three-year performance share grant for 2005-2007 performance. Payouts, if any, will be made after the end of the period based on performance during the period. Goals for both long-term grants were based on pre-approved corporate and business unit measures.

For 2006, the performance share component of long-term awards will be based on Great Plains Energy's Total Shareholder Return over a three-year period, as compared to the Total Shareholder Return of the Edison Electric Institute (EEI) Index of electric utilities. The Committee believes this measure ensures strong alignment of executive financial interests with the long-term interests of its shareholders.

KCP&L Chief Executive Officer Compensation

The Committee considers the assessment of the Chief Executive Officer's (CEO) performance and determination of the CEO's compensation as among its principal responsibilities. Its objective with regards to setting an appropriate level of compensation is to motivate and retain a CEO who is committed to delivering sustained superior performance for the Company's shareholder.

In 2005, Mr. Downey received a base salary of \$440,000, which is below the median for CEOs of comparably-sized companies in similar markets. In determining Mr. Downey's base salary, the Committee considered the financial performance of the Company; the cost and quality of services provided; leadership in enhancing the long-term value of the Company; performance against other pre-established objectives; survey data; and consideration of length of service. Mr. Downey's annual incentive compensation award was targeted at 45% of base pay, also considered to be somewhat below market levels. In 2005, Mr. Downey's incentive award was based 80% on Great Plains Energy performance which included a balanced scorecard of financial, customer-related and internal/operational metrics, and 20% on individual performance. Funding for annual awards was based on corporate earnings per share. The Great Plains Energy scorecard resulted in overall performance between target and maximum levels for purposes of the annual incentive plan, and earnings performance allowed funding at the maximum level. Mr. Downey received two long-term performance share grants in 2005 since no awards were made in 2004. Awards were determined in the same manner as for other executive officers. Mr. Downey's long-term incentive target was 115% of base pay, which is consistent with the 50th percentile for comparable CEO positions and markets.

It is the Committee's intent that, when taken together, the components of Mr. Downey's pay, including base salary, annual incentives and long-term incentives, would result in total compensation that would approximate the 50th percentile of the market when incentive plan performance measures are met and in compensation levels at the 75th percentile or higher when incentive plan performance is at superior levels.

Code Section 162(m)

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code precludes the Company from taking a deduction for compensation in excess of \$1 million for any individual who, on the last day of that year, is the CEO or among the other four highest compensated officers unless that compensation qualifies as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m). With respect to incentive compensation, the Great Plains Energy Long-Term Incentive Plan was approved by shareholders in 2002 and offers vehicles, which are performance-based. It is the Committee's intent to take reasonable steps to include the provisions necessary to qualify for exemptions from the limitations on such deductibility under Section 162(m) at the time the Plan is next taken for shareholder vote in May 2007. With respect to awards under the Great Plains Energy Annual Incentive Plan, the Committee believes that the interests of Great Plains Energy's shareholders are best served by not restricting the Committee's and Great Plains Energy's discretion and flexibility in developing compensation programs.

COMPENSATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE William C. Nelson (Chairman)

Mark A. Ernst Luis A. Jimenez James A. Mitchell Linda H. Talbott Robert H. West

ITEM 7. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

See Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 8. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Hawthorn No. 5 Litigation

KCP&L filed suit on April 3, 2001, in Jackson County, Missouri Circuit Court against multiple defendants who are alleged to have responsibility for the 1999 boiler explosion at KCP&L's Hawthorn No. 5 generating unit, which was subsequently reconstructed and returned to service. KCP&L and National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (National Union) entered into a subrogation allocation agreement under which recoveries in this suit are generally allocated 55% to National Union and 45% to KCP&L. Certain defendants have been dismissed from the suit and various defendants settled, with KCP&L receiving a total of \$38.2 million under the terms of the subrogation allocation agreement. Trial of this case with the one remaining defendant resulted in a March 2004 jury verdict finding KCP&L's damages as a result of the explosion were \$452 million. After deduction of amounts received from pre-trial settlements with other defendants and an amount for KCP&L's comparative fault (as determined by the jury), the verdict would have resulted in an award against the defendant of approximately \$97.6 million (of which KCP&L would have received \$33 million pursuant to the subrogation allocation agreement after payment of attorney's fees). In response to post-trial pleadings filed by the defendant, in May 2004, the trial judge reduced the award against the defendant to \$0.2 million. Both KCP&L and the defendant have appealed this case to the Court of Appeals for the Western District of Missouri. Oral arguments occurred in February 2006 and an opinion is expected in the second quarter of 2006.

KCP&L Stipulations and Agreements
On March 28, 2005, and April 27, 2005, KCP&L filed Stipulations and Agreements with the MPSC and KCC, respectively, containing a regulatory plan and other provisions. The Stipulations and Agreements are discussed in Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements, which is incorporated herein by reference. Parties to the MPSC Stipulation and Agreement are KCP&L, the Staff of the MPSC, the City of Kansas City, Missouri, Office of Public Counsel, Praxair, Inc., Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers, Ford Motor Company, Aquila, Inc., The Empire District Electric Company, Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission and the Missouri Department of Natural Resources. Parties to the KCC Stipulation and Agreement are KCP&L, the Staff of the KCC, Sprint Nextel Corporation and the Kansas Hospital Association.

The MPSC issued its Report and Order, approving the Stipulation and Agreement, on July 28, 2005, and the KCC issued its Order Approving Stipulation and Agreement on August 5, 2005. On September 22, 2005, the Sierra Club and Concerned Citizens of Platte County, two nonprofit corporations, filed a petition for review in the Circuit Court of Cole County, Missouri, seeking to review and set aside the MSPC Report and Order. On March 13, 2006, the Circuit Court affirmed the MPSC Order. This decision may be appealed to the Missouri Court of Appeals. On October 21, 2005, the Sierra Club filed a petition for review in the District Court of Shawnee County, Kansas, seeking to set

aside or remand the KCC order. Although subject to the appeals, the MPSC and KCC orders remain in effect pending the courts' decisions. The appeals are expected to be decided by the courts in 2006.

KCP&L Rate Cases

On February 1, 2006, KCP&L filed retail rate cases with the MPSC and KCC, requesting annual rate increases effective January 1, 2007, of approximately \$55.8 million (11.5%) and \$42.3 million (10.5%), respectively, over current levels. Hearings on these cases are expected to begin in September 2006 and the decisions of the MPSC and KCC are expected in December 2006.

Kansas City Power & Light Company v. Union Pacific Railroad Company

On October 12, 2005, KCP&L filed a rate complaint case with the Surface Transportation Board (STB) charging that Union Pacific Railroad Company's (Union Pacific) rates for transporting coal from the PRB in Wyoming to KCP&L's Montrose Station are unreasonably high. Prior to the end of 2005, the rates were established under a contract with Union Pacific. Efforts to extend the term of the contract were unsuccessful and Union Pacific is the only service for coal transportation from the PRB to Montrose Station. KCP&L charged that Union Pacific possesses market dominance over the traffic and requested the STB prescribe maximum reasonable rates. Management anticipates filing opening evidence by mid-year 2006 and the STB issuing its decision toward the end of 2007. Until the STB case is finalized, KCP&L is paying tariff rates subject to refund.

Framatome

In 2005, WCNOC filed a lawsuit on behalf of itself, KCP&L and the other two Wolf Creek owners against Framatome ANP, Inc., and Framatome ANP Richland, Inc. (Framatome) in the District Court of Coffey County, Kansas. The suit alleges various claims against Framatome related to the proposed design, licensing and installation of a digital control system. The suit seeks recovery of approximately \$16 million in damages from Framatome. Framatome removed the case to U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas. Thereafter, the plaintiffs filed a motion to remand the case back to Coffey County District Court, which was granted. Framatome has filed a counterclaim against the three Wolf Creek owners seeking recovery of damages alleged to be in excess of \$20 million.

ITEM 9. MARKET PRICE OF AND DIVIDENDS ON THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

KCP&L

KCP&L is a wholly owned subsidiary of Great Plains Energy, which holds the one share of issued and outstanding KCP&L common stock. Cash dividends on KCP&L's common stock are set forth in the following table.

	Common Stock Dividends Declar							
Quarter	200)6	20	05	20	04		
		(millions)						
First	\$	20.0	\$	30.8	\$	29.0		
Second				30.9		28.7		
Third				31.0		31.1		
Fourth				20.0		30.4		

Regulatory Restrictions

Under the Federal Power Act, KCP&L can pay dividends only out of retained or current earnings. Under stipulations with the MPSC and KCC, KCP&L has committed to maintain consolidated common equity of not less than 35%.

Equity Compensation Plan

KĈP&L does not have an equity compensation plan; however, KCP&L officers participate in Great Plains Energy's Long-Term Incentive Plan. For a description of the Long-Term Incentive Plan, see Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 10. RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES

On November 17, 2005, KCP&L issued \$250 million aggregate principal amount of its 6.05% Senior Notes due 2035, Series A (Notes) in accordance with the provisions of Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Securities Act), Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act. The initial purchases of the Notes were BNP Paribas Securities Corp., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Banc of America Securities LLC, Credit Suisse First Boston LLC, BNY Capital Markets, Inc., KeyBanc Capital Markets, a Division of McDonald Investments Inc., and Wachovia Capital Markets LLC. The Notes were sold at 99.398% of principal amount and KCP&L received net proceeds of \$246,307,500 after deduction of a gross spread amount of \$2,187,500.

ITEM 11. DESCRIPTION OF COMMON STOCK

General

The following descriptions of KCP&L's common stock and the relevant provisions of its restated Articles of Consolidation (Articles) and bylaws are summaries and are qualified by references to its restated Articles of Consolidation and bylaws which have been previously filed with the SEC and are exhibits to this registration statement, as well as the applicable Missouri General and Business Corporation Law.

Under its Articles, KCP&L is authorized to issue 1,000 shares of common stock without par value. At March 30, 2006, one share of common stock was issued and outstanding.

Dividend Rights and Limitations

The holders of KCP&L's common stock are entitled to receive such dividends paid out of any funds legally available for the purpose as KCP&L's Board may from time to time declare.

Voting Rights

Except as described below and as otherwise provided by law, the holders of KCP&L's common stock have the exclusive right to vote for all general purposes and for the election of directors through cumulative voting. This means each shareholder has a total vote equal to the number of shares they own multiplied by the number of directors to be elected. These votes may be divided among all nominees equally or may be voted for one or more of the nominees either in equal or unequal amounts. The nominees with the highest number of votes are elected.

Any act or transaction by or involving the Company that requires shareholder approval also requires the approval of the shareholders of Great Plains Energy by the same vote as is required by the Company's shareholders.

Liquidation Rights

In the event of any dissolution or liquidation of the Company, after there shall have been paid to or set aside for the holders of shares having superior liquidation preferences, the holders of outstanding shares of common stock shall be entitled to receive pro rata, according to the number of shares held by each, the remaining assets available for distribution.

Miscellaneous

The outstanding share of common stock is, and shares of common stock issued hereafter will be, upon payment for them, fully paid and nonassessable. The holders of KCP&L's common stock are not

entitled to any preemptive or preferential rights to subscribe for or purchase any part of any new or additional issue of stock or securities convertible into stock. KCP&L's common stock does not contain any redemption provisions or conversion rights.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

KCP&L acts as transfer agent and registrar for its common stock.

Business Combinations

The affirmative vote of the holders of at least 80% of the outstanding shares of common stock is required for the approval or authorization of certain business combinations; provided, however, that such 80% voting requirement shall not be applicable if:

- the business combination shall have been approved by a majority of the continuing directors; or
- the cash or the fair market value of the property, securities, or other consideration to be received per share by holders of the common stock in such business combination is not less than the highest per-share price paid by or on behalf of the acquiror for any shares of common stock during the five-year period preceding the announcement of the business combination.

ITEM 12. INDEMNIFICATION OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS.

RSMo Section 351.355 (2005) provides as follows:

- 1. A corporation created under the laws of this state may indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending or completed action, suit, or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, other than an action by or in the right of the corporation, by reason of the fact that he or she is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation, or is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise, against expenses, including attorneys' fees, judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection with such action, suit, or proceeding if he or she acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful. The termination of any action, suit, or proceeding by judgment, order, settlement, conviction, or upon a plea of nolo contendere or its equivalent, shall not, of itself, create a presumption that the person did not act in good faith and in a manner which he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had reasonable cause to believe that his or her conduct was unlawful.
- 2. The corporation may indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending or completed action or suit by or in the right of the corporation to procure a judgment in its favor by reason of the fact that he or she is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation, or is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise against expenses, including attorneys' fees, and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection with the defense or settlement of the action or suit if he or she acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation; except that no indemnification shall be made in respect of any claim, issue or matter as to which such person shall have been adjudged to be liable for negligence or misconduct in the performance of his or her duty to the corporation unless and only to the extent that the court in which the action or suit was brought determines upon application that, despite the adjudication of liability and in view of all the circumstances of the

case, the person is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnity for such expenses which the court shall deem proper.

- 3. Except as otherwise provided in the articles of incorporation or the bylaws, to the extent that a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation has been successful on the merits or otherwise in defense of any action, suit, or proceeding referred to in subsections 1 and 2 of this section, or in defense of any claim, issue or matter therein, he or she shall be indemnified against expenses, including attorneys' fees, actually and reasonably incurred by him in connection with the action, suit, or proceeding.
- 4. Any indemnification under subsections 1 and 2 of this section, unless ordered by a court, shall be made by the corporation only as authorized in the specific case upon a determination that indemnification of the director, officer, employee or agent is proper in the circumstances because he or she has met the applicable standard of conduct set forth in this section. The determination shall be made by the board of directors by a majority vote of a quorum consisting of directors who were not parties to the action, suit, or proceeding, or if such a quorum is not obtainable, or even if obtainable a quorum of disinterested directors so directs, by independent legal counsel in a written opinion, or by the shareholders.
- 5. Expenses incurred in defending a civil or criminal action, suit or proceeding may be paid by the corporation in advance of the final disposition of the action, suit, or proceeding as authorized by the board of directors in the specific case upon receipt of an undertaking by or on behalf of the director, officer, employee or agent to repay such amount unless it shall ultimately be determined that he or she is entitled to be indemnified by the corporation as authorized in this section.
- 6. The indemnification provided by this section shall not be deemed exclusive of any other rights to which those seeking indemnification may be entitled under the articles of incorporation or bylaws or any agreement, vote of shareholders or disinterested directors or otherwise, both as to action in his or her official capacity and as to action in another capacity while holding such office, and shall continue as to a person who has ceased to be a director, officer, employee or agent and shall inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors and administrators of such a person.
- 7. A corporation created under the laws of this state shall have the power to give any further indemnity, in addition to the indemnity authorized or contemplated under other subsections of this section, including subsection 6, to any person who is or was a director, officer, employee or agent, or to any person who is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise, provided such further indemnity is either (i) authorized, directed, or provided for in the articles of incorporation of the corporation or any duly adopted amendment thereof or (ii) is authorized, directed, or provided for in any bylaw or agreement of the corporation which has been adopted by a vote of the shareholders of the corporation, and provided further that no such indemnity shall indemnify any person from or on account of such person's conduct which was finally adjudged to have been knowingly fraudulent, deliberately dishonest or willful misconduct. Nothing in this subsection shall be deemed to limit the power of the corporation under subsection 6 of this section to enact bylaws or to enter into agreements without shareholder adoption of the same.
- 8. The corporation may purchase and maintain insurance or another arrangement on behalf of any person who is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation, or is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise against any liability asserted against him or her and incurred by him or her in any such capacity, or arising out of his or her status as such, whether or not the corporation would have the power to indemnify him against such liability under the provisions of this section. Without limiting the power of the corporation to procure or maintain any kind of insurance or other arrangement the corporation may for the benefit of persons indemnified by the corporation create a trust fund, establish any form of self insurance, secure its

indemnity obligation by grant of a security interest or other lien on the assets of the corporation, or establish a letter of credit, guaranty, or surety arrangement. The insurance or other arrangement may be procured, maintained, or established within the corporation or with any insurer or other person deemed appropriate by the board of directors regardless of whether all or part of the stock or other securities of the insurer or other person are owned in whole or in part by the corporation. In the absence of fraud the judgment of the board of directors as to the terms and conditions of the insurance or other arrangement and the identity of the insurer or other person participating in an arrangement shall be conclusive and the insurance or arrangement shall not be voidable and shall not subject the directors approving the insurance or arrangement to liability on any ground regardless of whether directors participating in the approval are beneficiaries of the insurance arrangement.

- 9. Any provision of this chapter to the contrary notwithstanding, the provisions of this section shall apply to all existing and new domestic corporations, including but not limited to banks, trust companies, insurance companies, building and loan associations, savings bank and safe deposit companies, mortgage loan companies, corporations formed for benevolent, religious, scientific or educational purposes and nonprofit corporations.
- 10. For the purpose of this section, references to "the corporation" include all constituent corporations absorbed in a consolidation or merger as well as the resulting or surviving corporation so that any person who is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of such a constituent corporation or is or was serving at the request of such constituent corporation as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise shall stand in the same position under the provisions of this section with respect to the resulting or surviving corporation as he or she would if he or she had served the resulting or surviving corporation in the same capacity.
- 11. For purposes of this section, the term "other enterprise" shall include employee benefit plans; the term "fines" shall include any excise taxes assessed on a person with respect to an employee benefit plan; and the term "serving at the request of the corporation" shall include any service as a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation which imposes duties on, or involves services by, such director, officer, employee, or agent with respect to an employee benefit plan, its participants, or beneficiaries; and a person who acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of an employee benefit plan shall be deemed to have acted in a manner "not opposed to the best interests of the corporation" as referred to in this section.
- 12. The officers and directors of the Company have entered into indemnification agreements with Great Plains Energy indemnifying such officers and directors to the extent allowed under the above RSMo Section 351.355 (2005).

