

FORM 8-K

Current Report

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report: February 11, 1994

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MISSOURI	1-707	44-0308720
(State of other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(Commission File Number)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1201 Walnut, Kansas City, Missouri 64106  
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number - (816) 556-2200

Item 5. Other Events

1993 Financials

The following listed financial statements and other documents of registrant are attached hereto and filed as a part of this Current Report on Form 8-K:

1. Financial Statements and Footnotes to Financial Statements as of December 31, 1993 and 1992, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1993; and
2. Independent Auditors Report; and
3. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Operating Results.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION  
ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31 1993	December 31 1992
ASSETS	(Thousands)	
UTILITY PLANT, at original cost (Notes 1, 8 and 9)		
Electric	\$ 3,240,384	\$ 3,133,059
Less-Accumulated depreciation	1,019,714	948,266
Net utility plant in service	2,220,670	2,184,793
Construction work in progress	67,766	65,965
Nuclear fuel, net of amortization of \$76,722,000 and \$78,735,000	29,862	34,210
Total	2,318,298	2,284,968
REGULATORY ASSET - DEFERRED WOLF CREEK COSTS (Note 1)	29,118	39,484
REGULATORY ASSET - RECOVERABLE TAXES (Note 1)	122,000	94,000
INVESTMENTS AND NONUTILITY PROPERTY	28,454	27,570
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash	1,539	128
Special deposit for the retirement of debt	60,118	-
Receivables		
Customer accounts receivable (Note 5)	29,320	14,372
Other receivables	19,340	24,043
Fuel inventories, at average cost	14,550	20,625
Materials and supplies, at average cost	44,157	45,263
Prepayments	4,686	4,209
Deferred income taxes	3,648	5,553
Total	177,358	114,193
DEFERRED CHARGES		
Regulatory Assets (Note 1)		
Settlement of fuel contracts	20,634	25,751
KCC Wolf Creek carrying costs	9,575	12,311
MPSC rate phase-in plan	-	7,072
Other	31,899	26,798
Other deferred charges	17,732	14,776
Total	79,840	86,708
 Total	 \$ 2,755,068	 \$ 2,646,923

LIABILITIES

CAPITALIZATION (Notes 7 and 8)

Common stock-authorized 150,000,000 shares without par value-61,908,726 shares issued and outstanding-stated value	\$ 449,697	\$ 449,697
Retained earnings	418,201	405,985
Capital stock premium and expense	(1,747)	(1,758)
Common stock equity	866,151	853,924
Cumulative preferred stock	89,000	89,000
Cumulative preferred stock (redeemable)	1,756	1,916
Long-term debt	733,664	788,209
Total	1,690,571	1,733,049

CURRENT LIABILITIES

Notes payable to banks (Note 6)	4,000	-
Commercial paper (Note 6)	25,000	33,000
Current maturities of long-term debt	134,488	26,500
Accounts payable	59,421	77,162
Dividends declared	423	423
Accrued taxes	27,800	19,864
Accrued interest	15,575	12,949
Accrued payroll and vacations	20,127	18,044
Accrued refueling outage costs (Note 1)	7,262	12,600
Other	8,531	7,631
Total	302,627	208,173

DEFERRED CREDITS

Deferred income taxes	627,819	576,222
Deferred investment tax credits	87,185	91,530
Other	46,866	37,949
Total	761,870	705,701

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 4)

Total	\$ 2,755,068	\$ 2,646,923
-------	--------------	--------------

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

Year Ended December 31

	1993	1992	1991
	(Thousands)		
ELECTRIC OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 857,450	\$ 802,668	\$ 825,101
OPERATING EXPENSES			
Operation			
Fuel	130,117	130,032	132,100
Purchased power	31,403	21,868	22,226
Other	184,633	175,937	162,548
Maintenance	78,550	81,163	80,922
Depreciation	91,110	88,768	86,795
Taxes			
Income (Note 3)	69,502	51,691	61,871
General	95,659	92,461	88,525
Amortization of			
MPSC rate phase-in plan (Note 1)	7,072	7,072	7,072
Deferred Wolf Creek costs (Note 1)	13,102	13,102	11,734
Total	701,148	662,094	653,793
OPERATING INCOME	156,302	140,574	171,308
OTHER INCOME AND DEDUCTIONS			
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	2,846	1,073	539
Deferred Wolf Creek carrying costs (Note 1)	-	-	791
Miscellaneous	(2,486)	2,595	(3,829)
Income taxes (Note 3)	1,549	(505)	1,593
Total	1,909	3,163	(906)
INCOME BEFORE INTEREST CHARGES	158,211	143,737	170,402
INTEREST CHARGES			
Long-term debt	50,118	54,266	63,057
Short-term notes	750	2,749	3,299
Miscellaneous	4,113	2,173	2,665
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction	(2,542)	(1,785)	(2,512)
Total	52,439	57,403	66,509
YEARLY RESULTS			
Net income	105,772	86,334	103,893
Preferred stock dividend requirements	3,153	3,062	6,023
Earnings available for common stock	\$ 102,619	\$ 83,272	\$ 97,870
Average number of common shares			
outstanding	61,908,726	61,908,726	61,908,726
Earnings per common share	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.58
Cash dividends per common share	\$ 1.46	\$ 1.43	\$ 1.37

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Year Ended December 31

	1993	1992	1991
		(Thousands)	
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Net income	\$ 105,772	\$ 86,334	\$ 103,893
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation	91,110	88,768	86,795
Amortization of:			
Nuclear Fuel	8,705	9,583	6,199
Deferred Wolf Creek costs	13,102	13,102	10,943
MPSC rate phase-in plan amortization	7,072	7,072	7,072
Other amortizations	8,234	5,921	5,147
Deferred income taxes (net)	25,502	23,979	28,064
Investment tax credit (net)	(4,345)	(4,521)	(7,009)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(2,846)	(1,073)	(539)
Cash flows affected by changes in:			
Receivables	(10,245)	2,848	13,636
Fuel inventories	6,075	(859)	137
Materials and supplies	1,106	654	(98)
Accounts payable	(17,741)	4,838	2,861
Accrued taxes	7,936	2,404	2,995
Accrued interest	2,626	488	(1,244)
Wolf Creek refueling outage accrual	(5,338)	12,600	-
Settlement of fuel contracts	-	-	(8,578)
Other operating activities	6,419	1,599	2,175
Net cash provided by operating activities	243,144	253,737	252,449
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Construction expenditures	(129,199)	(129,559)	(122,447)
Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction	(2,542)	(1,785)	(2,512)
Other investing activities	306	(4,589)	(5,404)
Net cash used in investing activities	(131,435)	(135,933)	(130,363)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Issuance of long-term debt	324,846	134,750	135,250
Issuance of preferred stock	-	50,000	-
Retirement of long-term debt	(271,480)	(143,230)	(163,215)
Retirement of preferred stock	-	(13,000)	(40,000)
Special deposit for the retirement of debt	(60,118)	-	-
Premium on reacquired stock and long-term debt	(4,077)	(2,321)	(5,516)
Increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings	(4,000)	(53,000)	42,500
Dividends declared	(93,556)	(91,277)	(90,232)
Other financing activities	(1,913)	274	(879)
Net cash used in financing activities	(110,298)	(117,804)	(122,092)
Net increase (decrease) in cash	1,411	-	(6)
Cash at beginning of year	128	128	134
Cash at end of year	\$ 1,539	\$ 128	\$ 128
Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest (net of amount capitalized)	\$ 47,361	\$ 55,223	\$ 66,290
Income taxes	\$ 40,141	\$ 32,995	\$ 37,117

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF PREFERRED STOCK AND LONG-TERM DEBT

	December 31	
	1993	1992
	(in thousands)	
CUMMULATIVE PREFERRED STOCK (Note 7)		
\$100 Par		
3.80% - 100,000 shares issued	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
4.50% - 100,000 shares issued	10,000	10,000
4.20% - 70,000 shares issued	7,000	7,000
4.35% - 120,000 shares issued	12,000	12,000
No Par		
3.04%* - 500,000 shares issued	50,000	50,000
Total	\$ 89,000	\$ 89,000
CUMMULATIVE PREFERRED STOCK (REDEEMABLE) (Note 7)		
\$100 Par		
4.00% - 17,557 and 19,157 shares issued	\$ 1,756	\$ 1,916
LONG-TERM DEBT (EXCLUDING CURRENT MATURITIES) (Note 8)		
First Mortgage Bonds		
7.33% weighted average rate, amounts redeemed in 1993	\$ -	\$ 244,980
9.46% series due 1994	-	60,000
5 7/8% series due 2007	21,940	21,940
Secured by General Mortgage Bonds		
Medium-Term Notes due 1994-2008, 6.78% and 7.29% weighted average rate at December 31	378,750	220,000
3.34%* Environmental Improvement Revenue Refunding Bonds due 2012-23	122,846	31,000
Guaranty of Pollution Control Bonds		
5 3/4% series due 2003	13,742	13,980
3.15%* due 2015-17	196,500	196,500
Unamortized Premium and Discount (net)	(114)	(191)
Total	\$ 733,664	\$ 788,209

\* Variable rate securities, weighted average rate as of December 31, 1993

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF RETAINED EARNINGS

	Year ended December 31		
	1993	1992	1991
	(in thousands)		
Beginning Balance	\$ 405,985	\$ 411,161	\$ 399,294
Net Income	105,772	86,334	103,893
	511,757	497,495	503,187
Premium on Reacquired Preferred Stock	-	233	1,794
Dividends Declared:			
Preferred Stock, at required rates	3,169	2,747	5,417
Common Stock - \$1.46, \$1.43 and \$1.37 per share	90,387	88,530	84,815
Ending Balance (Note 7)	\$ 418,201	\$ 405,985	\$ 411,161

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

System of Accounts

The accounting records of Kansas City Power & Light Company (the Company) are maintained in accordance with the Uniform System of Accounts prescribed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and generally accepted accounting principles.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and KLT Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. Because KLT Inc. is not an electric utility, its revenues and expenses have been classified under Other Income and Deductions in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

KLT Inc. was formed in 1992 as a holding company for various non-regulated business opportunities. The Company's equity investment in KLT Inc. was \$4.5 million and \$1.5 million as of December 31, 1993 and 1992, respectively.

Utility Plant

Utility plant is stated at historical costs of construction. These costs include taxes, payroll-related costs, including pensions and other fringe benefits, and an allowance for funds used during construction.

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFDC)

AFDC represents the cost of borrowed funds and a return on equity funds used to finance construction projects and is capitalized as a cost of construction work in progress. The portion attributable to borrowed funds is reflected as a reduction of interest charges while the portion applicable to equity funds is shown as a non-cash item of other income. When a construction project is placed in service, the related AFDC, as well as other construction costs, is used to establish rates under regulatory rate practices. The rates used to compute gross AFDC are compounded semi-annually and averaged 8.3% for 1993, 6.6% for 1992 and 7.7% for 1991.

Depreciation and Maintenance

Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis for jurisdictional property based on depreciation rates approved by the Missouri Public Service Commission (MPSC) and the Kansas Corporation Commission (KCC). Annual composite rates were approximately 2.9% during the last three years.

Costs of improvements to units of property are charged to the utility plant accounts. Property units retired or otherwise disposed are charged to accumulated depreciation, along with removal costs, net of salvage. Repairs of property and replacements of items determined not to be units of property are expensed as incurred.



#### Nuclear Plant Decommissioning Costs

In 1986, the MPSC estimated the cost of decommissioning the Wolf Creek Generating Station (Wolf Creek) to be \$103 million in 1985 dollars. In 1989, the KCC estimated the cost to be \$206 million in 1988 dollars. Then, in 1992, the MPSC increased its estimate to \$347 million in 1990 dollars. In accordance with MPSC and KCC requirements, the jurisdictional portions of the Company's 47% share of these costs (current level of \$3.2 million, annually) are being recovered and charged to other operation expenses over the life of the plant and placed in an external trust fund to be used only for the physical decommissioning of Wolf Creek (immediate dismantlement method) which is not expected to occur prior to 2025. A study was filed with the KCC and MPSC during 1993 estimating the projected decommissioning costs to be \$370 million in 1993 dollars. Based on this study, it is expected that the MPSC will determine that no increase in the current level of the Missouri jurisdictional funding and expenses will be necessary. A hearing before the KCC is expected during 1994.

The investment in the trust fund, including reinvested earnings, was \$14.3 million and \$10.6 million at December 31, 1993 and 1992, respectively. These amounts are reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheets under Investments and Nonutility Property with the related liabilities for decommissioning included in Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities-Other.