Article XIII of the Articles of Incorporation of the Company provides as follows:

ARTICLE THIRTEENTH.

1. Right to Indemnification. Each person who was or is made a party or is threatened to be made a party to any action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, by reason of the fact that he or she is or was a director or officer of the Company or is or was an employee of the Company acting within the scope and course of his or her employment or is or was serving at the request of the Company as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation or of a partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise, including service with respect to employee benefit plans, shall be indemnified and held harmless by the Company to the fullest extent authorized by The Missouri General and Business Corporation Law, as the same exists or may hereafter be amended, against all expense, liability and loss (including attorneys' fees, judgments, fines, ERISA excise taxes or penalties and amounts paid to or to be paid in settlement)

actually and reasonably incurred by such person in connection therewith. The Company may in its discretion by action of its Board of Directors provide indemnification to agents of the Company as provided for in this ARTICLE THIRTEENTH. Such indemnification shall continue as to a person who has ceased to be a director, officer, employee or agent and shall inure to the benefit of his or her heirs, executors and administrators.

- 2. Rights Not Exclusive. The indemnification and other rights provided by this ARTICLE THIRTEENTH shall not be deemed exclusive of any other rights to which a person may be entitled under any applicable law, By-laws of the Company, agreement, vote of shareholders or disinterested directors or otherwise, both as to action in such person's official capacity and as to action in any other capacity while holding the office of director or officer, and the Company is hereby expressly authorized by the shareholders of the Company to enter into agreements with its directors and officers which provide greater indemnification rights than that generally provided by The Missouri General and Business Corporation Law; provided, however, that no such further indemnity shall indemnify any person from or on account of such director's or officer's conduct which was finally adjudged to have been knowingly fraudulent, deliberately dishonest or willful misconduct. Any such agreement providing for further indemnity entered into pursuant to this ARTICLE THIRTEENTH after the date of approval of this ARTICLE THIRTEENTH by the Company's shareholders need not be further approved by the shareholders of the Company in order to be fully effective and enforceable.
- 3. Insurance. The Company may purchase and maintain insurance on behalf of any person who was or is a director, officer, employee or agent of the Company, or was or is serving at the request of the Company as a director, officer, employee or agent of another company, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise against any liability asserted against or incurred by such person in any such capacity, or arising out of his or her status as such, whether or not the Company would have the power to indemnify such person against such liability under the provisions of this ARTICLE THIRTEENTH.
- 4. Amendment. This ARTICLE THIRTEENTH may be hereafter amended or repealed; however, no amendment or repeal shall reduce, terminate or otherwise adversely affect the right of a person entitled to obtain indemnification or an advance of expenses with respect to an action, suit or proceeding that pertains to or arises out of actions or omissions that occur prior to the later of (a) the effective date of such amendment or repeal; (b) the expiration date of such person's then current term of office with, or service for, the Company (provided such person has a stated term of office or service and completes such term); or (c) the effective date such person resigns his or her office or terminates his or her service (provided such person has a stated term of office or service but resigns prior to the expiration of such term).

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY Consolidated Statements of Income

Year Ended December 31		2005	2004	2004 2003			
Operating Revenues			(thousands)				
Electric revenues	\$	1,130,792	\$ 1,090,067	\$ 1,054,90	00		
Other revenues		113	1,568	2,10	01		
Total	-	1,130,905	1,091,635	1,057,0	01		
Operating Expenses							
Fuel		207,875	179,362	160,3	27		
Purchased power		61,263	52,533	53,10	63		
Other		265,707	259,699	241,70	01		
Maintenance		90,321	83,535	85,39	91		
Depreciation and amortization		146,610	145,246	140,9	55		
General taxes		104,823	98,984	95,59	90		
(Gain) loss on property		4,613	5,133	(1,60	03)		
Total		881,212	824,492	775,5	24		
Operating income		249,693	267,143	281,4	77		
Non-operating income		16,104	5,402	5,2	51		
Non-operating expenses		(4,281)	(7,407)	(8,28	80)		
Interest charges		(61,841)	(74,170)	(70,2	94)		
Income from continuing operations before							
income taxes and minority interest in subsidiaries		199,675	190,968	208,1	54		
Income taxes		(48,213)	(52,763)	(83,5)	72)		
Minority interest in subsidiaries		(7,805)	5,087	1,20	63		
Income from continuing operations		143,657	143,292	125,8	45		
Discontinued operations, net of income taxes (Note 7)		-	-	(8,69	90)		
Net income	\$	143,657	\$ 143,292	\$ 117,15	55		

 $The \ accompanying \ Notes \ to \ Consolidated \ Financial \ Statements \ are \ an \ integral \ part \ of \ these \ statements.$

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY Consolidated Balance Sheets

		Decem	ber 31	
			2004	
ASSETS		(thous	ands)	
Current Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	2,961	\$	51,619
Receivables, net		70,264		63,366
Fuel inventories, at average cost		17,073		21,121
Materials and supplies, at average cost		57,017		54,432
Deferred income taxes		8,944		12,818
Prepaid expenses		11,292		12,511
Derivative instruments		-		363
Total		167,551		216,230
Nonutility Property and Investments				
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund		91,802		84,148
Other		7,694		20,576
Total		99,496		104,724
Utility Plant, at Original Cost				
Electric		4,959,539		4,841,355
Less-accumulated depreciation	<u></u>	2,322,813		2,196,835
Net utility plant in service		2,636,726		2,644,520
Construction work in progress		100,952		53,821
Nuclear fuel, net of amortization of \$115,240 and \$127,631		27,966		36,109
Total		2,765,644		2,734,450
Deferred Charges and Other Assets				
Regulatory assets		179,922		144,345
Prepaid pension costs		98,002		116,024
Derivative instruments		-		674
Other		27,905		20,947
Total		305,829		281,990
Total	\$	3,338,520	\$	3,337,394

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY Consolidated Balance Sheets

n	ecem	her	71
$\boldsymbol{\nu}$	ccciii	UCI	O.

LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION	(thous				
	(thousands)				
Current Liabilities					
Notes payable to Great Plains Energy	\$ 500	\$	24		
Commercial paper	31,900		-		
Current maturities of long-term debt	-		250,000		
EIRR bonds classified as current	_		85,922		
Accounts payable	106,040		84,105		
Accrued taxes	27,448		34,497		
Accrued interest	11,549		9,800		
Accrued payroll and vacations	27,520		22,870		
Accrued refueling outage costs	8,974		13,180		
Other	8,600		8,327		
Total	222,531		508,725		
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities					
Deferred income taxes	627,048		654,055		
Deferred investment tax credits	29,698		33,587		
Asset retirement obligations	145,907		113,674		
Pension liability	85,301		90,491		
Regulatory liabilities	69,641		4,101		
Derivative instruments	2,601		-		
Other	38,387		42,832		
Total	998,583		938,740		
Capitalization					
Common shareholder's equity					
Common stock-1,000 shares authorized without par value					
1 share issued, stated value	887,041		887,041		
Retained earnings	283,850		252,893		
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(29,909)		(40,334)		
Total	 1,140,982		1,099,600		
Long-term debt (Note 18)	976,424		790,329		
Total	2,117,406		1,889,929		
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 12)	-				
Total	\$ 3,338,520	\$	3,337,394		

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

					Revised
Year Ended December 31		2005		2004	2003
Cash Flows from Operating Activities			,	nousands)	
Net income	\$	143,657	\$	143,292	\$ 117,155
Adjustments to reconcile income to net cash from operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization		146,610		145,246	140,955
Amortization of:					
Nuclear fuel		13,374		14,159	12,334
Other		7,681		7,719	9,350
Deferred income taxes, net		(33,408)		10,861	34,285
Investment tax credit amortization		(3,889)		(3,984)	(3,994)
(Gain) loss on property		4,613		5,133	(1,603)
Minority interest in subsidiaries		7,805		(5,087)	(1,263)
Other operating activities (Note 2)	-	79,043		(1,080)	(24,627)
Net cash from operating activities		365,486		316,259	282,592
Cash Flows from Investing Activities					
Utility capital expenditures		(332,055)		(190,548)	(148,675)
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction		(1,598)		(1,498)	(1,368)
Purchases of nonutility property		(127)		(254)	(147)
Proceeds from sale of assets		469		7,465	4,135
Purchases of nuclear decommissioning trust investments		(34,607)		(49,720)	(111,699)
Proceeds from nuclear decommissioning trust investments		31,055		46,167	108,179
Hawthorn No. 5 partial insurance recovery		10,000		30,810	3,940
Hawthorn No. 5 partial litigation settlements		-		1,139	17,263
Other investing activities		(930)		(7,100)	(4,045)
Net cash from investing activities		(327,793)		(163,539)	(132,417)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities					
Issuance of long-term debt		334,417		-	-
Repayment of long-term debt		(335,922)		(209,140)	(124,000)
Net change in short-term borrowings		32,376		(21,959)	(1,867)
Dividends paid to Great Plains Energy		(112,700)		(119,160)	(98,000)
Equity contribution from Great Plains Energy		-		225,000	100,000
Issuance fees		(4,522)		(2,362)	(266)
Net cash from financing activities		(86,351)		(127,621)	(124,133)
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(48,658)		25,099	26,042
Less: Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents from					
Discontinued Operations		-		-	(307)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year		51,619		26,520	171
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$	2,961	\$	51,619	\$ 26,520

 $The \ accompanying \ Notes \ to \ Consolidated \ Financial \ Statements \ are \ an \ integral \ part \ of \ these \ statements.$

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY Consolidated Statements of Common Shareholder's Equity

		200	5				3										
	Shares	res Amount Shares Amount S		Shares Amount Shares Amount Sh.		Shares Amount Shares Amount Sh		Shares Amount Shares Amount Sha		mount Shares Amount		res Amount		s Amount Share			Amount
Common Stock				(thousands, except share amounts)													
Beginning balance	1	\$	887,041	1	\$	662,041	1	\$	562,041								
Equity contribution from Great Plains Energy			-	-		225,000	-		100,000								
Ending balance	1		887,041	1		887,041	1		662,041								
Retained Earnings																	
Beginning balance			252,893			228,761			209,606								
Net income			143,657			143,292			117,155								
Dividends:																	
Common stock held by Great Plains Energy		_	(112,700)			(119,160)			(98,000)								
Ending balance			283,850			252,893			228,761								
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss																	
Beginning balance			(40,334)			(35,244)			(26,614)								
Derivative hedging activity, net of tax			7,571			(233)			(83)								
Minimum pension obligation, net of tax		_	2,854			(4,857)			(8,547)								
Ending balance			(29,909)			(40,334)			(35,244)								
Total Common Shareholder's Equity		\$	1,140,982		\$	1,099,600		\$	855,558								

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

Year Ended December 31	2005		2004	2003	
			(thousands)		
Net income	\$	143,657	\$ 143,292	\$	117,155
Other comprehensive income					
Gain on derivative hedging instruments		12,650	280		657
Income taxes		(4,759)	(111)		(256)
Net gain on derivative hedging instruments		7,891	169		401
Reclassification to expenses, net of tax		(320)	(402)		(484)
Derivative hedging activity, net of tax		7,571	(233)		(83)
Change in minimum pension obligation		5,410	(7,321)		(14,012)
Income taxes		(2,556)	2,464		5,465
Net change in minimum pension obligation		2,854	(4,857)		(8,547)
Comprehensive income	\$	154,082	\$ 138,202	\$	108,525

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The terms "Company" and "KCP&L" are used throughout this report. "KCP&L" refers to Kansas City Power & Light Company and the "Company" refers to KCP&L and its consolidated subsidiaries.

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization

KCP&L, a wholly owned subsidiary of Great Plains Energy Incorporated (Great Plains Energy), is an integrated, regulated electric utility that provides electricity to customers primarily in the states of Missouri and Kansas. The Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Home Service Solutions Inc. (HSS) sold its wholly owned subsidiary, Worry Free Service, Inc. (Worry Free) in February 2005 and completed the disposition of its interest in R.S. Andrews Enterprises, Inc. (RSAE) in June 2003. See Note 7 for additional information concerning the June 2003 disposition of RSAE. After these sales, HSS has no active operations.

The Company's sole reportable business segment is KCP&L. Other activities not considered a reportable segment include the activity of HSS.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value.

Nonutility property and investments - Investments and nonutility property includes the nuclear decommissioning trust fund recorded at fair value. Fair value is based on quoted market prices of the investments held by the fund.

Long-term debt - The incremental borrowing rate for similar debt was used to determine fair value if quoted market prices were not available. Book values of long-term debt were 1% below fair values at December 31, 2005.

Derivative instruments - The fair value of derivative instruments is estimated using market quotes, over-the-counter forward price and volatility curves and correlation among power and fuel prices, net of estimated credit risk.

Derivative Instruments

The Company accounts for derivative instruments in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended. This statement generally requires derivative instruments to be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value and establishes criteria for designation and effectiveness of hedging relationships. The Company enters into derivative contracts to manage its exposure to commodity price fluctuations and interest rate risk. Derivative instruments designated as normal purchases and normal sales (NPNS) and cash flow hedges are used solely for hedging purposes and are not issued or held for speculative reasons.

The Company considers various qualitative factors, such as contract and market place attributes, in designating derivative instruments at inception. The Company may elect the NPNS exception, which requires the effects of the derivative to be recorded as the underlying contract settles.

The Company accounts for derivative instruments that are not designated as NPNS as cash flow hedges or non-hedging derivatives, which are recorded as assets or liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets at fair value. At the inception of a derivative instrument, the Company designates its derivative instrument as NPNS, a cash flow hedge or a non-hedging derivative under the requirements of SFAS No. 133. In addition, if a derivative instrument is designated as a cash flow hedge, the Company documents its method of determining hedge effectiveness and measuring ineffectiveness. See Note 20 for additional information regarding derivative financial instruments and hedging activities.

Other Nonutility Property

Other nonutility property includes land, buildings and surge protectors and is recorded at historical cost, net of accumulated depreciation, and has a range of estimated useful lives of 7 to 43 years.

Utility Plan

KCP&L's utility plant is stated at historical costs of construction. These costs include taxes, an allowance for the cost of borrowed and equity funds used to finance construction and payroll-related costs, including pensions and other fringe benefits. Replacements, improvements and additions to units of property are capitalized. Repairs of property and replacements of items not considered to be units of property are expensed as incurred (except as discussed under Accrued Refueling Outage Costs). When property units are retired or otherwise disposed, the original cost, net of salvage, is charged to accumulated depreciation. Substantially all utility plant is pledged as collateral for KCP&L's mortgage bonds under the General Mortgage Indenture and Deed of Trust dated December 1, 1986, as supplemented.

As prescribed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), Allowance for Funds used During Construction (AFDC) is charged to the cost of the plant. AFDC is included in the rates charged to customers by KCP&L over the service life of the property. AFDC equity funds are included as a non-cash item in non-operating income and AFDC borrowed funds are a reduction of interest charges. The rates used to compute gross AFDC are compounded semi-annually and averaged 7.1% in 2005, 8.6% in 2004 and 8.2% in 2003.

The balances of utility plant, at original cost, with a range of estimated useful lives are listed in the following table.

December 31	2005	2004	
Utility Plant, at original cost	(millions)		
Production (23 - 42 years)	\$2,970.1	\$2,938.5	
Transmission (27 - 76 years)	331.2	315.5	
Distribution (8 - 75 years)	1,377.3	1,320.0	
General (5 - 50 years)	280.9	267.4	
Total (a)	\$4,959.5	\$4,841.4	

⁽a) Includes \$80.4 million and \$66.6 million of land and other assets for which depreciation was not recorded in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization of KCP&L's utility plant other than nuclear fuel is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated lives of depreciable property based on rates approved by state regulatory authorities. Annual depreciation rates average approximately 3%. Nuclear fuel is amortized to fuel expense based on the quantity of heat produced during the generation of electricity.

Depreciation of nonutility property is computed using the straight-line method. The Company's nonutility property annual depreciation rates for 2005, 2004 and 2003 were 11.2%, 11.8% and 11.1%, respectively.

Accrued Refueling Outage Costs

KCP&L accrues anticipated incremental costs to be incurred during scheduled Wolf Creek refueling outages monthly over the unit's operating cycle, normally the 18 months preceding the outage. Estimated incremental costs, which include operating, maintenance and replacement power expenses, are based on anticipated outage costs and the estimated outage duration. Changes to or variances from those estimates are recorded when known or are probable.

Nuclear Plant Decommissioning Costs

Nuclear plant decommissioning cost estimates are based on the immediate dismantlement method and include the costs of decontamination, dismantlement and site restoration. Based on these cost estimates, KCP&L contributes to a tax-qualified trust fund to be used to decommission Wolf Creek. Related liabilities for decommissioning are included on the Company's balance sheet in Asset Retirement Obligations (AROs). As a result of the authorized regulatory treatment and related regulatory accounting, differences between the decommissioning trust fund asset and the related ARO are recorded as a regulatory asset or liability. See Note 15 for discussion of AROs including those associated with nuclear plant decommissioning costs.

Regulatory Matters

KCP&L, an integrated, regulated electric utility, is subject to the provisions of SFAS No. 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation." Pursuant to SFAS No. 71, KCP&L defers items on the balance sheet resulting from the effects of the ratemaking process, which would not be recorded if KCP&L were not regulated. See Note 5 for additional information concerning regulatory matters.

Revenue Recognition

KCP&L recognizes revenues on sales of electricity when the service is provided. Revenues recorded include electric services provided but not yet billed by KCP&L. Unbilled revenues are recorded for kWh usage in the period following the customers' billing cycle to the end of the month. The estimate is based on net system kWh usage less actual billed kWhs, adjusted for weather. Estimated unbilled kWhs are allocated and priced by state across the rate classes based on the following month budget.

As a public utility, KCP&L collects from customers gross receipts taxes levied by state and local governments. These taxes are recorded gross in operating revenues and general taxes on the Company's statements of income. KCP&L's gross receipts taxes collected were \$39.3 million, \$37.6 million and \$38.3 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

KCP&L records sale and purchase activity on a net basis in purchased power when RTO/ISO markets require it to sell and purchase power from the RTO/ISO rather than directly transact with suppliers and end-use customers.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

This reserve represents estimated uncollectible accounts receivable and is based on management's judgment considering historical loss experience and the characteristics of existing accounts. Provisions for losses on receivables are charged to income to maintain the allowance at a level considered adequate to cover losses. Receivables are charged off against the reserve when they are deemed uncollectible.

Property Gains and Losses

Net gains and losses from the sales of assets, businesses and asset impairments are recorded in operating expenses.

Asset Impairments

Long-lived assets and finite lived intangible assets subject to amortization are periodically reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset

may not be recoverable as prescribed under SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets." SFAS No. 144 requires that if the sum of the undiscounted expected future cash flows from an asset to be held and used is less than the carrying value of the asset, an asset impairment must be recognized in the financial statements. The amount of impairment recognized is the excess of the carrying value of the asset over its fair value.

Income Taxes

In accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," the Company has recognized deferred taxes for temporary book to tax differences using the liability method. The liability method requires that deferred tax balances be adjusted to reflect enacted tax rates that are anticipated to be in effect when the temporary differences reverse. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Great Plains Energy and its subsidiaries, including the Company, file consolidated federal and combined and separate state income tax returns. Income taxes for consolidated or combined subsidiaries are allocated to the subsidiaries based on separate company computations of income or loss. In accordance with Great Plains Energy's intercompany tax allocation agreement with its subsidiaries, including the Company, it also allocates its own net income tax benefits to its direct subsidiaries based on the positive taxable income of each company in the consolidated federal or combined state returns. Consistent with its ratemaking treatment, KCP&L uses the separate return method, adjusted for the allocation of parent company tax benefits, to compute its income tax provision.

KCP&L has established a net regulatory asset for the additional future revenues to be collected from customers for deferred income taxes. Tax credits are recognized in the year generated except for certain KCP&L investment tax credits that have been deferred and amortized over the remaining service lives of the related properties.

Environmental Matters

Environmental costs are accrued when it is probable a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated.

Stock Options

KCP&L officers participate in Great Plains Energy's equity compensation plan, which is described more fully in Note 9. The Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," for stock options as of January 1, 2003. The Company elected to use the modified prospective method of adoption; therefore, stock option compensation cost recognized beginning January 1, 2003, was the same as if the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 had been applied to all stock options granted after October 1, 1995.

In December 2004, FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," which the Company adopted as of January 1, 2006. Management determined that this statement will not have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

2. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Other Operating Activities

	2005	2	2004	7	2003
Cash flows affected by changes in:		(r	nillions)		
Receivables	\$ (8.5)	\$	1.6	\$	(2.9)
Fuel inventories	4.9		1.8		(8.0)
Materials and supplies	(2.6)		2.2		(5.8)
Accounts payable	16.3		1.8		7.8
Accrued taxes	(17.2)		(6.6)		(2.8)
Accrued interest	1.7		(2.0)		(3.7)
Accrued refueling outage costs	(4.2)		11.4		(6.5)
Pension and postretirement benefit assets and obligations	4.6		(8.0)		(20.3)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(1.8)		(2.1)		(1.4)
Proceeds from the sale of SO ₂ emission allowances	61.0		0.3		0.2
Proceeds from T-Locks	12.0		_		_
Other	12.8		(1.5)		11.6
Total other operating activities	\$ 79.0	\$	(1.1)	\$	(24.6)
Cash paid during the period:					
Interest	\$ 57.6	\$	73.8	\$	71.4
Income taxes	\$ 104.1	\$	64.9	\$	68.1

Discontinued Operations

In 2005, the Company changed the presentation of its consolidated statements of cash flows to include the cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities of discontinued operations within the respective categories of operating, investing and financing activities and retroactively revised the consolidated statement of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2003, as applicable.

		2003
	(m	illions)
Net cash flows from operating activities as previously reported	\$	281.4
Change in net cash flows		1.2
Net cash flows from operating activities as currently reported		282.6
Net cash flows from investing activities as previously reported		(132.4)
Change in net cash flows		
Net cash flows from investing activities as currently reported		(132.4)
Net cash flows from financing activities as previously reported		(122.6)
Change in net cash flows		(1.5)
Net cash flows from financing activities as currently reported	\$	(124.1)

Significant Non-Cash Items Asset Retirement Obligations

During 2005, KCP&L recorded AROs totaling \$26.7 million, increased net utility plant by \$13.0 million and increased regulatory assets by \$13.7 million. This activity had no impact on the Company's 2005 net income and had no effect on 2005 cash flows. See Note 15 for additional information.

During 2003, KCP&L adopted SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligation," and accordingly, recorded AROs totaling \$99.2 million, reversed the decommissioning liability of \$64.6 million previously accrued and increased net utility plant by \$18.3 million. The \$16.3 million net cumulative effect was recorded as a regulatory asset and therefore, had no impact on net income. The adoption of SFAS No. 143 had no effect on the Company's 2003 cash flows.

FIN No. 46

KCP&L consolidated a lease trust and de-consolidated KCPL Financing I in 2003, as required by FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities," as amended. As a result of the consolidation of the lease trust, the Company's long-term debt increased \$143.8 million. The consolidation of the lease trust had no effect on the Company's 2003 cash flows. See Note 12 for additional information concerning the consolidation of the lease trust.

Prior to the de-consolidation of KCPL Financing I, the Company reflected \$150 million of 8.3% preferred securities issued by KCPL Financing I on its respective balance sheets. As a result of the de-consolidation, the Company's other nonutility property and investments increased \$4.6 million representing the investment in the common securities of KCPL Financing I, and long-term debt increased \$154.6 million representing the 8.3% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures issued by KCP&L and held by KCPL Financing I. The de-consolidation of KCPL Financing I had no effect on the Company's 2003 cash flows.

Minimum Pension Liability

The Company reduced its minimum pension liability \$6.3 million primarily due to an increase in the market value of plan assets. This was offset by a \$0.9 million reduction of an intangible asset and Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) of \$5.4 million (\$2.9 million net of tax) in 2005. In 2004, primarily as a result of lower discount rates and historical losses in the market value of plan assets, the Company recorded an additional minimum pension liability of \$5.4 million and a reduction to an intangible asset of \$1.9 million offset by OCI of \$7.3 million (\$4.9) million net of tax). Recording the minimum pension liabilities had no effect on the Company's cash flows.

RSAE DispositionIn 2003, HSS completed the disposition of its interest in RSAE. See Note 7 for additional information concerning the disposition of RSAE. The following table summarizes the Company's loss from discontinued operations as a result of this transaction.

	2003
	(millions)
Cash repayment of supported bank line	\$ (22.1)
Write-off of intercompany balance and investment	4.8
Accrued transaction costs	(1.6)
Income tax benefit	11.8
Loss on disposition	(7.1)
Pre-disposition operating losses	(1.6)
Discontinued operations	\$ (8.7)

3. RECEIVABLES

The Company's receivables are detailed in the following table.

	December 31		
	2005	2004	
	(n	nillions)	
Customer accounts receivable (a)	\$ 34.0	\$ 21.6	
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1.0)	(1.7)	
Other receivables	37.3	43.5	
Total receivables	\$ 70.3	\$ 63.4	

⁽a) Customer accounts receivable included unbilled receivables of \$31.4 million and \$31.2 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The Company's other receivables at December 31, 2005, consisted primarily of receivables from partners in jointly owned electric utility plants and wholesale sales receivables. At December 31, 2004, the balance consisted primarily of receivables from partners in jointly owned electric utility plants, wholesale sales receivables and accounts receivable held by Worry Free.

During 2005, KCP&L entered into a new three-year revolving agreement to sell all of its retail electric accounts receivable to its wholly owned subsidiary, Kansas City Power & Light Receivables Company (Receivables Company), which in turn sold an undivided percentage ownership interest in the accounts receivable to Victory Receivables Corporation, an independent outside investor. In accordance with SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities," the agreements qualify as a sale under which the creditors of Receivables Company are entitled to be satisfied out of the assets of Receivables Company prior to any value being returned to KCP&L or its creditors. Accounts receivable sold by Receivables Company to the outside investor under this revolving agreement totaled \$70 million at December 31, 2005. The proceeds of this sale were forwarded to KCP&L as consideration for its sale. The new agreement allows for a maximum outstanding principal amount sold to the outside investor of \$100 million during the period June 1 through October 31, and \$70 million during the period November 1 through May 31 of each year.

Under the agreement, KCP&L sells its receivables at a fixed price based upon the expected cost of funds and charge-offs. These costs comprise KCP&L's loss on the sale of accounts receivable. KCP&L services the receivables and receives an annual servicing fee of 2.5% of the outstanding principal amount of the receivables sold to Receivables Company. KCP&L does not recognize a servicing asset or liability since management determined the collection agent fee earned by KCP&L approximates market value.

Information regarding KCP&L's sale of accounts receivable to Receivables Company under the new agreement is reflected in the following table.

2005	KCP&L	Receivables CP&L Company				idated P&L
		(millions)				
Receivables (sold) purchased	\$ (605.8	\$ 535.8	\$	(70.0)		
Collections	499.3	(499.3))	-		
(Gain) loss on sale of accounts receivable (a)	6.0	(5.0))	1.0		
Servicing fees	1.4	(1.4))	-		
Fees to outside investor		(1.5))	(1.5)		
Cash flows during the period						
Cash proceeds from sale of receivables (b)	\$ 569.3	\$ (499.3)) \$	70.0		
Servicing fees	1.4	(1.4))			

⁽a) The net loss is the result of the timing difference inherent in collecting receivables and over the life of the agreement will net to zero.

KCP&L had a revolving agreement, which expired in January 2005, to sell all of its right, title and interest in the majority of its customer accounts receivable to Receivables Company, which in turn sold most of the receivables to independent outside investors. The expired agreement was structured as a true sale under which the creditors of Receivables Company were entitled to be satisfied out of the assets of Receivables Company prior to any value being returned to KCP&L or its creditors. Accounts receivable sold under the expired revolving agreement totaled \$84.9 million at December 31, 2004. As a result of the sale to the outside investors, Receivables Company received up to \$70 million in cash, which was forwarded to KCP&L as consideration for its sale. At December 31, 2004, Receivables Company had received \$65.0 million in cash.

Information regarding KCP&L's sale of accounts receivable to Receivables Company under the expired agreement is reflected in the following table.

	2	2005		2004	2003
Gross proceeds on sale of			(r	nillions)	
accounts receivable	\$	46.1	\$	929.1	\$ 939.5
Collections		44.3		928.0	949.5
Loss on sale of accounts receivable		-		2.5	3.7
Late fees		0.1		2.2	2.3

4. NUCLEAR PLANT

KCP&L owns 47% of Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation (WCNOC), the operating company for Wolf Creek Generating Station (Wolf Creek), its only nuclear generating unit. Wolf Creek is regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), with respect to licensing, operations and safety-related requirements.

Spent Nuclear Fuel and Radioactive Waste

Under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, the Department of Energy (DOE) is responsible for the permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel. KCP&L pays the DOE a quarterly fee of one-tenth of a cent for each kilowatt-hour of net nuclear generation delivered and sold for the future disposal of spent

⁽b) During 2005, Receivables Company received \$70 million cash from the outside investor for the sale of accounts receivable, which was then forwarded to KCP&L for consideration of its sale.

nuclear fuel. These disposal costs are charged to fuel expense. In 2002, the U.S. Senate approved Yucca Mountain, Nevada as a long-term geologic repository. The DOE is currently in the process of preparing an application to obtain the NRC license to proceed with construction of the repository. Management cannot predict when this site may be available. Under current DOE policy, once a permanent site is available, the DOE will accept spent nuclear fuel first from the owners with the oldest spent fuel. Wolf Creek has completed an on-site storage facility that is designed to hold all spent fuel generated at the plant through the end of its 40-year licensed life in 2025. See Note 14 for a related legal proceeding.

Nuclear Plant Decommissioning Costs

The Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri (MPSC) and The State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas (KCC) require KCP&L and the other owners of Wolf Creek to submit an updated decommissioning cost study every three years and to propose funding levels. The most recent study was submitted to the MPSC and KCC in 2005 and is the basis for the current cost of decommissioning estimates in the following table. The MPSC issued an order effective January 20, 2006, continuing the Missouri jurisdictional funding at the previously ordered level. The MPSC order did not explicitly approve or disapprove the 2005 decommissioning cost study submitted by KCP&L. The KCC has not yet ruled on the study but has set a procedural schedule in 2006 to address it.

The Missouri funding schedule previously approved by the MPSC assumes funding through the expiration of Wolf Creek's current NRC operating license (2025). In 2005, the MPSC order regarding the comprehensive energy plan increased Wolf Creek's depreciable life for Missouri regulatory purposes from 40 to 60 years and assumes funding through 2045. The Kansas funding schedule previously approved by KCC assumes that Wolf Creek will be granted a 20-year license extension and, thus, assumes funding through 2045. WCNOC has filed with the NRC a letter of intent to file an application for a license extension. Management anticipates that WCNOC will file that application with the NRC in 2006. As such, it is likely that any future decommissioning cost study and funding levels will be based on the assumed extended life.

Nuclear decommissioning cost and the associated nuclear decommissioning trust funding levels were addressed in the general rate cases filed in February 2006. KCP&L proposed cost estimates, assumptions and related funding schedules for nuclear plant decommissioning in its general rate cases as provided in the following table. KCP&L's proposal will be considered by the MPSC and KCC; however, the outcome could differ from the proposal.

	Total Station	KCP&L's 47% Share
	(r	millions)
Current cost of decommissioning (in 2005 dollars)	\$ 518	\$ 243
Future cost of decommissioning (in 2045 dollars)	2,897	1,362
Annual escalation factor		4.40%
Annual return on trust assets ^(a)		6.48%

⁽a) The 6.48% rate of return is thru 2025. The rate then systematically decreases through 2045 to 4.04% based on the assumption that the fund's investment mix will become increasingly more conservative as the decommissioning date approaches.

KCP&L currently contributes approximately \$3.6 million annually to a tax-qualified trust fund to be used to decommission Wolf Creek. If KCP&L's proposal is accepted by the MPSC and KCC, total annual funding would not change. Amounts funded are charged to other operating expense and recovered in billings to customers. If the actual return on trust assets is below the anticipated level, management

believes a rate increase would be allowed ensuring full recovery of decommissioning costs over the remaining life of the station. The following table summarizes the change in the decommissioning trust fund.

December 31	2005			2004
Decommissioning Trust	(millions)			
Beginning balance	\$	84.1	\$	75.0
Contributions		3.6		3.6
Realized gains		3.9		3.6
Unrealized gains		0.2		1.9
Ending balance	\$	91.8	\$	84.1

The decommissioning trust is reported at fair value on the balance sheets and is invested in assets as detailed in the following table.

	December 31		
Asset Category	2005	2005	
Equity securities	48%	46%	
Debt securities	46%	50%	
Other	6%	4%	
Total	100%	100%	

Nuclear Liability and Insurance

The owners of Wolf Creek, a nuclear generating station, (Owners) maintain nuclear insurance for Wolf Creek in four areas: liability, worker radiation, property and accidental outage. These policies contain certain industry standard exclusions, including, but not limited to, ordinary wear and tear, and war. Both the nuclear liability and property insurance programs subscribed to by members of the nuclear power generating industry include industry aggregate limits for non-certified acts of terrorism and related losses, as defined by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act, including replacement power costs. An industry aggregate limit of \$0.3 billion exists for liability claims, regardless of the number of non-certified acts affecting Wolf Creek or any other nuclear energy liability policy or the number of policies in place. An industry aggregate limit of \$3.2 billion plus any reinsurance recoverable by Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited (NEIL), the Owners' insurance provider, exists for property claims, including accidental outage power costs for acts of terrorism affecting Wolf Creek or any other nuclear energy facility property policy within twelve months from the date of the first act. These limits are the maximum amount to be paid to members who sustain losses or damages from these types of terrorist acts. For certified acts of terrorism, the individual policy limits apply. In addition, industry-wide retrospective assessment programs (discussed below) can apply once these insurance programs have been exhausted.