#### Nuclear Fuel

The cost of nuclear fuel is amortized to fuel expense based on the quantity of heat produced for the generation of electricity. Under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, the Department of Energy (DOE) is responsible for the permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel. Currently, the Company pays a quarterly fee of one mill per kilowatt-hour of net nuclear generation to the DOE for future permanent disposal services. Disposal costs are charged to fuel expense and recovered through rates. These disposal services may not be available prior to 2013 although an interim facility may be available earlier. Wolf Creek has an on-site, temporary storage facility for spent nuclear fuel which, under current regulatory guidelines, can provide storage space until approximately 2006. The Company believes additional temporary storage space can be constructed or obtained, as necessary.

#### Regulatory Assets

Certain costs are recorded as regulatory assets when a rate order allows the deferral and inclusion of the amortization in rates or when it is probable, based on historical regulatory precedent, that future rates established by the regulators will recover amortization of the costs. If subsequent recovery is not permitted, any unamortized balance, net of tax, would reduce net income.

#### Deferred Wolf Creek Costs

Orders from the KCC and MPSC provided for continued construction accounting for ratemaking purposes after the September 3, 1985 commercial in-service date of Wolf Creek through September 30, 1985 and May 5, 1986, respectively. The deferral of certain other carrying costs was also authorized. These deferrals are being amortized and recovered in rates over an approximate 10 year period ending in 1996.

#### Recoverable Taxes

See Income Taxes below for discussion.

#### Settlement Of Fuel Contracts

The Company has deferred the cost incurred to terminate certain coal purchase contracts. These costs are being amortized through the year 2002.

#### KCC Wolf Creek Carrying Costs

As ordered by the KCC, the Company deferred certain carrying costs through June 1991. The recovery and corresponding amortization of this deferral over six years began in July 1991.

#### MPSC Rate Phase-In Plan

MPSC's 1986 Wolf Creek rate phase-in plan resulted in the deferral of a cash recovery of a portion of the cost of equity and the carrying costs on the deferral. Recovery of these deferrals was completed December 31, 1993.

Effective January 1, 1994, the MPSC approved a 2.66% rate reduction (approximately \$12.5 million annually) for the Company's Missouri retail customers primarily to reflect the completion of this amortization. The reduction will be spread evenly over the Missouri retail customer classes. This agreement with the MPSC and public counsel also includes a provision whereby none of the parties can file for a general increase or decrease in Missouri retail electric rates prior to January 1, 1996. Approximately two-thirds of total retail sales are from Missouri customers.

#### Other

Other regulatory assets include premium on redeemed debt, deferred flood costs, the deferral of costs to decommission and decontaminate federal uranium enrichment facilities and other costs. These deferrals are amortized over various periods extending to 2017.

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The stated values of the Company's financial instruments as of December 31, 1993 and 1992 approximated the fair market values based on quoted market prices for the securities or for similar types of securities. If quotes were not available, the Company's incremental borrowing rate for similar types of debt was used.

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company utilizes cycle billing and accrues an estimated amount for unbilled revenue at the end of each reporting period.

#### Income Taxes

The Company has adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This statement is not materially different from FASB Statement No. 96, which the Company adopted in 1988. As a result, the Company establishes deferred tax liabilities and assets, as appropriate, for all temporary differences caused when the tax basis of an asset or liability differs from that reported in the financial statements. These deferred tax assets and liabilities must be determined using the tax rates scheduled by the tax law to be in effect when the temporary differences reverse.

The Regulatory Asset-Recoverable Taxes primarily reflects the future revenue requirements necessary to recover the tax benefits of existing temporary differences flowed through to ratepayers in the past. During 1993, the net change in the Regulatory Asset-Recoverable Taxes and Deferred income taxes included a \$40 million increase resulting from the changes in the federal and Missouri state income tax laws effective January 1, 1993 and January 1, 1994, respectively. Although the Company has calculated its deferred tax assets and liabilities pursuant to FASB 109, operating income taxes were recorded in accordance with ratemaking principles. However, if FASB 109 were reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income, net income would remain the same.

Investment tax credits have been deferred when utilized and are amortized to income over the remaining service lives of the related properties.

#### Accrued Refueling Outage Costs - Change In Accounting Principle

Effective January 1992, the Company changed its method of accounting for incremental costs to be incurred during scheduled Wolf Creek refueling outages. Instead of expensing these costs as incurred, the Company is accruing forecasted outage costs evenly (monthly) over the unit's operating cycle which normally lasts approximately 18 months. The Company believes this method of accounting produces a more meaningful presentation of yearly results of operations than the prior method. Since the accrual began in January 1992, when Wolf Creek returned on-line from a refueling outage, there was no cumulative effect for the change in accounting principle. The pro forma effects for the year ended December 31, 1991 were not material but would have increased net income by \$3.2 million (\$0.05 per share). Because there was no refueling outage in 1992, the effect of this change decreased 1992 net income by \$7.8 million (\$0.13 per share).

#### Environmental Matters

The Company's policy is to accrue environmental and cleanup costs when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. The Company believes it has appropriately recorded all such costs related to environmental matters.

#### Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to previously issued financial statements in order to conform with the 1993 presentation.

## 2. PENSION PLANS AND OTHER EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

#### Pension Plans

The Company has defined benefit pension plans for all its regular employees, including officers, providing for benefits upon retirement, normally at age 65. In accordance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), the Company has satisfied at least its minimum funding requirements. Benefits under these plans reflect the employee's compensation, years of service and age at retirement.

Provisions for pensions are determined under the rules prescribed by FASB Statement No. 87-Employers' Accounting for Pensions. The following is the funded status of the plans:

	December 31	
	1993	1992
	(thousands)	
Accumulated Benefit Obligation:		
Vested	\$209,193	\$173,021
Non-vested	6,296	6,126
Total	\$215,489	\$179,147
Determination of Plan Assets		
less Obligations:		
Fair value of plan assets (a)	\$315,179	\$272,001
Projected benefit obligation (b)	279,525	241,902
Difference	\$ 35,654	\$ 30,099
Reconciliation of Difference:		
Contributions to trusts		
Prepaid	\$ 10,677	\$ 8,759
Accrued liability	(6,304)	(4,881)
Unamortized transition amount	16,756	18,828
Unrecognized net gain	18,197	11,494
Unrecognized prior service cost	(3,672)	(4,101)
Difference	\$ 35,654	\$ 30,099

(a) Plan assets are invested in insurance contracts, corporate bonds, equity securities, U.S. Government securities, notes, mortgages and short-term investments.

(b) Based on discount rates of 7% in 1993 and 8% in 1992; and increases in future salary levels of 4% to 5% in 1993 and 5% to 6% in 1992.