Liability Insurance

Pursuant to the Price-Anderson Act, which was reauthorized through December 31, 2025, by the Energy Policy Act of 2005, the Owners are required to insure against public liability claims resulting from nuclear incidents to the full limit of public liability, which is currently \$10.8 billion. This limit of liability consists of the maximum available commercial insurance of \$0.3 billion, and the remaining \$10.5 billion is provided through an industry-wide retrospective assessment program mandated by law, known as the Secondary Financial Protection (SFP) program. Under the SFP program, the Owners can be assessed up to \$100.6 million (\$47.3 million, KCP&L's 47% share) per incident at any commercial reactor in the country, payable at no more than \$15 million (\$7.1 million, KCP&L's 47% share) per incident per year effective with the Energy Policy Act of 2005. This assessment is subject to an inflation adjustment based on the Consumer Price Index and applicable premium taxes. This

assessment is in addition to worker radiation claims insurance. In addition, the U.S. Congress could impose additional revenue-raising measures to pay claims.

Property, Decontamination, Premature Decommissioning and Extra Expense Insurance

The Owners carry decontamination liability, premature decommissioning liability and property damage insurance for Wolf Creek totaling approximately \$2.8 billion (\$1.3 billion, KCP&L's 47% share). NEIL provides this insurance.

In the event of an accident, insurance proceeds must first be used for reactor stabilization and site decontamination in accordance with a plan mandated by the NRC. KCP&L's share of any remaining proceeds can be used for further decontamination, property damage restoration and premature decommissioning costs. Premature decommissioning coverage applies only if an accident at Wolf Creek exceeds \$500 million in property damage and decontamination expenses, and only after trust funds have been exhausted.

Accidental Nuclear Outage Insurance

The Owners also carry additional insurance from NEIL to cover costs of replacement power and other extra expenses incurred in the event of a prolonged outage resulting from accidental property damage at Wolf Creek.

Under all NEIL policies, the Owners are subject to retrospective assessments if NEIL losses, for each policy year, exceed the accumulated funds available to the insurer under that policy. The estimated maximum amount of retrospective assessments under the current policies could total approximately \$26.5 million (\$12.4 million, KCP&L's 47% share) per policy year.

In the event of a catastrophic loss at Wolf Creek, the insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover property damage and extra expenses incurred. Uninsured losses, to the extent not recovered through rates, would be assumed by KCP&L and the other owners and could have a material adverse effect on KCP&L's results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

Low-Level Waste

The Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1985 mandated that the various states, individually or through interstate compacts, develop alternative low-level radioactive waste disposal facilities. The states of Kansas, Nebraska, Arkansas, Louisiana and Oklahoma formed the Central Interstate Low-Level Radioactive Waste Compact (Compact) and selected a site in northern Nebraska to locate a disposal facility. WCNOC and the owners of the other five nuclear units in the Compact provided most of the pre-construction financing for this project.

On December 18, 1998, the application for a license to construct this project was denied. After the license denial, WCNOC, the Compact Commission (Commission) and others filed a lawsuit in federal court contending Nebraska officials acted in bad faith while handling the license application. In September 2002, the U.S. District Court Judge presiding over the lawsuit issued his decision in the case finding that the State of Nebraska acted in bad faith in processing the license application for a low-level radioactive waste disposal site in Nebraska and rendered a judgment on behalf of the Commission in the amount of \$151.4 million against the state. After the U.S. Court of Appeals affirmed the decision, Nebraska and the Commission settled the case by Nebraska agreeing to pay the Commission a one-time amount of \$145.8 million. At the request of the Commission, WCNOC along with other members of the Commission a one-time amount of \$145.8 million. At the request of the Commission their claims for refund. In 2005, WCNOC received a return of its investment of \$19.6 million (\$9.2 million, KCP&L's 47% share), including pre-judgment interest and attorney's fees. The Commission continues to explore alternative long-term waste disposal capability and has retained a portion of the settlement, above the amounts returned, until it determines what role it will take in the development of alternative disposal capability. In February 2006, the Commission decided to refund an additional \$1.7 million (\$0.8 million, KCP&L's

47% share) to WCNOC. The remaining insignificant amount will be retained by the Commission for future operations. At December 31, 2005, KCP&L's balance sheet no longer reflects an investment in the Compact. KCP&L's net investment in the Compact was \$7.4 million at December 31, 2004.

Wolf Creek continues to dispose of its low-level radioactive waste at the reopened disposal facility at Barnwell, South Carolina. South Carolina intends to gradually decrease the amount of waste it allows from outside its compact until around 2008 when it intends to no longer accept waste from generators outside its compact. Wolf Creek remains able to dispose of some of its radioactive waste at a facility in Utah. Although management is unable to predict when a permanent disposal facility for Wolf Creek low-level radioactive waste might become available, this issue is not expected to affect continued operation of Wolf Creek.

5. REGULATORY MATTERS

KCP&L's Comprehensive Energy Plan

KCP&L continues to make progress in implementing its comprehensive energy plan and received orders from the MPSC and KCC in 2005. The orders were on agreements reached among KCP&L, the Commissions' staffs and certain key parties in the respective jurisdictions. The Sierra Club and Concerned Citizens of Platte County have appealed the MPSC order, and the Sierra Club has appealed the KCC order. These appeals are expected to be decided in 2006. Although subject to these appeals, the MPSC and KCC orders remain in effect pending the applicable court's decision.

· KCP&L will make energy infrastructure investments as detailed in the orders and summarized in the table below.

Project	Details	Estimated Capital Expenditures
		(millions)
Iatan No. 2 ^(a)	Building and owning 465 MW of an 850 MW coal fired	
	plant with an estimated completion date of June 2010	\$ 733
Wind Generation	Installation of 100.5 MW of wind generation in 2006	166
Environmental	Retrofit of selected existing coal plants	272
Asset Management	Enhanced system performance and reliability	42
Customer Programs	Various demand management, distributed generation and	
	efficiency programs	53
Total (b)		\$ 1,266

⁽a) MW based on current estimates.

- · Ownership agreements are being finalized with Iatan No. 2 partners. KCP&L has awarded a contract for detailed engineering design services and project and construction management support. Detailed project engineering and design has begun and plant construction is expected to start in 2006. KCP&L has received an air permit from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, which is being appealed by the Sierra Club. KCP&L anticipates issuances of a wetlands permit, a permit for the construction of a temporary barge slip and an Environmental Assessment with a finding of No Significant Impact toward mid-year 2006.
- · KCP&L has selected a developer and contractor for the construction of a 100.5 MW wind project in Kansas. Construction will begin in the first half of 2006 and management expects the project to be completed in time for inclusion in rates in 2007. The orders also include the possible addition of another 100 MW of wind generation in 2008 if supported by a detailed evaluation.

⁽b) These amounts are estimates. Because of the magnitude of these investments and the length of time to implement the comprehensive energy plan, actual expenditures may differ from these estimates.

- · KCP&L has awarded a contract to install a Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) system at LaCygne No. 1 scheduled for completion in May 2007. Additional environmental upgrades at LaCygne No. 1 are scheduled for 2009. Other planned environmental investments include a similar SCR upgrade and the addition of a wet scrubber and baghouse at Iatan No. 1 expected to be completed in 2008.
- · Several demand management efficiency and affordability programs are being implemented to help customers manage usage and costs including online energy analysis, air conditioner cycling and low-income weatherization.
- · KCP&L's current rates will remain in place until 2007 in accordance with the orders. On February 1, 2006, KCP&L filed requests with the MPSC and KCC for annual rate increases of \$55.8 million or 11.5% and \$42.3 million or 10.5%, respectively. The requested rate increases are for recovery of increasing operating costs including fuel, transportation and pensions as well as investments in wind generation and customer programs. The request is based on a return on equity of 11.5% and an adjusted equity ratio of 53.8%. KCP&L anticipates that approved rate adjustments will go into effect January 1, 2007. The last rate case required by the orders is expected to be filed in 2009, with rates effective near the time Iatan No. 2 is placed in service. Two additional rate cases could be filed in 2007 and 2008 at KCP&L's discretion.
- The KCC order allows KCP&L to request recovery, on a dollar-for-dollar basis with no profit to the company, of actual fuel and purchased power expense incurred through an energy cost adjustment. Similarly, an interim energy charge, based on forecasted costs and subject to customer refund, is contained in the MPSC order. The rate requests filed with the MPSC and KCC on February 1, 2006, do not include the fuel clauses; however, fuel clauses still could be proposed and implemented based on developments during the proceedings.
- · KCP&L may sell SO₂ emission allowances during the term of the orders. The sales proceeds are recorded as a regulatory liability for ratemaking purposes and will be amortized in accordance with the last rate case filed under the orders. In 2005, KCP&L sold \$60.3 million of SO₂ emission allowances.
- The rate increase requests filed with the MPSC and the KCC on February 1, 2006, include pension costs of approximately \$46 million calculated consistently with the methodology established in the orders. The orders established KCP&L's annual pension costs for regulatory purposes at \$22 million until 2007 through the creation of regulatory assets or liabilities, as appropriate. See Note 8 for additional information.
- · Wolf Creek's depreciable life for Missouri regulatory purposes has been increased from 40 to 60 years. The MPSC order calls for \$10.3 million, on an annual jurisdictional basis, of additional amortization expense to be recorded to offset the reduction in depreciation expense due to the change in depreciable life. The 60-year Missouri depreciable life matches the current Kansas regulatory depreciable life. In 2005, KCP&L began recording depreciation and amortization expense in accordance with the order.
- The orders are intended to provide KCP&L with regulatory mechanisms to be able to recover the prudent costs of its investments as they are placed in service and an ability to maintain targeted credit ratios over the five-year term of the orders.

The orders provide regulatory clarity on certain items; however, normal regulatory risk will continue to exist as the commissions establish rates in the rate cases, including, but not limited to, the actual amount of costs to be recovered through rates, the return on equity, the capital structure utilized and expenses to be recovered.

Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

KCP&L is subject to the provisions of SFAS No. 71 and has recorded assets and liabilities on its balance sheet resulting from the effects of the ratemaking process, which would not be recorded under GAAP for non-regulated entities. Regulatory assets represent costs incurred that have been deferred because future recovery in customer rates is probable. Regulatory liabilities generally represent probable future reductions in revenue or refunds to customers. Future recovery of regulatory assets is not assured, but is generally subject to review by regulators in rate proceedings for matters such as prudence and reasonableness. Future reductions in revenue or refunds for regulatory liabilities generally are not mandated, pending future rate proceedings or actions by the regulators. Management regularly assesses whether regulatory assets and liabilities are probable of future recovery or refund by considering factors such as decisions by the MPSC, KCC or FERC on KCP&L's rate case filings; decisions in other regulatory proceedings, including decisions related to other companies that establish precedence on matters applicable to KCP&L; and changes in laws and regulations. If recovery or refund of regulatory assets or liabilities is not approved by regulators or is no longer deemed probable, these regulatory assets or liabilities are recognized in the current period results of operations. KCP&L's continued ability to meet the criteria for application of SFAS No. 71 may be affected in the future by restructuring and deregulation in the electric industry. In the event that SFAS No. 71 no longer applied to a deregulated portion of KCP&L's operations, the related regulatory assets and liabilities would be written off unless an appropriate regulatory recovery mechanism is provided. Additionally, these factors could result in an impairment of utility plant assets if the cost of the assets could not be expected to be recovered in customer rates. Whether an asset has been impaired is determined pursuant to the requirements of SFAS No. 144.

	Amortization	Decen	ıber	31
	ending period	2005		2004
Regulatory Assets		(mil	lions)
Taxes recoverable through future rates		\$ 85.7	\$	81.0
Decommission and decontaminate federal uranium				
enrichment facilities	2007	1.3		2.0
Loss on reacquired debt	2037	7.1		7.7
January 2002 incremental ice storm costs (Missouri)	2007	4.9		9.5
Change in depreciable life of Wolf Creek	2045	27.4		15.5
Cost of removal		9.3		13.9
Asset retirement obligations		23.6		11.4
Future recovery of pension costs		15.6		-
Other	Various	5.0		3.3
Total Regulatory Assets		\$ 179.9	\$	144.3
Regulatory Liabilities				
Emission allowances		\$ 64.3	\$	4.1
Pension accounting method difference		1.0		-
Additional Wolf Creek amortization (Missouri)		4.3		-
Total Regulatory Liabilities		\$ 69.6	\$	4.1

Except as noted below, regulatory assets for which costs have been incurred have been included (or are expected to be included, for costs incurred subsequent to the most recently approved rate case) in KCP&L's rate base, thereby providing a return on invested costs when included in rate base. Certain regulatory assets do not result from cash expenditures and therefore do not represent investments included in rate base or have offsetting liabilities that reduce rate base. The pension accounting method difference (which may be either a regulatory asset or liability) and certain insignificant items in Regulatory Assets - Other are not included in rate base.

Southwest Power Pool Regional Transmission Organization

Under FERC Order 2000, KCP&L, as an investor-owned utility, is strongly encouraged to join a FERC approved RTO. RTOs combine transmission operations of utility businesses into regional organizations that schedule transmission services and monitor the energy market to ensure regional transmission reliability and non-discriminatory access. The Southwest Power Pool (SPP), of which KCP&L is a member, obtained approval from FERC as an RTO in a January 24, 2005, order. KCP&L intends on participating in the SPP RTO and during 2005, KCP&L filed applications with the MPSC and KCC seeking authorization to participate in the SPP RTO. In these applications, KCP&L requested authorization be granted by May 1, 2006. In February 2006, KCP&L reached an agreement with the MPSC staff and interveners regarding interim approval to turn over functional control of KCP&L's transmission facilities to the SPP RTO and participate in the energy imbalance market scheduled to start May 1, 2006. KCC has held workshops seeking additional information on the request to participate.

During 2005, a cost/benefit analysis was completed under the direction of the SPP Regional State Committee (composed of state commissioners from the states where the SPP RTO operates). The analysis indicates that implementation of an energy imbalance market within the SPP region would provide net benefits of approximately \$373 million over a 10-year period to the transmission-owning members of the SPP RTO; however, there was no significant documented impact for KCP&L over the 10-year period. During 2005, SPP RTO filed its plans for the energy imbalance market with FERC. These plans include a May 1, 2006, start date for the energy imbalance market. Subsequently, FERC issued an order rejecting this filing. SPP RTO made a revised filing on January 4, 2006, addressing FERC's issues.

6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

KCP&L's electric utility plant on the consolidated balance sheets included intangible computer software of \$92.9 million and \$88.7 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Accumulated amortization related to this computer software was \$68.8 million and \$61.3 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

7. RSAE DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

In 2003, HSS completed the disposition of its interest in RSAE. The financial statements reflect RSAE as discontinued operations for 2003 in accordance with SFAS No. 144. The following table summarizes the discontinued operations.

	2003
	(millions)
Revenues	\$ 31.8
Loss from operations before income taxes	(1.6)
Loss on disposal before income taxes	(18.9)
Total loss on discontinued operations before income taxes	(20.5)
Income tax benefit	11.8
Discontinued operations, net of income taxes	\$ (8.7)

8. PENSION PLANS AND OTHER EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

Pension Plans and Other Employee Benefits

KCP&L does not have a defined benefit pension plan; however, KCP&L employees and officers participate in Great Plains Energy's pension plans. Great Plains Energy maintains defined benefit

pension plans for substantially all employees, including officers, of KCP&L, Great Plains Energy Services Incorporated (Services) and WCNOC. Pension benefits under these plans reflect the employees' compensation, years of service and age at retirement. The funding policy for the pension plans is to contribute amounts sufficient to meet the minimum funding requirements under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) plus additional amounts as considered appropriate.

The MPSC and KCC 2005 orders establish KCP&L's annual pension costs at \$22 million through the creation of regulatory assets and liabilities for future recovery from or refund to customers, as appropriate. As a result, pension cost for KCP&L was reduced by \$14.6 million and a corresponding regulatory asset and liability were established.

For defined benefit pension plans sponsored by Great Plains Energy, contributions and expense are allocated to KCP&L and Services based on labor costs of plan participants. Any additional minimum pension liability is allocated based on the Company's funded status per plan.

In addition to providing pension benefits, Great Plains Energy provides certain postretirement health care and life insurance benefits for substantially all retired employees of KCP&L, Services and WCNOC. The cost of postretirement benefits charged to KCP&L are accrued during an employee's years of service and recovered through rates. The Company funds the portion of net periodic postretirement benefit costs that are tax deductible. For post-retirement health care plans sponsored by Great Plains Energy, contributions and expense are allocated to KCP&L and Services based upon the number of plan participants.

The following information is for Great Plains Energy's pension plans and other employee benefits; however, Services expenses are billed to KCP&L and other Great Plains Energy subsidiaries, with the majority being billed to KCP&L.

The following pension benefits tables provide information relating to the funded status of all Great Plains Energy's defined benefit pension plans on an aggregate basis as well as the components of net periodic benefit costs. The plan measurement date for the majority of plans is September 30. In 2005, contributions of \$0.2 million and \$3.8 million were made to the pension and postretirement benefit plans, respectively, after the measurement date and in 2004, contributions of \$20.7 million were made to the pension plans after the measurement date. Net periodic benefit costs reflect total plan benefit costs prior to the effects of capitalization and sharing with joint-owners of power plants.

			on Benefits				Benefits	
	2	2005		2004	- 2	2005		2004
Change in projected benefit obligation (PBO)			(millions)					
PBO at beginning of year	\$	515.7	\$	501.5	\$	49.1	\$	52.1
Service cost		17.3		16.7		0.9		0.9
Interest cost		29.8		30.1		2.9		3.1
Contribution by participants		-		-		1.2		1.1
Amendments		0.6		-		-		-
Actuarial loss (gain)		33.0		25.1		3.6		(3.2)
Benefits paid		(41.2)		(54.7)		(4.1)		(4.3)
Benefits paid by Company		(0.6)		(0.3)		(0.6)		(0.6)
Settlements				(2.7)				-
PBO at end of plan year	\$	554.6	\$	515.7	\$	53.0	\$	49.1
Change in plan assets								
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$	370.5	\$	341.0	\$	14.7	\$	8.3
Actual return on plan assets		47.8		33.9		0.3		0.3
Contributions by employer and participants		35.1		50.3		1.3		10.4
Benefits paid		(41.2)		(54.7)		(4.1)		(4.3)
Fair value of plan assets at end of plan year	\$	412.2	\$	370.5	\$	12.2	\$	14.7
Prepaid (accrued) benefit cost								
Funded status	\$ ((142.4)	\$	(145.2)	\$	(40.8)	\$	(34.4)
Unrecognized actuarial loss		195.0		195.9		14.1		10.5
Unrecognized prior service cost		32.6		36.3		8.0		1.0
Unrecognized transition obligation		0.3		0.4		8.2		9.4
Net prepaid (accrued) benefit cost		85.5		87.4		(17.7)		(13.5)
Regulatory asset, net		14.6		-		-		-
Net amount recognized at December 31	\$	100.1	\$	87.4	\$	(17.7)	\$	(13.5)
Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets								
Prepaid benefit cost	\$	98.3	\$	89.2	\$	-	\$	-
Accrued benefit cost		(12.8)		(1.8)		(17.7)		(13.5)
Minimum pension liability adjustment		(74.3)		(84.2)		-		-
Intangible asset		14.4		15.6		-		-
Accumulated other comprehensive income		59.9		68.6		-		-
Regulatory asset, net		14.6		-		-		-
Net amount recognized in balance sheets		100.1		87.4		(17.7)		(13.5)
Contributions and changes after								
measurement date		0.2		20.7		3.8		-
Net amount recognized at December 31	\$	100.3	\$	108.1	\$	(13.9)	\$	(13.5)

	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits			
	2005	2004	2003	2005	2004	2003
Components of net periodic benefit cost			(million	ns)		
Service cost	\$ 17.3	\$ 16.7	\$ 15.0	\$ 0.9	\$ 0.9	\$ 0.9
Interest cost	29.8	30.1	29.9	2.9	3.1	3.2
Expected return on plan assets	(32.4)	(31.7)	(27.7)	(0.6)	(0.6)	(0.6)
Amortization of prior service cost	4.3	4.3	4.3	0.2	0.2	0.2
Recognized net actuarial loss (gain)	18.6	7.7	1.3	0.5	0.7	0.6
Transition obligation	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.2	1.2	1.2
Amendment	-	-	-	-	-	0.1
Net settlements		1.8	-	-	_	
Net periodic benefit cost before						
regulatory adjustment	37.7	29.0	22.9	5.1	5.5	5.6
Regulatory adjustment	(14.6)	-	-	-	-	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 23.1	\$ 29.0	\$ 22.9	\$ 5.1	\$ 5.5	\$ 5.6

The accumulated benefit obligation (ABO) for all defined benefit pension plans was \$469.9 million and \$445.4 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The PBO, ABO and the fair value of plan assets at plan year-end are aggregated by funded and under funded plans in the following table.

	2005		2004
Pension plans with the ABO in excess of plan assets	(mil	lions)	
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 337.8	\$	309.8
Accumulated benefit obligation	280.6		266.1
Fair value of plan assets	204.1		180.0
Pension plans with plan assets in excess of the ABO			
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 216.8	\$	205.9
Accumulated benefit obligation	189.3		179.3
Fair value of plan assets	208.1		190.5

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is based on historical and projected rates of return for current and planned asset classes in the plans' investment portfolio. Assumed projected rates of return for each asset class were selected after analyzing historical experience and future expectations of the returns of various asset classes. Based on the target asset allocation for each asset class, the overall expected rate of return for the portfolio was developed and adjusted for the effect of projected benefits paid from plan assets and future plan contributions.

The following tables provide the weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations and net costs.

Weighted average assumptions used to determine	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
the benefit obligation at plan year-end	2005	2004	2005	2004
Discount rate	5.62%	5.82%	5.62%	5.82%
Rate of compensation increase	3.57%	3.06%	3.60%	3.05%

Weighted average assumptions used to determine	Pension Benefits		efits Other Benefits		
net costs for years ended at December 31	2005	2004	2005	2004	
Discount rate	5.82%	6.00%	5.82%	6.00%	
Expected long-term return on plan assets	8.75%	9.00%	4.26% *	6.62% *	
Rate of compensation increase	3.06%	3.30%	3.05%	3.25%	

^{*} after tax

Primarily as a result of lower discount rates and historical losses in the market value of plan assets, the Company has a minimum pension liability offset by an intangible asset and OCI. The amounts recognized in the Company's balance sheets related to the minimum pension liability are detailed in the following table.

December 31	2005	2004	
	(millions)		
Additional minimum pension liability	\$ 73.5	\$ 79.8	
Intangible asset	13.7	14.6	
Deferred taxes	22.5	25.0	
OCI, net of tax	37.3	40.2	

Pension plan assets are managed in accordance with "prudent investor" guidelines contained in the ERISA requirements. The investment strategy supports the objective of the fund, which is to earn the highest possible return on plan assets within a reasonable and prudent level of risk. Investments are diversified across classes and within each class to minimize risks. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, the fair value of plan assets was \$412.2 million, not including a \$0.2 million contribution made after the plan year-end, and \$370.5 million, not including a \$20.7 million subsequent contribution. The asset allocation for Great Plains Energy's pension plans at the end of 2005 and 2004, and the target allocation for 2006 are reported in the following table. The portfolio is periodically rebalanced to generally meet target allocation percentages.

		Plan Assets at		
	Target	Decem	ber 31	
Asset Category	Allocation	2005	2004	
Equity securities	61%	61%	59%	
Debt securities	27%	26%	31%	
Real estate	7%	7%	8%	
Other	5%	6%	2%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. The cost trend assumed for 2005 was 10% and is 9% for 2006. The cost trend rate will continue to decline through 2010 to the ultimate cost trend rate of 5%. The health care plan requires retirees to make monthly contributions on behalf of themselves and their dependents in an amount determined by Great Plains Energy.

The effects of a one-percentage point change in the medical cost trend rates, holding all other assumptions constant, at December 31, 2005, are detailed in the following table.

	Increase		Decreas	
		(mi	llions)	
Effect on total service and interest component	\$	0.1	\$	(0.1)
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation		0.7		(0.7)

The Company expects to contribute \$20.0 million to the plans in 2006, which includes \$6.0 million to meet ERISA funding requirements. The Company will also contribute \$4.3 million to other postretirement benefit plans in 2006. Great Plains Energy's funding policy is to contribute amounts sufficient to meet the ERISA minimum funding requirements plus additional amounts as considered appropriate; therefore, actual contributions may differ from expected contributions. The following Great Plains Energy benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid through 2015.

	Pe	Pension		ther
	Be	Benefits		nefits
	(millions)			
2006	\$	43.8	\$	6.0
2007		43.2		7.0
2008		41.8		7.7
2009		42.7		8.6
2010		45.6		9.3
2011-2015		230.3		57.1

Employee Savings Plans

Great Plains Energy has defined contribution savings plans that cover substantially all employees of the Company. Employee contributions are matched, subject to limits. The Company's annual cost of the plans was approximately \$3.1 million for each of the last three years.

9. EQUITY COMPENSATION

KCP&L does not have an equity compensation plan; however, KCP&L officers participate in Great Plains Energy's Long-Term Incentive Plan. Great Plains Energy's Long-Term Incentive Plan is an equity compensation plan approved by its shareholders. The Long-Term Incentive Plan permits the grant of restricted stock, stock options, limited stock appreciation rights and performance shares to officers and other employees of Great Plains Energy and its subsidiaries. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, compensation expense and accrued dividends related to equity compensation are recognized over the stated vesting period. Forfeitures of equity compensation are recognized when incurred and previously recorded compensation expense related to the forfeited shares is reversed. The maximum number of shares of Great Plains Energy common stock that can be issued under the plan is 3.0 million. At December 31, 2005, 2.0 million shares remained available for future issuance.

Stock Options Granted 2001 - 2003

Stock options were granted under the plan at market value of the shares on the grant date. The options vest three years after the grant date and expire in ten years if not exercised. Exercise prices range from \$24.90 to \$27.73 and the weighted-average remaining contractual life at December 31, 2005 was 6 years. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, the Company recognized an insignificant amount of compensation expense in 2005, 2004 and 2003.

The fair value for the stock options granted in 2001 - 2003 was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The option valuation model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, primarily stock price volatility, changes in which can materially affect the fair value estimate. The weighted-average assumptions used are detailed in the following table.

	2003
Risk-free interest rate	4.77%
Dividend yield	6.88%
Stock volatility	22.65%
Expected option life (in years)	10

Stock option activity for KCP&L officers for the last three years is summarized in the following table.

	20	2005			04		2003																	
	Shares	Price*		Price*		Shares	Price*		Price*		Price*		Price*		Price*		Shares Price*		Shares Price*		Shares		Price*	
Beginning balance	173,564	\$	25.47	200,564	\$	25.36	346,000	\$	25.20															
Granted	-		-	-		-	22,564		27.73															
Exercised	(68,000)		25.08	(21,000)		24.61	(13,000)		26.19															
Forfeited	(7,640)		27.73	(6,000)		24.90	(155,000)		25.26															
Ending balance	97,924	\$	25.57	173,564	\$	25.47	200,564	\$	25.36															
Exercisable at December 31	83,000	\$	25.18	63,000	\$	25.41	7,000	\$	21.67															

^{*} weighted-average price

Performance Shares

The payment of performance shares is contingent upon achievement of specific performance goals over a stated period of time as approved by the Compensation and Development Committee of Great Plains Energy's Board of Directors. The number of performance shares ultimately paid can vary from the number of shares initially granted depending on Great Plains Energy's performance over stated performance periods. Performance shares have a value equal to the market value of the shares on the grant date with accruing dividends. Performance share activity for KCP&L officers for the last three years is summarized in the following table.

	2005	2004	2003
Beginning balance	16,779	16,779	124,500
Granted	178,570	-	16,779
Cancelled	-	-	(124,500)
Forfeited	(27,286)	-	
Ending balance	168,063	16,779	16,779

Compensation expense for performance shares is recognized over the performance period. In 2005, the Company recognized compensation expense of \$0.9 million and reversed an insignificant amount related to forfeited shares. The Company recognized an insignificant amount of compensation expense in 2004 and \$0.3 million in 2003. No compensation expense had been recorded related to performance shares cancelled in 2003.

Restricted Stock

Restricted stock cannot be sold or otherwise transferred by the recipient prior to vesting and has a value equal to the fair market value of the shares on the grant date. Restricted stock shares issued in 2003 totaling 48,440 vested in 2003 and were issued out of treasury stock; however, 45,561 of these shares were restricted as to transfer until December 31, 2004, but were considered vested under SFAS No. 123 because the employee's right to retain the shares of stock was not contingent upon remaining in the service of the Company and was not contingent upon achievement of performance conditions.

All other restricted stock shares issued vest on a graded schedule over a stated period of time with accruing reinvested dividends. Restricted stock activity for KCP&L officers for the last three years is summarized in the following table.

	2005	2004	2003
Beginning balance	62,881	62,881	-
Granted (a)	47,099	-	111,321
Vested	(20,960)	-	(48,440)
Ending balance	89,020	62,881	62,881

⁽a) Restricted stock shares issued in 2003 totaling 48,440 vested in 2003 and were issued out of treasury stock.

Compensation expense for restricted stock is recognized over the vesting period. The Company recognized compensation expense of \$0.7 million, \$0.4 million and \$1.6 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively and reversed an insignificant amount related to forfeited shares in 2005.

10. TAXES

Components of income taxes are detailed in the following table.

	2005	2004	2003
Current income taxes		(millions)	
Federal	\$ 79.9	\$ 39.2	\$ 26.1
State	5.6	6.7	5.7
Total	85.5	45.9	31.8
Deferred income taxes			
Federal	(14.3)	22.2	37.1
State	(19.1)	(11.3)	6.8
Total	(33.4)	10.9	43.9
Investment tax credit amortization	(3.9)	(4.0)	(4.0)
Total income tax expense	48.2	52.8	71.7
Less: taxes on discontinued			
operations (Note 7)			
Current tax (benefit) expense	-	-	(21.5)
Deferred tax expense	-	-	9.7
Income taxes on continuing operations	\$ 48.2	\$ 52.8	\$ 83.5

Income Tax Expense and Effective Income Tax Rates

Income tax expense and the effective income tax rates reflected in continuing operations in the financial statements and the reasons for their differences from the statutory federal rates are detailed in the following table.

	Income Tax Expense					Inco		
	2005		2004	2	2003	2005	2004	2003
		(m	illions)					
Federal statutory income tax	\$ 67.1	\$	68.6	\$	73.3	35.0 %	35.0 %	35.0 %
Differences between book and tax								
depreciation not normalized	2.3		1.4		3.9	1.2	0.7	1.9
Amortization of investment tax credits	(3.9)	(4.0)		(4.0)	(2.0)	(2.0)	(1.9)
State income taxes	4.2		7.0		7.1	2.2	3.6	3.4
Changes in uncertain tax positions, net	(1.7)	(2.7)		3.9	(0.9)	(1.4)	1.9
Rate changes on deferred taxes	(11.7)	(8.6)		-	(6.1)	(4.4)	-
Allocation of parent company tax benefits	(5.4)	(5.9)		-	(2.8)	(3.0)	-
Other	(2.7)	(3.0)		(0.7)	(1.5)	(1.5)	(0.4)
Total	\$ 48.2	\$	52.8	\$	83.5	25.1 %	27.0 %	39.9 %

During 2005, the Company's income tax expense decreased \$6.3 million due to the favorable impact of sustained audited positions on the Company's composite tax rate. SFAS No. 109 requires the Company to adjust deferred tax balances to reflect tax rates that are anticipated to be in effect when the differences reverse. The largest component of the Company's decreases in effective income tax rates in 2005 and 2004 was the result of adjusting KCP&L's deferred tax balance to its lower composite tax rate due to the impact of sustained audited positions and state tax planning. The impact of the composite tax rate reductions on the deferred tax balances resulted in tax benefits for the Company of \$11.7 million in 2005 and \$8.6 million in 2004.

Deferred Income Taxes

The tax effects of major temporary differences resulting in deferred income tax assets (liabilities) in the consolidated balance sheets are in the following tables.

December 31	2005	2004		
Current deferred income taxes	(mi	llions)		
Nuclear fuel outage	\$ 3.4	\$	5.1	
Derivative instruments	-		0.1	
Accrued vacation	4.7		3.8	
Other	 0.8		3.8	
Net current deferred income tax asset	8.9		12.8	
Noncurrent deferred income taxes				
Plant related	(554.2)		(556.5)	
Income taxes on future regulatory recoveries	(85.7)		(81.0)	
Derivative instruments	(4.5)		-	
Pension and postretirement benefits	(8.4)		(9.2)	
Storm related costs	(1.9)		(3.7)	
Debt issuance costs	(2.7)		(2.8)	
SO ₂ emission allowance sales	24.2		1.3	
Other	 6.2		(2.1)	
Net noncurrent deferred tax liability	(627.0)		(654.0)	
Net deferred income tax liability	\$ (618.1)	\$	(641.2)	

December 31	2005	2004
	(million	ns)
Gross deferred income tax assets	\$ 100.3	\$ 120.8
Gross deferred income tax liabilities	(718.4)	(762.0)
Net deferred income tax liability	\$ (618.1)	\$ (641.2)

Uncertain Tax Positions

Management evaluates and records tax liabilities for uncertain tax positions based on the probability of ultimately sustaining the tax deductions or income positions. Management assesses the probabilities of successfully defending the tax deductions or income positions based upon statutory, judicial or administrative authority.

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company had \$1.2 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, of liabilities for uncertain tax positions related to tax deductions or income positions taken on tax returns. Management believes the tax deductions or income positions are properly treated on such tax returns, but has recorded reserves based upon its assessment of the probabilities that certain deductions or income positions may not be sustained when the returns are audited. The tax returns containing these tax deductions or income positions are currently under audit or will likely be audited. The timing of the resolution of these audits is uncertain. If the positions are ultimately sustained, the Company will reverse these tax provisions to net income. If the positions are not ultimately sustained, the Company may be required to make cash payments plus interest. During 2005, the Company reversed \$2.0 million of previously recorded liabilities for uncertain tax positions.

Internal Revenue Service Settlement

In November 2002, KCP&L accepted a settlement offer related to the proposed disallowance of interest deductions on corporate-owned life insurance (COLI) loans. The offer allowed 20% of the interest originally deducted and taxed only 20% of the gain on surrender of the COLI policies. KCP&L surrendered the policies in February 2003. KCP&L paid \$1.3 million to the IRS in 2003 to satisfy the liability associated with the surrender. In December 2004, KCP&L settled the 1995-1999 IRS audit and paid tax of \$7.3 million and interest of \$4.2 million related to the disallowed COLI interest deduction. KCP&L accrued for these payments in 2000.