Components of provisions for pensions (in thousands):

	1993	1992	1991
Service cost	\$ 8,671	\$ 7,301	\$ 6,162
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	19,521	17,903	16,617
Actual return on plan assets	(49,875)	(24,541)	(45,542)
Other	27,715	3,653	27,026
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 6,032	\$ 4,316	\$ 4,263

Long-term rates of return on plan assets of 8% to 8.5% were used.

#### Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

In addition to providing pension benefits, the Company provides certain postretirement health care and life insurance benefits for substantially all retired employees.

During the first quarter of 1993 the Company adopted FASB Statement No. 106-Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions. FASB 106 requires companies to accrue the cost of postretirement health care and life insurance benefits during an employee's active years of service. Previously, the Company expensed these costs as paid (pay-as-you-go). The Company currently recovers these costs through rates on a pay-as-you-go basis. As of December 31, 1992, the transition obligation under FASB 106 was approximately \$23.5 million, with amortization over 20 years beginning in 1993.

Net periodic postretirement benefit cost (in thousands):

	1993
Service cost for benefits earned during the year	\$ 616
Interest cost on the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation (APBO)	1,893
Amortization of unrecognized transition obligation	1,175
Net periodic postretirement cost	3,684
Less: Pay-as-you-go costs	1,109
Net increase in cost due to FASB 106	\$ 2,575

The increase in the annual health care cost trend rate for 1994 is assumed to be 13%, decreasing gradually over a seven year period to its ultimate level of 6%. The Company's health care plan requires retirees to participate in the cost when premiums exceed a certain amount. Because of this provision, an increase in the assumed health care cost trend rate by 1% in each year would only increase the APBO as of December 31, 1993 by approximately \$786,000 and the aggregate service and interest cost components of net periodic postretirement benefit cost for 1993 by approximately \$98,000.

Reconciliation of the status of postretirement benefit plans to amounts recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands):

	1993
APBO:	
Retirees	\$(10,672)
Fully eligible active plan participants	(6,405)
Other active plan participants	(10,501)
Unfunded APBO	(27,578)
Unrecognized loss	2,689
Unrecognized transition obligation	22,314
Accrued postretirement benefit obligation (included in Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities - Other)	\$ (2,575)

The weighted average discount rate of 7% and future salary level increases of 4% were used to determine the APBO.

### Long-Term Incentive Plan

In 1992, the shareholders adopted a 10 year, Long-Term Incentive Plan for officers and key employees. Awards issued under the Plan cannot exceed three million common stock shares. During 1993 and 1992, awards to purchase 63,125 and 86,000 shares of common stock were granted with exercise prices of \$23.875 and \$21.625 per share, respectively. During 1993, awards to purchase 4,000 shares were canceled. Under granted stock options, recipients are entitled to receive accumulated dividends as though reinvested if the options are exercised and if the market price at the time of exercise equals or exceeds the grant price. Under the assumption that all shares will eventually be exercised, the Company expensed \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million in 1993 and 1992, respectively, representing accumulated dividends and the change in stock price since the date of grant. At December 31, 1993, options for 145,125 shares of common stock were outstanding and options for 41,000 shares were exercisable.

### 3. INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense as shown in the Consolidated Statements of Income consists of the following:

	1993	1992	1991
		(thousands)	
Current income taxes:			
Federal	\$ 41,207	\$ 28,081	\$ 33,667
State	5,589	4,657	5,556
Total	46,796	32,738	39,223
Deferred income taxes, net:			
Federal	22,274	20,488	23,696
State	3,228	3,491	4,368
Total	25,502	23,979	28,064
Investment tax credit, net	(4,345)	(4,521)	(7,009)
Total income tax expense	\$ 67,953	\$ 52,196	\$ 60,278

The following table shows a reconciliation of the federal statutory income tax rate to the effective rate reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of the Company's income tax policies.

	1993	1992	1991
Federal statutory income tax rate	35.0 %	34.0 %	34.0 %
Differences between book and tax depreciation not normalized	1.3	1.7	1.8
Amortization of investment tax credit	(2.5)	(3.3)	(4.3)
State income taxes	3.3	3.9	4.0
Other	2.0	1.4	1.2
Effective income tax rate	39.1 %	37.7 %	36.7 %

The significant temporary differences resulting in deferred tax assets and liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets are as follows:

	December 31	
	1993	1992
	(thousands)	
Depreciation differences	\$ 476,637	\$ 449,701
Recoverable taxes	122,000	94,000
Other	25,534	26,968
Net deferred income tax liability	\$ 624,171	\$ 570,669

The net deferred income tax liability consists of the following:

	December 31	
	1993	1992
	(thousands)	
Gross deferred income tax assets	\$ (63,187)	\$ (64,746)
Gross deferred income tax liabilities	687,358	635,415
Net deferred income tax liability	\$ 624,171	\$ 570,669

#### 4. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

##### Nuclear Liability and Insurance

The Price-Anderson Act currently limits the public liability of nuclear reactor owners to \$9.4 billion, including attorney costs, for claims that could arise from a nuclear incident. Accordingly, the Company and the other owners of Wolf Creek have liability insurance coverage of this amount which consists of the maximum available commercial insurance of \$200 million and Secondary Financial Protection (SFP). SFP coverage is funded by a mandatory program of deferred premiums assessed against all owners of licensed reactors for any nuclear incident anywhere in the country. The maximum assessment per reactor is \$79.3 million (\$37.3 million, Company's share) per incident. The owners of Wolf Creek are jointly and severally liable for these charges, payable at a rate not to exceed \$10 million (\$4.7 million, Company's share) per incident per year.

The owners of Wolf Creek also have \$2.8 billion of property damage, decontamination and decommissioning insurance for loss resulting from damage to the Wolf Creek facilities. Nuclear insurance pools provide \$1.3 billion of coverage, while Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited (NEIL) provides \$1.5 billion. In the event of an accident, insurance proceeds must first be used for reactor stabilization and site decontamination. The remaining proceeds from the \$2.8 billion insurance coverage (\$1.3 billion, Company's share), if any, can be used for property damage up to \$1.1 billion (Company's share), premature decommissioning costs up to \$117.5 million (Company's share) in excess of funds previously collected for decommissioning (as discussed in Note 1) with the remaining \$47 million (Company's share) available for either property damage or premature decommissioning costs.

The owners of Wolf Creek have also procured extra expense insurance from NEIL. Under both the NEIL property and extra expense policies, the Company is subject to retroactive assessment if NEIL losses, with respect to each policy year, exceed the accumulated funds available to the insurer under that policy. The estimated maximum retroactive assessments for the Company's share under the policies total approximately \$9 million per year.