In addition to COLI, as part of the settlement of the 1995-1999 IRS audit, the Company agreed to additional tax of \$6.9 million and interest of \$5.9 million related primarily to timing differences. This settlement did not have a significant impact on the Company's net income because the liability had been previously recorded in the liabilities for uncertain tax positions or had offsetting impacts on deferred taxes.

11. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS AND RELATIONSHIPS

The Company receives various support and administrative services from Services, an affiliate of the Company. These services are billed to the Company at cost, based on payroll and other expenses, incurred by Services for the benefit of the Company. These costs totaled \$42.6 million, \$62.7 million and \$45.2 million for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. These costs consisted primarily of employee compensation, benefits and fees associated with various professional services. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company had a net intercompany payable to Services of \$3.5 million and \$9.2 million, respectively. In 2005, approximately 80% of Services' employees were transferred to KCP&L to better align resources with the operating business.

12.COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Environmental Matters

The Company is subject to regulation by federal, state and local authorities with regard to air and other environmental matters primarily through KCP&L's operations. The generation, transmission and distribution of electricity produces and requires disposal of certain hazardous products that are subject to these laws and regulations. In addition to imposing continuing compliance obligations, these laws and regulations authorize the imposition of substantial penalties for noncompliance, including fines, injunctive relief and other sanctions. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

KCP&L operates in an environmentally responsible manner and seeks to use current technology to avoid and treat contamination. KCP&L regularly conducts environmental audits designed to ensure compliance with governmental regulations and to detect contamination. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, KCP&L had \$0.3 million accrued for environmental remediation expenses. The accrual covers water monitoring at one site. The amounts accrued were established on an undiscounted basis and KCP&L does not currently have an estimated time frame over which the accrued amounts may be paid out.

Environmental-related legislation is continuously introduced in Congress. Such legislation typically includes various compliance dates and compliance limits. Such legislation could have the potential for a significant financial impact on KCP&L, including the installation of new pollution control equipment to achieve compliance. However, KCP&L would seek recovery of capital costs and expenses for such compliance through rates. KCP&L will continue to monitor proposed legislation.

The following table contains estimates of expenditures to comply with environmental laws and regulations described below. The allocation between states is based on location of the facilities and has no bearing as to recovery in jurisdictional rates.

Clean Air Estimated Required Environmental Expenditures	Missouri	Kansas	Total	Estimated Timetable
Environmental Expenditures	MISSOULI	Nalisas	10(d)	Tillietable
		(millions)		
CAIR	\$395 - 575	\$ -	\$395 - 575	2005 - 2015
Incremental BART	55 - 85	225 - 325	280 - 410	2005 - 2013
Incremental CAMR	48 - 70	4 - 6	52 - 76	2010 - 2018
Comprehensive energy plan retrofits	(171)	(101)	(272)	2006 - 2008
Estimated required environmental expenditures in				
excess of the comprehensive energy plan retrofits	\$327 - 559	\$128 - 230	\$455 - 789	

Expenditure estimates provided in the table above include, but are not limited to, the accelerated environmental upgrade expenditures included in the MPSC and KCC orders discussed in Note 5. These expenditures are expected to reduce SO_2 , NO_x , mercury and air particulate matter. KCP&L's expectation is that any such expenditures will be recovered through rates.

Clean Air Interstate Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) requires reductions in SO_2 and NO_x emissions in 28 states, including Missouri, and became effective July 11, 2005. The reduction in both SO_2 and NO_x emissions will be accomplished through establishment of permanent statewide caps for NO_x effective January 1, 2009, and SO_2 effective January 1, 2010. More restrictive caps will be effective on January 1, 2015. KCP&L's coal-fired plants located in Missouri are subject to CAIR, while its coal-fired plants in Kansas are not.

KCP&L expects to meet the emissions reductions required by CAIR at its Missouri plants through a combination of pollution control capital projects and the purchase of emission allowances in the open market as needed. The final rule establishes a market-based cap-and-trade program. Missouri will establish an emission allowance allocation mechanism through a State Implementation Plan (SIP) that is expected to be issued by December 2006. Facilities will demonstrate compliance with CAIR by holding sufficient allowances for each ton of SO_2 and NO_x emitted in any given year with SO_2 emission allowances transferable among all regulated facilities nationwide and NO_x emission allowances transferable among all regulated facilities within the 28 CAIR states. KCP&L will also be allowed to utilize unused SO_2 emission allowances that it has banked from previous years of the Acid Rain Program to meet the more stringent CAIR requirements. At December 31, 2005, KCP&L had over 125,000 tons of SO_2 emission allowances, which are recorded in inventory at zero cost. KCP&L is permitted to sell excess SO_2 emission allowances in accordance with KCP&L's comprehensive energy plan as approved by the MPSC and KCC. During 2005, KCP&L sold SO_2 emission allowances for proceeds of \$61.0 million. See Note 5 for more information.

Analysis of the final rule indicates that selective catalytic reduction technology for NO_x control and scrubbers for SO_2 control will likely be required for KCP&L's Montrose station, in addition to the environmental upgrades at Iatan No. 1 included in the comprehensive energy plan. The timing of the installation of such control equipment is currently being developed. KCP&L continues to refine the preliminary cost estimates detailed in the table above and explore alternatives. The ultimate cost of these regulations could be significantly different from the amounts estimated. As discussed below, certain of the control technology for SO_2 and NO_x will also aid in the control of mercury.

Best Available Retrofit Technology Rule

In 2005, the EPA published regulations on best available retrofit technology (BART) that amended its July 1999 regional haze regulations regarding emission controls for industrial facilities emitting air pollutants that reduce visibility. The BART regulations apply to specific eligible facilities and were effective September 6, 2005. KCP&L coal-fired plants on the BART eligible list include LaCygne Nos. 1 and 2 in Kansas and Iatan No. 1 and Montrose No. 3 in Missouri. The CAIR suggests that states in CAIR that meet the CAIR requirement may also meet BART requirements for individual sources. Missouri is considering this proposal as part of the CAIR SIP, but no final decision has been reached. Kansas is not a CAIR state and therefore BART will likely impact LaCygne Nos. 1 and 2. The BART rule directs state air quality agencies to identify whether emissions from sources subject to BART are below limits set by the state, or whether retrofit measures are needed to reduce emissions. States must submit a BART implementation plan in 2007 with required emission controls. If emission controls to comply with BART are required at LaCygne Nos. 1 and 2, additional capital expenditures will be required. KCP&L continues to refine its preliminary cost estimates detailed in the table above and explore alternatives. The ultimate cost of these regulations could be significantly different from the amounts estimated.

Mercury Emissions

In 2000, the National Research Council published its findings of a study under the Clean Air Act, which stated that power plants that burn fossil fuels, particularly coal, generate the greatest amount of mercury emissions from man-made sources. In 2005, the EPA reversed its December 2000 finding that it was "appropriate and necessary" to regulate fossil fuel-fired power plants under section 112 of the Clean Air Act, concluding that the earlier finding lacked foundation and that recent information demonstrates that it is not appropriate or necessary to regulate fossil fuel-fired power plants under section 112. The EPA therefore removed coal- and oil-fired power plants from the section 112(c) list. Under section 112 of the Clean Air Act, the EPA would have been required to issue Maximum Available Control Technology standards for affected facilities and would have been prohibited from using cap and trade provisions for achieving compliance.

In 2005, the EPA published the Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR), which regulates mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants located in 48 states, including Kansas and Missouri, under the New Source Performance Standards of the Clean Air Act. The rule was effective July 18, 2005, and established a market-based cap-and-trade program that will reduce nationwide utility emissions of mercury in two phases. The first phase cap is effective January 1, 2010, and will establish a permanent nationwide cap of 38 tons of mercury for coal-fired power plants. Management anticipates meeting the first phase cap by taking advantage of KCP&L's mercury reductions achieved through capital expenditures to comply with CAIR and BART. The second phase is effective January 1, 2018, and will establish a permanent nationwide cap of 15 tons of mercury for coal-fired power plants. When fully implemented, the rule will reduce utility emissions of mercury by nearly 70% from current emissions of 48 tons per year.

Facilities will demonstrate compliance with the standard by holding allowances for each ounce of mercury emitted in any given year and allowances will be readily transferable among all regulated facilities nationwide. Under the cap-and-trade program, KCP&L will be able to purchase mercury allowances or elect to install pollution control equipment to achieve compliance. While it is expected that mercury allowances will be available in sufficient quantities for purchase in the 2010-2018 timeframe, the significant reduction in the nationwide cap in 2018 may hamper KCP&L's ability to obtain reasonably priced allowances beyond 2018. Management expects capital expenditures will be required to install additional pollution control equipment to meet the second phase cap. During the ensuing years, management will closely monitor advances in technology for removal of mercury from Powder River Basin (PRB) coal and expects to make decisions regarding second phase removal based on then available technology to meet the 2018 compliance date. The ultimate cost of this rule could be significantly different from the amounts estimated in the table above. KCP&L is a participant in the DOE project at the Sunflower Electric Holcomb plant to investigate control technology options for mercury removal from coal-fired plants burning sub-bituminous coal.

In 2005, the EPA agreed to reconsider certain aspects of the rule and to invite additional comments on certain aspects of the rule. However, in its reconsideration notice, the EPA reiterated its position that the methodology used for the risk analysis performed to justify the CAMR is sound and scientifically justified. Comments were due in December 2005. The EPA's actions to de-list mercury under section 112 of the Clean Air Act and issue CAMR remain controversial and subject to challenge.

Carbon Dioxide

At a December 1997 meeting in Kyoto, Japan, delegates from 167 nations, including the U.S., agreed to a treaty (Kyoto Protocol) that would require a 7% reduction in U.S. CO₂ emissions below 1990 levels, a nearly 30% cut from current levels. In 2001, the Bush administration announced it will not negotiate implementation of the Kyoto Protocol and it will not send the Kyoto Protocol to the U.S. Senate for ratification.

In 2002, President Bush unveiled his Clear Skies Initiative, which included a climate change policy. The climate change policy is a voluntary program that relies heavily on incentives to encourage industry to voluntarily limit emissions. The strategy includes tax credits, energy conservation programs, funding for research into new technologies, and a plan to encourage companies to track and report their emissions so that companies could gain credits for use in any future emissions trading program. The greenhouse strategy links growth in emissions of greenhouse gases to economic output. The administration's strategy is intended to reduce the greenhouse gas intensity of the U.S. economy by 18% over the next 10 years. Greenhouse gas intensity measures the ratio of greenhouse gas emissions to economic output as measured by Gross Domestic Product (GDP). Under this plan, as the economy grows, greenhouse gases also would continue to grow, although at a slower rate than they would have without these policies in place. When viewed per unit of economic output, the rate of emissions would drop. The plan projects that the U.S. would lower its rate of greenhouse gas

emissions from an estimated 183 metric tons per \$1 million of GDP in 2002 to 151 metric tons per \$1 million of GDP by 2012.

In 2002, KCP&L joined the Power Partners through Edison Electric Institute (EEI). Power Partners is a voluntary program with the DOE under which utilities commit to undertake measures to reduce, avoid or sequester CO₂ emissions. In 2003, the EEI sent a letter to numerous Administration officials, in which the EEI committed to work with the government over the next decade to reduce the power sector's CO₂ emissions per kWh generated (carbon intensity) by the equivalent of 3% to 5% of the current level. In 2004, Power Partners entered into a cooperative umbrella memorandum of understanding (MOU) with the DOE. This MOU contains supply and demand-side actions as well as offset projects that will be undertaken to reduce the power sector's CO₂ emissions per kWh generated over the next decade consistent with the EEI commitment of 3% to 5%.

Air Particulate Matter and Ozone

In 1997, the EPA revised ozone and particulate matter air quality standards creating a new eight-hour ozone standard and establishing a new standard for particulate matter less than 2.5 microns (PM-2.5) in diameter. In 2004, the EPA designated the Kansas City area as attainment with respect to the PM-2.5 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). In 2005, the EPA published a final rule that designated Jackson, Platte, Clay and Cass counties in Missouri and Johnson, Linn, Miami and Wyandotte counties in Kansas as attainment with respect to the eight-hour ozone NAAQS effective June 2, 2005.

Water Use Regulations

In 2004, the EPA finalized the Phase II rule implementing Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act establishing standards for cooling water intake structures at existing facilities. This final regulation is applicable to certain existing power producing facilities that employ cooling water intake structures that withdraw 50 million gallons or more per day and use 25% or more of that water for cooling purposes. KCP&L is required to complete a Section 316(b) comprehensive demonstration study on each of its generating facilities' intake structures by the end of 2007, the studies are expected to cost a total of \$1.2 million to \$2.0 million. Depending on the outcome of the comprehensive demonstration studies, facilities may be required to implement technological, operational or restoration measures to achieve compliance. Compliance with the final rule is expected to be achieved between 2011 and 2014. Until the Section 316(b) comprehensive demonstration studies are completed, the impact of this final rule cannot be quantified.

Contractual Commitments

The Company's expense related to lease commitments was \$19.4 million, \$18.4 million and \$23.1 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

The Company's contractual commitments at December 31, 2005, excluding pensions and long-term debt are detailed in the following table.

	2006	7	2007	7	2008	2	2009	2	2010	After 2010	Total
						(mi	llions)				
Lease commitments	\$ 15.9	\$	14.4	\$	14.0	\$	10.5	\$	8.4	\$ 91.0	\$ 154.2
Purchase commitments											
Fuel ^(a)	107.9		99.9		91.5		46.0		32.3	37.7	415.3
Purchased capacity	5.4		6.8		7.8		8.2		5.4	18.6	52.2
Other	33.6		5.6		2.9		-		-	-	42.1
Total contractual commitments	\$ 162.8	\$	126.7	\$	116.2	\$	64.7	\$	46.1	\$ 147.3	\$ 663.8

⁽a) Fuel commitments consists of commitments for nuclear fuel, coal and coal transportation costs.

Lease commitments end in 2028 and include insignificant amounts for capital leases. As the managing partner of three jointly owned generating units, KCP&L has entered into leases for railcars to serve those units. KCP&L has reflected the entire lease commitment in the above amounts, although the other owners will reimburse approximately \$2.0 million per year (\$22.7 million total).

KCP&L purchases capacity from other utilities and nonutility suppliers. Purchasing capacity provides the option to purchase energy if needed or when market prices are favorable. KCP&L has capacity sales agreements not included above that total \$11.4 million for 2006, \$11.2 million per year for 2007 through 2010 and \$12.3 million after 2010.

Synthetic Lease

In 2001, KCP&L entered into a synthetic lease arrangement with a Lease Trust (Lessor) to finance the purchase, installation, assembly and construction of five combustion turbines and related property and equipment that added 385 MWs of peaking capacity. Rental payments under the lease, which reflects interest payments only, began in 2004 and were to end in October 2006. KCP&L exercised its early termination option in 2005 and purchased the leased property for \$154.0 million. KCP&L's expense for the synthetic lease was \$2.0 million and \$1.9 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The Lease Trust, a special purpose entity, acting as Lessor in the synthetic lease arrangement discussed above, was considered a variable interest entity under FIN No. 46. Because KCP&L had variable interests in the Lease Trust, including among other things, a residual value guarantee provided to the Lessor, KCP&L was the primary beneficiary of the Lease Trust. The Lease Trust was consolidated in 2003, as required by FIN No. 46. As a result, the Company's depreciation expense increased \$1.9 million, \$5.1 million and \$1.3 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, with offsetting recognition of minority interest.

13. GUARANTEES

At December 31, 2005, KCP&L had guaranteed, with a maximum potential of \$3.9 million, energy savings under an agreement with a customer that expires over the next four years. A subcontractor would indemnify KCP&L for any payments made by KCP&L under this guarantee. This guarantee was entered into before December 31, 2002; therefore, a liability was not recorded in accordance with FIN No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others."

14.LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Union Pacific

In 2005, KCP&L filed a rate complaint case with the Surface Transportation Board (STB) charging that Union Pacific Railroad Company's (Union Pacific) rates for transporting coal from the PRB in Wyoming to KCP&L's Montrose Station are unreasonably high. Prior to the end of 2005, the rates were established under a contract with Union Pacific. Efforts to extend the term of the contract were unsuccessful and Union Pacific is the only service for coal transportation from the PRB to Montrose Station. KCP&L charged that Union Pacific possesses market dominance over the traffic and requested the STB prescribe maximum reasonable rates. Management anticipates filing opening evidence by mid-year 2006 and the STB issuing its decision toward the end of 2007. Until the STB case is finalized, KCP&L is paying tariff rates subject to refund.

Framatome

In 2005, WCNOC filed a lawsuit on behalf of itself, KCP&L and the other two Wolf Creek owners against Framatome ANP, Inc., and Framatome ANP Richland, Inc. (Framatome) in the District Court of Coffey County, Kansas. The suit alleges various claims against Framatome related to the design, licensing and installation of a digital control system. The suit seeks recovery of approximately \$16 million in damages from Framatome. Framatome removed the case to U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas. Thereafter, the plaintiffs filed a motion to remand the case back to Coffey County District Court. The federal court has not yet decided that motion. Framatome has not yet filed its answer in the lawsuit.