In the event of a catastrophic loss at Wolf Creek, the amount of insurance available may not be adequate to cover property damages and extra expenses incurred. Uninsured losses, to the extent not recovered through rates, would be assumed by the Company and could have a material, adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

#### Nuclear Fuel Commitments

At December 31, 1993, Wolf Creek's nuclear fuel commitments (Company's share) were approximately \$16 million for uranium concentrates through 1997, \$126 million for enrichment through 2014 and \$46 million for fabrication through 2014.

#### Tax Matters

The Company's federal income tax returns for the years 1985 through 1990 are presently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). The IRS has issued Revenue Agent's Reports for the years 1985 through 1990. The Reports include proposed adjustments that would reduce the Company's Wolf Creek investment tax credit (ITC) by 25% or approximately \$20 million and tax depreciation by 23% or approximately \$190 million. These amounts include the continuing effect of the adjustments through December 31, 1993. These adjustments, principally, are based upon the IRS's contention that (i) certain start-up and testing costs considered by the Company to be costs of the plant, should be treated as licensing costs, which do not qualify for ITC or accelerated depreciation, and (ii) certain cooling and generating facilities should not qualify for ITC or accelerated depreciation.

If the IRS were to prevail on all of these proposed adjustments, the Company would be obligated to make cash payments, calculated through December 31, 1993, of approximately \$95 million for additional federal and state income taxes and \$50 million for corresponding interest. After offsets for deferred income taxes, these payments would reduce net income by approximately \$30 million.

The Company has filed a protest with the appeals division of the IRS. Based upon their interpretation of applicable tax principles and the tax treatment of similar costs and facilities with respect to other plants, it is the opinion of management and outside tax counsel that the IRS's proposed Wolf Creek adjustments are substantially overstated. Management believes any additional taxes, together with interest, resulting from the final resolution of these matters will not be material to the Company's financial condition or results of operations.



#### Environmental Matters

The Company's operations must comply with federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. The generation of electricity utilizes, produces and requires disposal of certain products and by-products including polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB's), asbestos and other potentially hazardous materials. The Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, the "Superfund" law, imposes strict joint and several liability for those who generate, transport or deposit hazardous waste as well as the current property owner and predecessor owner at the time of contamination. The Company continually conducts environmental audits designed to detect contamination and assure compliance with governmental regulations. However, compliance programs necessary to meet future environmental laws and regulations governing water and air quality, including carbon dioxide emissions, hazardous waste handling and disposal, toxic substances and the effects of electromagnetic fields, could require substantial changes to the Company's operations or facilities.

Interstate Power Company of Dubuque, Iowa (Interstate) filed a lawsuit in 1989 against the Company in the Federal District Court for the District of Iowa seeking from the Company contribution and indemnity under the Superfund law for cleanup costs of hazardous substances at the site of a demolished gas manufacturing plant in Mason City, Iowa. The plant was operated by the Company for very brief periods of time before the plant was demolished in 1952. The site and all other properties the Company owned in Iowa were sold to Interstate in 1957. The Company estimates that the cleanup could cost up to \$10 million. The Company's estimate is based upon an evaluation of available information from on-going site investigation and assessment activities, including the costs of such activities.

In August 1993, the Company, along with other parties to the lawsuit, received a letter from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) notifying each such party that it was considered a potentially responsible party for cleanup costs at the site. The EPA has also proposed to list the site on the National Priorities List.

The Company believes it has several valid defenses to this action including the fact that the 1957 sales documents included clauses which require Interstate to indemnify the Company from and against all claims and damages arising after the sale. However, the Court in an October 1993 order rejected this position, ruling that the indemnity clauses were not sufficiently broad to indemnify for environmental cleanup. This order will be final for appeal after a trial to allocate the cleanup costs among the parties, which is expected in 1994. Even if unsuccessful on the liability issue, the Company does not believe its allocated share of the cleanup costs will be material to its financial condition or results of operations.

#### Other Agreements

Under long-term contractual arrangements, the Company's share of purchased coal totaled approximately \$17 million in 1993 and \$21 million in 1992 and 1991. The Company's share of purchase commitments in 1993 dollars under the remaining terms of the coal contracts is approximately \$110 million. The Company also purchases coal on the spot market.

The Company has a transmission line lease with another utility whereby, with FERC approval, the rental payments can be increased by the lessor, after which the Company is entitled to cancel the lease if able to secure an alternative transmission path. Total commitments under this lease are \$1.9 million per year and approximately \$60 million over the remaining life of the lease if the lease is not canceled.

Under other leases, the Company incurred rental expense during the last three years of approximately \$15 million to \$19 million per year. Rental commitments under these leases for railroad cars, computer equipment, buildings, a transmission line and similar items are approximately \$114 million over the remaining life of the leases with payments during each of the next five years ranging from a high of \$17 million in 1994 to \$8 million in 1998. Capital leases are not material to the Company and are included in the amounts discussed above.

The Company has contracted to purchase capacity from other utilities through 2009. The obligations are as follows (cost in millions):

	Cost	Megawatts (mw)
1994	\$12.4	470
1995	15.1	450
1996	19.4	500
1997	22.8	500
1998	22.8	500
1999	22.8	500
2000	16.6	150
Thereafter - annual amounts through 2009	10.4	150

#### 5. SALE OF ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

In 1989, the Company entered into an agreement with a financial institution to sell, with limited recourse, an undivided interest in designated accounts receivable. Accounts receivable sold under this agreement totaled \$60 million as of December 31, 1993, 1992 and 1991. Costs associated with the sale of customer accounts receivable of \$2.2 million, \$2.6 million and \$3.5 million for 1993, 1992 and 1991, respectively, are included in Other Income and Deductions-Miscellaneous.

#### 6. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

The Company borrows short-term funds from banks and through the sale of commercial paper as needed. Under minimal fee arrangements, the Company has confirmed bank lines of credit totaling \$153 million, of which \$149 million remains available at December 31, 1993.

#### 7. COMMON STOCK EQUITY, PREFERRED STOCK AND REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK

Retained earnings at December 31, 1993 included \$16 million which was not available for cash dividends on common stock under the provisions of the Indenture of Mortgage securing First Mortgage Bonds.

During 1991, the Company reacquired and retired the 800,000 shares of the \$2.33 and 800,000 shares of the \$2.20 Cumulative No Par Preferred Stock with a combined stated value of \$40 million. This transaction included a \$4.7 million premium of which \$2.9 million was charged against capital stock premium and expense and \$1.8 million was charged against retained earnings.

In February 1992, the Company redeemed and retired the 130,000 shares of the 7.72% Cumulative Preferred Stock with a par value of \$13 million. The cost of redeeming this stock included a premium of \$0.3 million which was charged against retained earnings.

In April 1992, the Company issued \$50 million, Cumulative No Par Preferred Stock, Auction Series A, stated value of \$100 per share. The \$0.9 million in costs associated with this issue were charged to capital stock premium and expense.

The issued cumulative preferred stock of \$91 million may be redeemed at the option of the Company at prices which, in the aggregate, total \$91 million.

Scheduled mandatory sinking fund requirements for the outstanding redeemable 4% Cumulative Preferred Stock are \$160,000 per year.

At December 31, 1993, the Company had authorized 407,557 shares of Cumulative Preferred Stock at a par value of \$100 per share, 1,572,000 shares of Cumulative No Par Preferred Stock and 11,000,000 shares of Preference Stock without par value.

If any dividends on its preferred stock are not declared and paid when scheduled, the Company could not declare or pay dividends on its common stock or acquire any shares in consideration thereof. If the amount of any such unpaid dividends equals four or more full quarterly dividends, the holders of preferred stock, voting as a single class, could elect representatives to the Company's Board of Directors.

On January 3, 1994, the Company registered 2,000,000 shares of its common stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission for a Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan (the "Plan"). Under the Plan, common shareholders and employees and directors of the Company and its subsidiaries have the opportunity to purchase shares of the Company's common stock by reinvesting dividends and/or making optional cash payments. Rather than issuing new shares, the Company intends to purchase the shares for the Plan on the open market.

## 8. LONG-TERM DEBT

### First Mortgage Bonds

The Company cannot issue additional First Mortgage Bonds authorized by the Indenture of Mortgage and Deed of Trust dated as of December 1, 1946, as supplemented, as long as any of the General Mortgage Bonds (discussed below) are outstanding. Substantially all of the Company's utility plant is pledged under the terms of the Indenture.

At December 31, 1993, \$60 million was held as a special deposit and used on January 5, 1994 to redeem the maturing \$60 million First Mortgage Bonds.

## General Mortgage Bonds

The Company is authorized to issue General Mortgage Bonds under the General Mortgage Indenture and Deed of Trust dated December 1, 1986, as supplemented. The amount of additional bonds which may be issued is subject to certain restrictive provisions of the General Mortgage Indenture. The General Mortgage Indenture constitutes a mortgage lien on substantially all of the Company's utility plant and is junior to the lien of the First Mortgage. Upon retirement and/or maturity of the remaining outstanding First Mortgage Bonds, the General Mortgage Bonds will become first mortgage bonds.

The Company pledged General Mortgage Bonds in the amount of \$531 million to secure the outstanding \$453 million (including \$74 million classified as current maturities of long-term debt) and the unissued \$78 million of Medium-Term Notes as of December 31, 1993.

## Scheduled Maturities

The amount of long-term debt maturing in each of the next five years is as follows (in millions): 1994 - \$134.5; 1995 - \$30.0; 1996 - \$47.3; 1997 - \$0.8; and 1998 - \$61.9.

## 9. JOINTLY-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY PLANTS

The Company has joint ownership agreements with other utilities providing undivided interests in utility plants at December 31, 1993 as follows (in millions of dollars):

	Wolf Creek Unit	La Cygne Units	Iatan Unit
Company's share	47%	50%	70%
Utility plant in service	\$ 1,326	\$ 282	\$ 247
Estimated accumulated depreciation (Production plant only)	\$ 270	\$ 150	\$ 111
Nuclear fuel, net	\$ 30	-	-
Company's accredited capacity-mw	532	678	469

Each participant must provide its own financing. The Company's share of direct expenses is included in the corresponding operating expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

## 10. QUARTERLY OPERATING RESULTS (UNAUDITED)

	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
	(thousands)			
1993				
Operating revenues	\$191,380	\$208,323	\$256,919	\$ 200,828
Operating income	\$ 29,624	\$ 38,878	\$ 57,865	\$ 29,935
Net income	\$ 15,800	\$ 25,731	\$ 44,920	\$ 19,321
Earnings per common share	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.30
1992				
Operating revenues	\$180,022	\$196,505	\$229,425	\$ 196,716
Operating income	\$ 23,795	\$ 34,351	\$ 50,638	\$ 31,790
Net income	\$ 8,321	\$ 21,335	\$ 38,044	\$ 18,634
Earnings per common share	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.29

The business of the Company is subject to seasonal fluctuations with peak periods occurring during summer months. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for discussion of items affecting quarterly results.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors  
Kansas City Power & Light Company:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and statements of cumulative preferred stock and long-term debt of Kansas City Power & Light Company as of December 31, 1993 and 1992, and the related consolidated statements of income, retained earnings, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1993. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Kansas City Power & Light Company as of December 31, 1993 and 1992, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1993, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for incremental nuclear refueling outage costs in 1992.

COOPERS & LYBRAND

Kansas City, Missouri  
January 28, 1994

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION  
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

KILOWATT (KWH) SALES AND OPERATING REVENUES

Sales and revenue data:

	Increase (Decrease) From Prior Year			
	1993		1992	
	KWH	Revenues (Millions)	KWH	Revenues (Millions)
Retail sales:				
Residential	13 %	\$ 30	(12)%	\$ (33)
Commercial	3 %	9	(2)%	(4)
Industrial	3 %	3	6 %	3
Other	1 %	-	1 %	-
Total retail	6 %	42	(4)%	(34)
Sales for resale:				
Bulk power sales	27 %	13	51 %	12
Other	5 %	-	(6)%	-
Total operating revenues		\$ 55		\$ (22)

Although 1993 temperatures have been milder than normal, residential and commercial sales reflect closer to normal temperatures during 1993 compared to the abnormally mild weather of 1992 and warmer than normal weather of 1991. Based on the Company's records of cooling degree days above 65 degrees Fahrenheit, the summer of 1992 was the coolest since 1950. The weather conditions were the primary cause for the variances in residential and commercial sales although both 1993 and 1992 also reflect load growth. Industrial kwh sales continued to increase over prior years and reflect increased large customer usage in the steel, auto manufacturing, grain processing and plastic container production sectors.

Bulk power sales reflect an increase in the number of sales commitments, the Company's high unit and fuel availability, and the requirements of other electric systems.