Hawthorn No. 5 Subrogation Litigation

KCP&L filed suit in 2001, in Jackson County, Missouri Circuit Court against multiple defendants who are alleged to have responsibility for the 1999 Hawthorn No. 5 boiler explosion. KCP&L and National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (National Union) have entered into a subrogation allocation agreement under which recoveries in this suit are generally allocated 55% to National Union and 45% to KCP&L. Certain defendants have been dismissed from the suit and various defendants settled, with KCP&L receiving a total of \$38.2 million, of which \$18.5 million was recorded as a recovery of capital expenditures. Trial of this case with the one remaining defendant resulted in a March 2004 jury verdict finding KCP&L's damages as a result of the explosion were \$452 million. After deduction of amounts received from pre-trial settlements with other defendants and an amount for KCP&L's comparative fault (as determined by the jury), the verdict would have resulted in an award against the defendant of approximately \$97.6 million (of which KCP&L would have received \$33 million pursuant to the subrogation allocation agreement after payment of attorney's fees). In response to post-trial pleadings filed by the defendant, in 2004, the trial judge reduced the award against the defendant to \$0.2 million. Both KCP&L and the defendant have appealed this case to the Court of Appeals for the Western District of Missouri. Oral arguments are expected in the first quarter of 2006.

KCP&L has received \$204.8 million in insurance recoveries related to property destroyed in the 1999 explosion at the Hawthorn No. 5 generating unit. Recoveries received related to property destroyed and subrogation settlements recorded as a recovery of capital expenditures have been recorded as an increase in accumulated depreciation.

Spent Nuclear Fuel and Radioactive Waste

In 2004, KCP&L and the other two Wolf Creek owners filed suit against the United States in the U.S. Court of Federal Claims seeking an unspecified amount of monetary damages resulting from the government's failure to begin accepting spent fuel for disposal in January 1998, as the government was required to do by the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982. Approximately sixty other similar cases are pending before that court. The court has stayed the Wolf Creek case until at least October 2006 to allow for some of the earlier cases to be decided first. Another federal court already has determined

that the government breached its obligation to begin accepting spent fuel for disposal. The questions now before the court in the pending cases are whether and to what extent the utilities are entitled to monetary damages for that breach. KCP&L management cannot predict the outcome of the Wolf Creek case.

15. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

Asset retirement obligations associated with tangible long-lived assets are those for which a legal obligation exists under enacted laws, statutes and written or oral contracts, including obligations arising under the doctrine of promissory estoppel. These liabilities are recognized at estimated fair value as incurred and capitalized as part of the cost of the related long-lived assets and depreciated over their useful lives. Accretion of the liabilities due to the passage of time is recorded as an operating expense. Changes in the estimated fair values of the liabilities are recognized when known.

In 2005, FASB issued FIN No. 47, "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations." FIN No. 47 clarifies the term conditional ARO, as used in SFAS No. 143. Conditional ARO refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. Under FIN No. 47, an entity is required to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional ARO if the fair value of the liability can be reasonably estimated. The Company adopted the provisions of FIN No. 47 for the year ended December 31, 2005.

KCP&L management determined AROs exist for asbestos in certain fossil fuel plants and for an ash pond and landfill. The additional AROs at December 31, 2005, totaled \$8.4 million for remediation of asbestos and \$7.0 million for the remediation of the ash pond and landfill. The AROs were derived from third party and internal engineering estimates. To estimate the AROs, KCP&L used a credit-adjusted risk free discount rate of 5.6% for 12.5-year assets, 5.89% for 19.5-year asset and 6.12% for 29.5-year assets. The estimated rate was based on the rate KCP&L could issue bonds for the specific period.

In recording the AROs, net utility plant was increased by \$2.2 million. KCP&L is a regulated utility subject to the provisions of SFAS No. 71 and management believes it is probable any differences between expense under SFAS No. 143 and expense recovered currently in rates will be recoverable in future rates. As a result, the \$13.2 million net effect of adopting FIN No. 47 was recorded as a regulatory asset; therefore, it had no impact on net income.

During 2005, KCP&L also recorded an addition to its ARO to decommission Wolf Creek of \$11.3 million, which reflects a 2005 update to the decommissioning study cost estimates. To estimate the additional ARO, KCP&L used a credit-adjusted risk free discount rate of 5.89%. In recording the ARO addition, net utility plant was increased by \$10.8 million. A related \$0.5 million for accretion expense and depreciation was recorded as a regulatory asset; therefore, it had no impact on net income.

Revisions to the estimated liabilities of KCP&L could occur due to changes in the decommissioning or other cost estimates, extension of the nuclear operating license or changes in federal or state regulatory requirements.

The following table summarizes the change in KCP&L's AROs.

December 31	2005		2004
	(mil	lions)	
Beginning balance	\$ 113.7	\$	106.7
Additions	26.7		-
Settlements	(2.0)		-
Accretion	7.5		7.0
Ending balance	\$ 145.9	\$	113.7

The following table illustrates the effect of FIN No. 47 related AROs if the provisions of FIN No. 47 had been applied beginning January 1, 2003. Pro forma amounts for the periods prior to adoption of FIN No. 47 were measured using assumptions consistent with the period of adoption.

December 31	2	2	:004	2003		
			llions)			
Beginning balance	\$	14.6	\$	13.8	\$	13.0
Accretion		0.8		0.8		8.0
Ending balance	\$	15.4	\$	14.6	\$	13.8

16. SEGMENT AND RELATED INFORMATION

The Company has one reportable segment based on its method of internal reporting, which segregates the reportable segment based on products and services, management responsibility and regulation. The reportable business segment is KCP&L, an integrated, regulated electric utility. Other includes the operations of HSS, consolidating entries and intercompany eliminations. Intercompany eliminations include insignificant amounts of intercompany financing related activities. The summary of significant accounting policies applies to the reportable segment. For segment reporting, income taxes include the effects of allocating Great Plains Energy's tax benefits. Segment performance is evaluated based on net income. The following tables reflect summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segment.

			Total
2005	KCP&L	Other	Company
		(millions)	
Operating revenues	\$ 1,130.8	\$ 0.1	\$ 1,130.9
Depreciation and amortization	(146.5	(0.1)	(146.6)
Interest charges	(61.8	-	(61.8)
Income taxes	(49.3	3) 1.1	(48.2)
Net income (loss)	145.2	(1.5)	143.7

			Total
2004	KCP&L	Other	Company
		(millions)	
Operating revenues	\$ 1,090.1	\$ 1.5	\$ 1,091.6
Depreciation and amortization	(144.3)	(0.9)	(145.2)
Interest charges	(73.7)	(0.5)	(74.2)
Income taxes	(55.7)	2.9	(52.8)
Net income (loss)	150.0	(6.7)	143.3

			Total
2003	KCP&L	Other	Company
		(millions)	
Operating revenues	\$ 1,054.9	\$ 2.1	\$ 1,057.0
Depreciation and amortization	(139.9)	(1.1)	(141.0)
Interest charges	(69.9)	(0.4)	(70.3)
Income taxes	(84.4)	0.9	(83.5)
Discontinued operations, net of income taxes	-	(8.7)	(8.7)
Net income (loss)	127.2	(10.0)	117.2

			Total
	KCP&L	Other	Company
2005		(millions)	
Assets	\$ 3,334.6	\$ 3.9	\$ 3,338.5
Capital expenditures	332.2		332.2
2004			
Assets	\$ 3,330.2	\$ 7.2	\$ 3,337.4
Capital expenditures	190.8		190.8
2003			
Assets	\$ 3,293.5	\$ 9.1	\$ 3,302.6
Capital expenditures	148.8	-	148.8

17. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS AND SHORT-TERM BANK LINES OF CREDIT

KCP&L has a \$250 million revolving credit facility with a group of banks that expires in December 2009, to provide support for its issuance of commercial paper and other general purposes. A default by KCP&L on other indebtedness totaling more than \$25.0 million is a default under the facility. Under the terms of the agreement, KCP&L is required to maintain a consolidated indebtedness to consolidated capitalization ratio, as defined in the agreement, not greater than 0.65 to 1.00 at all times. At December 31, 2005, KCP&L was in compliance with this covenant. At December 31, 2005, KCP&L had \$31.9 million of commercial paper outstanding and no cash borrowings under the facility. The weighted-average interest rate of the commercial paper was 4.35%. At December 31, 2004, KCP&L had no cash borrowings or commercial paper outstanding.

18.LONG-TERM DEBT AND EIRR BONDS CLASSIFIED AS CURRENT LIABILITIES

The Company's long-term debt is detailed in the following table.

		Decem	ber :	31
	Year Due	2005	2004	
General Mortgage Bonds		(mil	lions)	
7.95% Medium-Term Notes	2007	\$ 0.5	\$	0.5
3.45%* EIRR bonds	2012-2035	158.8		158.8
Senior Notes				
7.125%	2005	-		250.0
6.00%	2007	225.0		225.0
6.50%	2011	150.0		150.0
6.05%	2035	250.0		-
Unamortized discount		(1.8)		(0.6)
EIRR bonds				
4.75% Series A & B	2015	104.6		107.0
2.38% Series C		-		50.0
4.75% Series D	2017	39.3		40.2
4.65% Series 2005	2035	50.0		-
2.10% Combustion Turbine Synthetic Lease		-		145.3
Current liabilities				
EIRR bonds classified as current		-		(85.9)
Current maturities		-		(250.0)
Total excluding current liabilities		\$ 976.4	\$	790.3

^{*} Weighted-average interest rate at December 31, 2005

Amortization of Debt Expense

The Company's amortization of debt expense was \$2.3 million for 2005 and \$2.1 million for 2004 and 2003.

KCP&L General Mortgage Bonds

KCP&L has issued mortgage bonds under the General Mortgage Indenture and Deed of Trust dated December 1, 1986, as supplemented. The Indenture creates a mortgage lien on substantially all utility plant. Mortgage bonds secure \$159.3 million of medium-term notes and Environmental Improvement Revenue Refunding (EIRR) bonds at December 31, 2005 and 2004. In 2004, KCP&L redeemed \$54.5 million of its medium-term notes at maturity.

During 2005, KCP&L redeemed its secured 1994 series EIRR bonds totaling \$35.9 million by issuing secured EIRR Bonds Series 2005 also totaling \$35.9 million: \$14.0 million at a fixed rate of 4.05% until maturity at March 1, 2015, and \$21.9 million at a fixed rate of 4.65% until maturity at September 1, 2035. The previous interest rate periods on this series, with an interest rate of 2.25%, expired on August 31, 2005. This series was classified as a current liability at December 31, 2004. The new EIRR Bonds Series 2005 is covered by a municipal bond insurance policy issued by XL Capital Assurance Inc. (XLCA). The insurance agreement between KCP&L and XLCA is described below.

In 2004, KCP&L secured a municipal bond insurance policy as a credit enhancement to its secured 1992 series EIRR bonds totaling \$31.0 million. This municipal bond insurance policy replaced a 364-day credit facility with a bank, which expired in August 2004 and previously supported full liquidity of these bonds. These variable-rate secured EIRR bonds with a final maturity in 2017 are remarketed on a weekly basis through a Dutch auction process. The insurance agreement between KCP&L and XLCA is described below.

KCP&L Unsecured Notes

KCP&L had \$196.5 million of unsecured EIRR bonds outstanding excluding the fair value of interest rate swaps of a \$2.6 million liability and \$0.7 million asset at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The interest rates swaps resulted in an effective rate of 5.43% for the Series A, B and D EIRR bonds at December 31, 2005. During 2005, KCP&L redeemed its unsecured Series C EIRR bonds totaling \$50.0 million by issuing unsecured EIRR Bonds Series 2005 also totaling \$50.0 million at a fixed rate of 4.65% until maturity at September 1, 2035. The previous interest rate period on this series, with an interest rate of 2.38%, expired on August 31, 2005. The Series C EIRR bonds were classified as current liabilities at December 31, 2004. The new EIRR Bonds Series 2005 is covered by a municipal bond insurance policy issued by XLCA. The insurance agreement between KCP&L and XLCA is described below.

KCP&L had \$625.0 million of outstanding unsecured senior notes at December 31, 2005 and 2004. During 2005, KCP&L privately issued \$250.0 million of 6.05% unsecured senior notes, maturing in 2035. The proceeds from the issuance were used to repay the 7.125% unsecured senior notes that matured in 2005. KCP&L entered into two Treasury Locks (T-Locks) to hedge against interest rate fluctuations on the U.S. Treasury rate component of this issuance. As a result, the effective interest rate on these notes was 5.78% at December 31, 2005. See Note 20 for more information about the T-Locks.

KCP&L exercised its early termination option in the Combustion Turbine Synthetic Lease and purchased the leased property during 2005.

Municipal Bond Insurance Policies

The insurance agreements between KCP&L and XLCA provide for reimbursement by KCP&L for any amounts that XLCA pays under the municipal bond insurance policies. The insurance policies are in effect for the term of the bonds. The insurance agreements contain a covenant that the indebtedness to total capitalization ratio of KCP&L and its consolidated subsidiaries will not be greater than 0.68 to 1.00. At December 31, 2005, KCP&L was in compliance with this covenant. KCP&L is also restricted from issuing additional bonds under its General Mortgage Indenture if, after giving effect to such additional bonds, the proportion of secured debt to total indebtedness would be more than 75%, or more than 50% if the long term rating for such bonds by Standard & Poor's or Moody's Investors Service would be at or below A- or A3, respectively. The insurance agreement covering the unsecured EIRR Bond Series 2005 also requires KCP&L to provide XLCA with \$50.0 million of general mortgage bonds as collateral for KCP&L's obligations under the insurance agreement in the event KCP&L issues general mortgage bonds (other than refundings of outstanding general mortgage bonds) resulting in the aggregate amount of outstanding general mortgage bonds exceeding 10% of total capitalization. In the event of a default under the insurance agreements, XLCA may take any available legal or equitable action against KCP&L, including seeking specific performance of the covenants.

Scheduled Maturities

The Company's long-term debt maturities for the years 2006 through 2010 are \$225.5 million in 2007.

19. COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY

Under stipulations with the MPSC and KCC, KCP&L has committed to maintain consolidated common equity of not less than 35% of total capitalization.

Great Plains Energy made capital contributions to KCP&L of \$225 million in 2004. These contributions were used to pay down long-term debt. At December 31, 2005, KCP&L's capital contributions from Great Plains Energy totaled \$400 million and are reflected in common stock in the consolidated KCP&L balance sheet.

20. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

The Company is exposed to a variety of market risks including interest rates and commodity prices. Management has established risk management policies and strategies to reduce the potentially adverse effects that the volatility of the markets may have on the Company's operating results. The risk management activities, including the use of derivative instruments, are subject to the management, direction and control of internal risk management committees. Management's interest rate risk management strategy uses derivative instruments to adjust the Company's liability portfolio to optimize the mix of fixed and floating rate debt within an established range. In addition, the Company uses derivative instruments to hedge against future interest rate fluctuations on anticipated debt issuances. Management maintains commodity-price risk management strategies that use derivative instruments to reduce the effects of fluctuations in fuel expense caused by commodity price volatility. Counterparties to commodity derivatives and interest rate swap agreements expose the Company to credit loss in the event of nonperformance. This credit loss is limited to the cost of replacing these contracts at current market rates. Derivative instruments, excluding those instruments that qualify for the NPNS election which are accounted for by accrual accounting, are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value as an asset or liability. Changes in the fair value are recognized currently in net income unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met.

Fair Value Hedges - Interest Rate Risk Management In 2002, KCP&L remarketed its 1998 Series A, B and D EIRR bonds totaling \$146.5 million to a five-year fixed interest rate of 4.75% ending October 1, 2007. Simultaneously with the remarketing, KCP&L entered into an interest rate swap for the \$146.5 million based on the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) to effectively create a floating interest rate obligation. The transaction is a fair value hedge with no ineffectiveness. Changes in the fair market value of the swap are recorded on the balance sheet as an asset or liability with an offsetting entry to the respective debt balances with no net impact on net income.

Cash Flow Hedges - Treasury Locks

In 2005, KCP&L entered into two T-Locks to hedge against interest rate fluctuations on the U.S. Treasury rate component of the \$250.0 million 30-year long-term debt that KCP&L issued. The T-Locks settled simultaneously with the issuance of the long-term fixed rate debt. The T-Locks removed the uncertainty with respect to the U.S. Treasury rate component of the debt to be issued, thereby enabling KCP&L to predict with greater assurance what its future interest costs on that debt would be. The T-Locks were accounted for as cash flow hedges and no ineffectiveness was recorded on the T-Locks. A pre-tax gain of \$12.0 million on the T-Locks was recorded to OCI and is being reclassified to interest expense over the life of the issued 30-year debt. An insignificant amount was reclassified from OCI to interest expense subsequent to the debt issuance.

Cash Flow Hedges - Commodity Risk Management

KCP&L's risk management policy is to use derivative instruments to mitigate its exposure to market price fluctuations on a portion of its projected natural gas purchases to meet generation requirements for retail and firm wholesale sales. At December 31, 2005, KCP&L did not have any projected natural gas usage hedged for retail load and firm MWh sales. The hedging instruments in place at December 31, 2004, were designated as cash flow hedges. The fair values of these instruments are recorded as current assets or current liabilities with an offsetting entry to OCI for the effective portion of the hedge. To the extent the hedges are not effective, the ineffective portion of the change in fair market value is recorded currently in fuel expense. KCP&L did not record any gains or losses due to ineffectiveness during 2005, 2004 or 2003. When the natural gas is purchased, the amounts in OCI are reclassified to fuel expense in the consolidated income statement.

The notional and recorded fair values of the Company's derivative instruments are summarized in the following table. The fair values of these derivatives are recorded on the consolidated balance sheets.