Changes in total revenue per kwh are due to changes in the mix of kwh sales among customer classifications and the effect on certain classifications of declining price per kwh as kwh usage increases. Less than 1% of the Company's revenues are affected by an automatic fuel adjustment provision.

Tariffs have not changed materially since 1988. Effective January 1, 1994, Missouri jurisdictional retail rates were reduced 2.66%, or approximately \$12.5 million annually, primarily to reflect the end of the Missouri Public Service Commission (MPSC) rate phase-in amortization. This agreement with the MPSC and public counsel also includes a provision whereby none of the parties can file for a general increase or decrease in Missouri retail electric rates prior to January 1, 1996. Approximately two-thirds of total retail sales are from Missouri customers.

The level of future kwh sales will depend upon weather conditions, customer conservation efforts, competing fuel sources and the overall economy of the Company's service territory. Sales to industrial customers, such as steel and auto manufacturers, are also affected by the national economy. The level of bulk power sales in the future

will depend upon the availability of generating units, fuel costs, requirements of other electric systems and the Company's system requirements.

Also, issues facing the electric utility industry such as transmission access, demand-side management programs, increased competition and retention of large industrial customers could affect sales. Alternative sources of electricity, such as cogeneration, could affect the retention of, and future sales to large industrial customers.

#### COMPETITION

The National Energy Policy Act of 1992 gave the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) the authority to require electric utilities to provide wholesale transmission line access (wholesale wheeling) to independent power producers and other utilities. Amendments to the Public Utility Holding Company Act simplified the organization of exempt wholesale generators, who engage exclusively in generating electricity for wholesale markets. Although the Act prohibits FERC from ordering retail wheeling (allowing retail customers to select a different power producer and use the transmission facilities of the host utility to deliver the energy), the Act itself does not prevent the state commissions from doing so. The state commissions however, may be preempted by other provisions of the Federal Power Act. If retail wheeling were allowed, utilities with large industrial customers could face intense competition and potentially lose a major customer which could place an unfair, costly burden on the remaining customer base or shareholders.

The Company continues to evaluate the effects of competition on its operations and position itself for a more competitive marketplace. It has been participating in wholesale wheeling voluntarily and has tariffs in place to accommodate these activities. The Company has a diverse customer mix with less than 18% of total sales derived from industrial customers as compared to a utility average of approximately 35%. The Company's industrial rates are competitively priced compared to the regional average and its rate structure allows some flexibility in setting rates. In addition, Company sponsored programs help customers manage their electricity consumption, and control their costs.

#### FUEL, PURCHASED POWER, OTHER OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES

Wolf Creek completed its sixth scheduled refueling outage during 1993 and returned on-line after 73 days. The Company began accruing for this outage in January 1992 (see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of the 1992 change in accounting principle). The prior refueling outage began in 1991, before the Company started accruing for these costs, and extended into January 1992. Because these costs, as well as a forced outage in 1992, had not been accrued, all expenses associated with these outages were expensed as incurred. As a result, 1992 expenses associated with Wolf Creek outages (including amounts accrued beginning in January 1992) exceeded amounts expensed in 1993 by \$5.6 million (\$0.06 per share) and 1992 expenses were less than 1991 expenses by \$4.6 million (\$0.05 per share). The next refueling outage is scheduled to begin in September 1994.

Combined fuel and purchased power expenses for 1993 increased over 1992 and 1991 reflecting additional sales. Partially offsetting these increases, fuel prices and freight rates have gradually decreased since 1991.

Other operation expenses increased during 1993 and 1992 reflecting increased generating plant production expenses and higher levels of administrative and general expenses mostly due to increased wages and employee benefits, and the 1993 accrual of postretirement benefits (see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

The Company continues to place emphasis on cost control. Processes are being reviewed and changed to provide increased efficiencies and improved operations.

#### INCOME TAXES

The change in income tax expense is mostly due to the changes in income subject to tax, but 1993 also reflects an increase of approximately \$2 million in federal income tax expense because federal income tax rates increased.

#### GENERAL TAXES

Components of general taxes (in thousands):

	1993	1992	1991
Property taxes	\$ 45,545	\$ 44,300	\$ 38,803
Gross receipts taxes	40,659	39,232	41,223
Other general taxes	9,455	8,929	8,499
Total general taxes	\$ 95,659	\$ 92,461	\$ 88,525

Increases in property taxes since 1991 are primarily due to the Kansas school finance legislation. The Company estimates the effects of this legislation will increase future property taxes over 1993 levels by approximately \$1 million.

The majority of Missouri customers are billed gross receipts tax based on billed revenues.

#### OTHER INCOME AND DEDUCTIONS

Miscellaneous and Income Taxes - 1992 reflects gains from the sale of property and other contract settlements.

#### INTEREST CHARGES

Declines in long-term interest expense since 1991 reflect lower interest rates on variable rate debt and the retirement, repayment or refinancing of debt. The average interest rate paid on long-term debt including current maturities declined to 6.0% in 1993 compared to 6.6% in 1992 and 7.5% in 1991.

Declines in short-term interest expense reflect the decreasing interest rates since 1991 and a lower level of short-term debt outstanding during 1993. The average daily outstanding balance of short-term debt decreased to \$16 million in 1993 from \$60 million in 1992 and \$50 million in 1991.

#### PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDEND REQUIREMENTS

The 1992 decrease in the preferred stock dividend requirements compared to 1991 reflects the refinancing of higher rate preferred stock with variable rate preferred stock.



#### EARNINGS PER SHARE (EPS)

EPS for 1993 increased \$0.31 over 1992 and EPS for 1992 decreased \$0.23 from 1991.

The effects of weather increased 1993 EPS by approximately \$0.25 over 1992 and decreased 1992 EPS by approximately \$0.46 from 1991. Temperatures in 1993 were milder than normal, but closer to normal compared to the extremely mild weather in 1992 and warmer than normal weather of 1991. Based on a statistical relationship between sales and the differences in actual and normal temperatures for the year, the Company estimates the effects of abnormal weather for the last three years were as follows:

	1993	1992	1991
Estimated effects of abnormal weather on EPS	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.35)	\$ 0.11

In addition to the effects of abnormal weather on EPS, 1993 expenses associated with Wolf Creek outages (including outage accruals which began in January 1992) decreased from 1992 resulting in an increase in EPS of \$0.06. These same 1992 expenses decreased from 1991 causing an increase in 1992 EPS of \$0.05.

EPS for 1993 and 1992 reflect efforts of the Company to control costs despite increases in production expenses and general and administrative expenses. Also, since 1991, the Company has refinanced a significant portion of its long-term debt and preferred stock to take advantage of lower rates. EPS for 1992 also reflect gains from the sales of property and other contract settlements.

#### PROJECTED CONSTRUCTION EXPENDITURES

Construction expenditures, excluding AFDC, were \$129.2 million in 1993 and are projected for the next five years as follows:

	Construction Expenditures					Total
	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	
	(millions)					
Generating facilities	\$ 52.8	\$ 74.3	\$ 67.4	\$114.1	\$148.3	\$ 456.9
Nuclear fuel	19.3	20.7	8.1	21.0	25.7	94.8
Transmission facilities	11.1	10.6	8.5	8.7	8.8	47.7
Distribution and general facilities	70.4	53.7	52.9	52.9	54.5	284.4
Total	\$153.6	\$159.3	\$136.9	\$196.7	\$237.3	\$ 883.8

The Company's resource plan includes four new 146 megawatt (mw) gas-fired combustion turbines scheduled to be completed from 1998 through 2000. In addition, the plan envisions a new 705 mw (250 mw, Company's share) coal-fired generating unit scheduled to begin construction in 1997 and be completed by 2002. The projected construction expenditures include \$200.2 million of forecasted costs for these projects during the next five years. The Company's resource plan is subject to periodic review and modification. The next integrated resource plan will be submitted to the MPSC in July 1994.

#### WOLF CREEK

Wolf Creek is one of the Company's principal generating facilities representing approximately 17% of the Company's accredited generating capacity and 26% of the Company's annual kwh generation during the last three years, and has the lowest fuel cost of any of its generating facilities. The plant operated at 80%, 85% and 59% of capacity for 1993, 1992 and 1991, respectively. Wolf Creek's assets and operating expenses represent approximately 50% and 20% of the Company's total assets and operating expenses, respectively. Currently no major equipment replacements are anticipated and the Company estimates the cost of nuclear fuel per million BTU, after the next refueling in the fall of 1994, will increase from approximately 35% to 40% of the cost of coal. Based on contract prices and projected future spot market prices for nuclear fuel and coal, it is anticipated that by 1996 the cost of nuclear fuel will increase in relation to coal to be about one-half the cost of coal.

An extended shut-down of the unit could have a substantial adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations. Higher replacement power and other costs would be incurred as a result. Although not expected, an abnormal shut-down of the plant could be caused by adverse incidents at the plant or by actions of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission reacting to safety concerns at the plant or other similar nuclear facilities. If a long-term shut-down occurred, the state regulatory commissions could consider reducing rates by excluding Wolf Creek investment from rate base.

Ownership and operation of a nuclear generating unit exposes the Company to potential retroactive assessments and property losses in excess of insurance coverage. These risks are more fully discussed in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements-Commitments and Contingencies-Nuclear Liability and Insurance.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

The Company's policy is to act in an environmentally responsible manner and utilize the latest technological processes possible to avoid and treat contamination. The Company continually conducts environmental audits designed to assure compliance with governmental regulations and detect contamination. However, these regulations are constantly evolving; governmental bodies may impose additional or more rigid environmental regulations which could require substantial changes to the Company's operations or facilities.

See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements-Commitments and Contingencies-Environmental Matters-for discussion of costs of compliance with environmental laws and regulations and a potential liability (which the Company believes is not material to its financial condition or results of operations) for cleanup costs under the Federal Superfund law.

Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 contain two programs significantly affecting the utility industry. Based on the results of current studies, the Company estimates total capital expenditures needed to comply with existing and proposed acid rain program regulations will be \$4.1 million for the installation of continuous emission monitoring equipment. The Company has spent \$2.9 million as of December 31, 1993 and has included the remaining \$1.2 million in the five year projected construction expenditures. Future acid rain program regulations may require the Company to make further capital expenditures, but it is not possible to estimate those expenditures, if any. The other utility-related program calls for a study of certain air toxic

substances. Based on the outcome of this study, regulation of air toxic substances, including mercury, could be required. The Company cannot, at this time, predict the likelihood of any such regulations or compliance costs.

#### CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS AND LIQUIDITY

On January 3, 1994, Moody's Investors Service upgraded the credit rating of the Company's bonds due to an improved financial profile and low-cost operations. The Company's long-term debt was upgraded as follows: secured pollution control bonds to A1 from A2; general mortgage bonds-medium-term notes to A1 from A3; unsecured pollution control bonds to A2 from Baal; and, preferred stock to a2 from a3. In addition, in 1993 Standard & Poor's Corporation and Duff & Phelps upgraded the Company's General Mortgage Bonds as follows: Standard & Poor's from A- to A; and Duff & Phelps from A to A+. Improved ratings will make it less costly for the Company to raise funds when needed and will contribute to the Company's continued efforts to meet the challenge of increased competition in the utility industry.

The Company's capital structure at December 31, 1993 (including current maturities of long-term debt less special deposit for retirement of debt) consisted of 49.1% common stock equity, 5.1% preferred stock and 45.8% long-term debt. The Company's goal is to maintain a capital structure in which the percentages of common stock equity and long-term debt are approximately equal.

The Company currently estimates that it will be able to meet a significant portion of the projected construction expenditures with internally-generated funds. It is anticipated that funds for maturing debt through 1998 totaling \$274.5 million will be provided from operations, refinancings or short-term debt. As of December 31, 1993, the Company had \$78 million of registered but unissued Medium-Term Notes and \$149 million of unused bank lines of credit. Uncertainties which affect the degree to which these capital requirements will be met with funds provided from operations include such items as the effect of inflation on operating expenses, the level of kwh sales, regulatory actions, compliance with future environmental regulations, availability of the Company's generating units and the level of bulk power sales with other utilities.

The Company currently uses an accelerated depreciation method for tax purposes. The accelerated depreciation on the Wolf Creek plant has reduced the Company's tax payments during the last three years by approximately \$30 million per year. Accelerated depreciation on Wolf Creek ends in 1994.

See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements-Commitments and Contingencies-Tax Matters-for discussion of the Company's federal income tax returns for the years 1985 through 1990 which are presently under audit by the Internal Revenue Service.

In order to take advantage of the potential benefits inherent in a larger energy system, the Company might incur additional debt and/or issue additional equity to finance system growth or new growth opportunities, through business combinations or other investments such as an exempt wholesale generator.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

/s/Samuel P. Cowley  
(Samuel P. Cowley)  
Senior Vice President-Corporate Affairs  
and Chief Legal Officer

Date: February 11, 1994