	December 31								
		2005				20	04		
	Noti	onal			No	otional			
	Contract		Fair		Contract		Fair		
	Ame	ount	Value		Amount		Value		
Swap contracts				(milli	ons)				
Cash flow hedges	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6.3	\$	(0.3)	
Interest rate swaps									
Fair value hedges		146.5		(2.6)		146.5		0.7	

The amounts recorded in accumulated OCI related to the cash flow hedges are summarized in the following table.

December 31	2	2005	2	004
		(mill	ions)	
Current assets	\$	11.9	\$	(0.3)
Deferred income taxes		(4.5)		0.2
Total	\$	7.4	\$	(0.1)

The amounts recorded in current assets reflected in accumulated OCI in the table above at December 31, 2005, are related to settlement of the T-Locks and are expected to be reclassified to expenses as discussed above.

The amounts reclassified to expenses are summarized in the following table.

	2	2	2004	2003		
			(mi	llions)		
Fuel expense	\$	(0.5)	\$	(0.7)	\$	(8.0)
Income taxes		0.2		0.3		0.3
OCI	\$	(0.3)	\$	(0.4)	\$	(0.5)

21. JOINTLY OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY PLANTS

KCP&L's share of jointly owned electric utility plants at December 31, 2005, is detailed in the following table.

	Wolf Creek		LaCygne		Iatan No	
	Unit		Units	Unit		
	(millio	ons, e	except MW	7 amo	ounts)	
KCP&L's share	47%	47%		70%)
Utility plant in service	\$ 1,414	\$	337	\$	263	
Accumulated depreciation	712		244		190	
Nuclear fuel, net	28					
KCP&L's accredited capacityMWs	548		711		456	(a)

⁽a)The Iatan No. 2 air permit limits KCP&L's accredited capacity of Iatan No. 1 to 456 MWs from 469 MWs until the air quality control equipment included in the comprehensive energy plan is operational.

Each owner must fund its own portion of the plant's operating expenses and capital expenditures. KCP&L's share of direct expenses is included in the appropriate operating expense classifications in the Company's financial statements.

22.QUARTERLY OPERATING RESULTS (UNAUDITED)

Quarter	1st	2nd			3rd		4th
2005			(mil	lions)			
Operating revenue	\$ 233.3	\$	272.1	\$	353.0	\$	272.5
Operating income	24.6		59.0		100.1		66.0
Net income	10.3		29.0		68.9		35.5
2004							
Operating revenue	\$ 247.0	\$	275.0	\$	323.7	\$	245.9
Operating income	49.7		68.3		111.3		37.8
Net income	21.2		32.3		63.9		25.9

Quarterly data is subject to seasonal fluctuations with peak periods occurring in the summer months.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of Kansas City Power & Light Company

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Kansas City Power & Light Company and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, common shareholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Kansas City Power & Light Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements, effective December 31, 2005, the Company changed its method of accounting for conditional asset retirement obligations to adopt FIN 47 "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations". As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, in 2005 the Company changed the presentation of its consolidated statements of cash flows to include the cash flows from operating, investing, and financing activities of discontinued operations within the respective categories of operating, investing and financing activities of the Company and retroactively revised the statement of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2003, for the change.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 8, 2006, expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Kansas City, Missouri March 8, 2006 Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) for KCP&L. Under the supervision and with the participation of KCP&L's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, management evaluated the effectiveness of KCP&L's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005. Management used for this evaluation the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO of the Treadway Commission. Management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2005, KCP&L's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on the criteria set forth in the COSO framework. Deloitte & Touche, LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the financial statements included in this annual report on Form 10-K, has issued its audit report on this assessment, which is included below

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of Kansas City Power & Light Company

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Kansas City Power & Light Company and subsidiaries (the "Company") maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being

made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules as of and for the year ended December 31, 2005, of the Company and our report dated March 8, 2006, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedules and included an explanatory paragraph regarding the Company's adoption of a new accounting standard and revisions made to the consolidated statement of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2003.

/s/DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Kansas City, Missouri March 8, 2006

Kansas City Power & Light Company Valuation and Qualifying Accounts Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003

				Ad	ditions		_			
Description	В	alance At eginning of Period	7	Charged To Costs And Expenses	T	Charged To Other Accounts	De	ductions	A	alance t End Period
Year Ended December 31, 2005				•	(mill	ions)				
Allowance for uncollectible accounts	\$	1.7	\$	3.3	\$	4.6 ^(a)	\$	7.0 ^(b)	\$	2.6
Legal reserves		3.2		3.1		-		1.8 ^(c)		4.5
Environmental reserves		0.3		-		-		-		0.3
Uncertain tax positions		3.7		0.3		-		2.8 ^(d)		1.2
Year Ended December 31, 2004										
Allowance for uncollectible accounts	\$	4.9	\$	2.6	\$	2.7 ^(a)	\$	8.5 ^(b)	\$	1.7
Legal reserves		3.8		1.4		-		2.0 ^(c)		3.2
Environmental reserves		1.8		-		-		1.5 ^(e)		0.3
Uncertain tax positions		6.4		2.1		-		4.8 ^(d)		3.7
Year Ended December 31, 2003										
Allowance for uncollectible accounts	\$	5.6	\$	3.5	\$	2.7 ^(a)	\$	6.9 ^(b)	\$	4.9
Legal reserves		3.8		3.1		-		3.1 ^(c)		3.8
Environmental reserves		1.9		-		-		$0.1^{(f)}$		1.8
Uncertain tax positions		2.5		3.9		1.2 ^(g)		1.2 ^(d)		6.4
Discontinued operations		1.7		_		_		1.7 ^(h)		_

⁽a) Recoveries. Charged to other accounts for the year ended December 31, 2005, includes the establishment of an allowance of \$1.6 million.

⁽b) Uncollectible accounts charged off. Deductions for the year ended December 31, 2004, includes a charge off of \$1.4 million by Worry Free.

⁽c) Payment of claims.

^(d)Reversal of uncertain tax positions. Deductions for the year ended December 31, 2005, includes a reclass of \$0.8 million to franchise taxes payable. Deductions for the year ended December 31, 2003, includes taxes paid for an IRS settlement.

 $^{^{(}e)}$ Reversal of reserve for remediation of soil and groundwater.

⁽f) Payment of expenses.

⁽g) Establishment of liability for uncertain tax positions for prior years current tax expense in excess of taxes paid.

^(h) In 2003, HSS completed the disposition of its interest in RSAE.

ITEM 14. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 15. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND EXHIBITS

Financial Statements

1 munciu		Page
a.	Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	<u>No.</u> 54
b.	Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2005 and 2004	55
С.	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	57
d.	Consolidated Statements of Common Shareholder's Equity for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	58
e.	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	59
f.	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	60
g.	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	98
h.	Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	99
i.	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	99
Financia	l Statement Schedules	
a.	Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves	101
Exhibits		

Exhibit <u>Number</u> 2	*	<u>Description of Document</u> Agreement and Plan of Merger among Kansas City Power & Light Company, Great Plains Energy Incorporated and KCP&L Merger Sub Incorporated dated as of October 1, 2001 (Exhibit 2 to Form 8-K dated October 1, 2001).
3.a	*	Restated Articles of Consolidation of Kansas City Power & Light Company, as amended October 1, 2001 (Exhibit 3-(i) to Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2001).
3.b	*	By-laws of Kansas City Power & Light Company, as amended November 1, 2005 (Exhibit 3.2.b to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005).
4.a	*	General Mortgage and Deed of Trust dated as of December 1, 1986, between Kansas City Power & Light Company and UMB Bank, n.a. (formerly United Missouri Bank of Kansas City, N.A.), Trustee (Exhibit 4-bb to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1986).

Fourth Supplemental Indenture dated as of February 15, 1992, to Indenture dated as 4.b of December 1, 1986 (Exhibit 4-y to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, Fifth Supplemental Indenture dated as of September 15, 1992, to Indenture dated as 4.c of December 1, 1986 (Exhibit 4-a to quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 1992). Seventh Supplemental Indenture dated as of October 1, 1993, to Indenture dated as of December 1, 1986 **4.**d (Exhibit 4-a to quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 1993). Eighth Supplemental Indenture dated as of December 1, 1993, to Indenture dated as of December 1, 1986 (Exhibit 4 to Registration Statement, Registration No. 33-51799). 4.f Eleventh Supplemental Indenture dated as of August 15, 2005, to the General Mortgage and Deed of Trust dated as of December 1, 1986, between Kansas City Power & Light Company and UMB Bank, n.a. (formerly United Missouri Bank of Kansas City, N.A.), Trustee (Exhibit 4.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005). Indenture for Medium-Term Note Program dated as of February 15, 1992, between 4.g Kansas City Power & Light Company and The Bank of New York (Exhibit 4-bb to Registration Statement, Registration No. 33-45736). Indenture for \$150 million aggregate principal amount of 6.50% Senior Notes due November 15, 2011 and \$250 million aggregate principal amount of 7.125% Senior 4.h Notes due December 15, 2005 dated as of December 1, 2000, between Kansas City Power & Light Company and The Bank of New York (Exhibit 4-a to Report on Form 8-K dated December 18, 2000). Indenture dated March 1, 2002 between The Bank of New York and Kansas City 4.i Power & Light Company (Exhibit 4.1.b. to Form 10-O for the period ended March 31, 4.j Supplemental Indenture No. 1 dated as of November 15, 2005, to Indenture dated March 1, 2002 between The Bank of New York and Kansas City Power & Light Company (Exhibit 4.2.j to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005). Registration Rights Agreement dated as of November 17, 2005, among Kansas City 4.k Power & Light Company, and BNP Paribas Securities Corp. and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. as representatives of the several initial purchasers (Exhibit 4.2.k to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005). Railcar Lease dated as of January 31, 1995, between First Security Bank of Utah, 10.a National Association, and Kansas City Power & Light Company (Exhibit 10-o to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994). Railcar Lease dated as of September 8, 1998, with CCG Trust Corporation (Exhibit 10.b 10(b) to Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 1998).

Insurance Agreement dated as of August 1, 2004, between Kansas City Power & Light Company

Insurance agreement between Kansas City Power & Light Company and XL Capital Assurance Inc., dated December 5, 2002 (Exhibit 10.2.f to Form 10-K for the year

and XL Capital Assurance Inc. (Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the period

ended December 31, 2002).

ended September 30, 2004).

10.c

10.d

- * Insurance Agreement dated as of September 1, 2005, between Kansas City Power & Light Company and XL Capital Assurance Inc. (Exhibit 10.2.e to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005).
 * Insurance Agreement dated as of September 1, 2005, between Kansas City Power & Light Company and XL Capital Assurance Inc. (Exhibit 10.2.f to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005).
 * Credit Agreement dated as of December 15, 2004, among Kansas City Power & Light Company, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd. Wachovia Bank, National Association and BNP Paribas, as Conference of the Company of
- * Credit Agreement dated as of December 15, 2004, among Kansas City Power & Light Company, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd, Wachovia Bank, National Association and BNP Paribas, as Co-Documentation Agents, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, The Bank of New York, KeyBank National Association, The Bank of Nova Scotia, U.S. Bank National Association, Merrill Lynch Bank USA, Morgan Stanley Bank, Mizuho Corporate Bank, UMB Bank, N.A., PNC Bank, National Association, Bank Midwest, N.A. and UFJ Bank Limited (Exhibit 10.2.h to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004).
- * First Amendment, dated October 6, 2005, to the Credit Agreement dated as of December 15, 2004, among Kansas City Power & Light Company, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd, Wachovia Bank, National Association and BNP Paribas, as Co-Documentation Agents, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, The Bank of New York, KeyBank National Association, The Bank of Nova Scotia, U.S. Bank National Association, Merrill Lynch Bank USA, Morgan Stanley Bank, Mizuho Corporate Bank, UMB Bank, N.A., PNC Bank, National Association, Bank Midwest, N.A. and UFJ Bank Limited (Exhibit 10.2.a to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005).
- * Stipulation and Agreement dated March 28, 2005, among Kansas City Power & Light Company, Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, Office of the Public Counsel, Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Praxair, Inc., Missouri Independent Energy Consumers, Ford Motor Company, Aquila, Inc., The Empire District Electric Company, and Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission (Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005).
- * Stipulation and Agreement filed April 27, 2005, among Kansas City Power & Light Company, the Staff of the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas, Sprint, Inc., and the Kansas Hospital Association (Exhibit 10.2.a to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005).
- * Purchase and Sale Agreement dated as of July 1, 2005, between Kansas City Power & Light Company, as Originator, and Kansas City Power & Light Receivables Company, as Buyer (Exhibit 10.2.b to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005).
- * Receivables Sale Agreement dated as of July 1, 2005, among Kansas City Power & Light Receivables Company, as the Seller, Kansas City Power & Light Company, as the Initial Collection Agent, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd., New York Branch, as the Agent, and Victory Receivables Corporation (Exhibit 10.2.c to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005).
- 10.m *+ Amended Long-Term Incentive Plan, effective as of May 7, 2002 (Exhibit 10.1.a to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002).
- 10.n *+ Great Plains Energy Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards Standards and Administration effective as of February 7, 2006 (Exhibit 10.1.b to Form 10-K for the

		year ended December 31, 2005).
10.o	*+	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement Pursuant to the Great Plains Energy Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan Effective May 7, 2002 (Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K dated February 4, 2005).
10.p	*+	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement Pursuant to the Great Plains Energy Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan Effective May 7, 2002 (Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K dated February 4, 2005).
10.q	*+	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement Pursuant to the Great Plains Energy Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan Effective May 7, 2002. (Exhibit 10.1.e to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005)
10.r	*+	Form of Performance Share Agreement Pursuant to the Great Plains Energy Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan Effective May 7, 2002 (Exhibit 10.1.b to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005).
10.s	*+	Form of Performance Share Agreement Pursuant to the Great Plains Energy Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan Effective May 7, 2002 (Exhibit 10.1.c to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005).
10.t	*+	Form of Performance Share Agreement Pursuant to the Great Plains Energy Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan Effective May 7, 2002. (Exhibit 10.1.h to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005)
10.u	*+	Strategic Energy, L.L.C. Long-Term Incentive Plan Grants 2005, Amended May 2, 2005 (Exhibit 10.1.f to Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2005).
10.v	*+	Great Plains Energy Incorporated/Kansas City Power & Light Company Annual Incentive Plan 2005, Amended May 3, 2005 (Exhibit 10.1.c to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005).
10.w	*+	Great Plains Energy Incorporated Kansas City Power & Light Company Annual Incentive Plan amended as of January 1, 2006. (Exhibit 10.1.l to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005)
10.x	*+	Form of Indemnification Agreement with each officer and director (Exhibit 10-f to Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 1995).
10.y	*+	Form of Conforming Amendment to Indemnification Agreement with each officer and director (Exhibit 10.1.a to Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2003).
10.z	*+	Form of Indemnification Agreement with officers and directors. (Exhibit 10.1.p to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005).
10.aa	*+	Form of Restated Severance Agreement dated January 2000 with certain executive officers (Exhibit 10-e to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000).
10.bb	*+	Form of Conforming Amendment to Severance Agreements with certain executive

- *+ Form of Conforming Amendment to Severance Agreements with certain executive officers (Exhibit 10.1.b to Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2003).
- 10.cc *+ Great Plains Energy Incorporated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2003 (Exhibit 10.1.a to Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2003).
- 10.dd *+ Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan (Exhibit 10-b to Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2000).
- 10.ee *+ Description of Compensation Arrangements with Directors and Certain Executive Officers. (Exhibit 10.1.u to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005).

- * Filed with the SEC as exhibits to prior registration statements (except as otherwise noted) and are incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof. The exhibit number and file number of the documents so filed, and incorporated herein by reference, are stated in parenthesis in the description of such exhibit.
- + Indicates management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Copies of any of the exhibits filed with the SEC in connection with this document may be obtained from KCP&L upon written request.

KCP&L agrees to furnish to the SEC upon request any instrument with respect to long-term debt as to which the total amount of securities authorized does not exceed 10% of total assets of KCP&L and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this registration statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 30, 2006

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
By: /s/ William H. Downey
William H. Downey

Pr esident and Chief Executive Officer

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES

	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
	(thousands)				
Income from continuing operations Add	\$ 143,657	\$ 143,292	\$ 125,845	\$ 102,666	\$ 116,065
Minority interests in subsidiaries	7,805	(5,087)	(1,263)	_	(897)
Equity investment (income) loss	_	_	_	_	(23,516)
Income subtotal	151,462	138,205	124,582	102,666	91,652
Add					
Taxes on income	48,213	52,763	83,572	62,857	31,935
Kansas City earnings tax	498	602	418	635	583
Total taxes on income	48,711	53,365	83,990	63,492	32,518
Interest on value of leased property	6,229	6,222	5,944	7,093	10,679
Interest on long-term debt	56,655	61,237	57,697	63,845	78,915
Interest on short-term debt	3,117	480	560	1,218	8,883
Mandatorily Redeemable Preferred					
Securities	-	-	9,338	12,450	12,450
Other interest expense and amortization	3,667	13,951	4,067	3,772	5,188
Total fixed charges	CO CCO	01 000	77.606	00.270	116 115
Total fixed charges	69,668	81,890	77,606	88,378	116,115
Earnings before taxes on					
income and fixed charges	\$ 269,841	\$ 273,460	\$ 286,178	\$ 254,536	\$ 240,285
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	3.87	3.34	3.69	2.88	2.07