SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Amendment No. 42 to

SCHEDULE 14D-9

Solicitation/Recommendation Statement Pursuant to Section 14(d)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY (Name of Subject Company)

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY (Name of Person Filing Statement)

Common Stock, no par value (Title of Class of Securities)

485134100 (CUSIP Number of Class of Securities)

Jeanie Sell Latz, Esq. Senior Vice President-Corporate Services Kansas City Power & Light Company 1201 Walnut Kansas City, Missouri 64106-2124 (816) 556-2200 (Name, address and telephone number of person authorized to receive notice and communications on behalf of the person filing statement)

Copy to:

Nancy A. Lieberman, Esq. Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom 919 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 (212) 735-3000

This statement amends and supplements the Solicitation/Recommendation Statement on Schedule 14D-9 of Kansas City Power & Light Company, a Missouri corporation ("KCPL"), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") on July 9, 1996, as amended, (the "Schedule 14D-9"), with respect to the exchange offer made by Western Resources, Inc., a Kansas corporation ("Western Resources"), to exchange Western Resources common stock, par value \$5.00 per share, for all of the outstanding shares of KCPL common stock, no par value ("KCPL Common Stock"), on the terms and conditions set forth in the prospectus of Western Resources dated July 3, 1996 and the related Letter of Transmittal.

Capitalized terms used and not defined herein shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Schedule 14D-9.

Item 9. Material to be Filed as Exhibits.

The following Exhibits are filed herewith:

Exhibit 117 Memorandum distributed by KCPL to analysts on October 2, 1996.

Exhibit 118 Press release issued by KCPL on October 2, 1996.

SIGNATURE

After reasonable inquiry and to the best of her knowledge and belief, the undersigned certifies that the information set forth in this Statement is true, complete and correct.

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By: /s/Jeanie Sell Latz Jeanie Sell Latz Senior Vice President-Corporate Services

Dated: October 2, 1996

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description	Page
Exhibit 117	Memorandum distributed by KCPL to analysts on October 2, 1996.	
Exhibit 118	Press release issued by KCPL on October 2, 1996.	
	Exhibit 11	.7

October 2, 1996

TO: Analysts and Financial Community

FROM: John DeStefano, Chief Financial Officer

RE: Kansas Corporation Commission intervenes and protests Western Resources' FERC filing to merge with Kansas City Power & Light

The Kansas Corporation Commission (KCC), Western Resources' primary regulatory agency, has echoed KCPL's concerns regarding a merger with Western. In a September 30, 1996 filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), the KCC exhibited skepticism about Western's prospects for success.

The detailed filing included several substantive reasons for the KCC's intervention and protest:

- - There has been no shareholder approval of a Western merger.

- - The KCC questions Western's cost savings estimates and merger benefits.

- - Western needs to prove the benefits/cost savings of joint dispatch, load diversity and fuel procurement can be achieved only through a merger with KCPL.

THERE HAS BEEN NO SHAREHOLDER APPROVAL OF A WESTERN MERGER.

"The absence of shareholder approval in this case makes all assertions about this merger speculative."

" The public is not served by using scarce regulatory resources this way."

"What...Western presents is a merger theory, not a merger plan."

THE KCC QUESTIONS WESTERN'S COST SAVINGS ESTIMATES AND MERGER $\ensuremath{\mathsf{BENEFITS}}$

"[Western's synergy consultant's] assertions are too general to be accepted at face value. [His] assertions of cost reductions are not the product of an internal corporate plan...no such plan exists."

"The numbers on savings appear to be based on [Western's synergy consultant's] standard model. Whether the results of that model can be implemented in practice is unknown...."

WESTERN NEEDS TO PROVE THE BENEFITS/COST SAVINGS OF JOINT DISPATCH, LOAD DIVERSITY AND FUEL PROCUREMENT CAN BE ACHIEVED ONLY THROUGH A MERGER WITH KCPL.

Western has indicated it could reduce coal costs by utilizing some of KCPL's fuel procurement capabilities. "The improvement should not be attributed to the merger if it is a matter of management improvement."

"If there are savings available from joint dispatch, KCPL and Western ... should be achieving them without a merger.

"The benefits of load diversity can be achieved in a variety of ways [Western] describes only that method which is consistent with the company's strategic objective: merging with KCPL."

SUMMARY

The KCC has reiterated KCPL's concerns regarding a Western/KCPL combination. KCPL questions Western's assumptions about cost-savings and believes Western could achieve many of its purported merger savings on its own. We also believe Western has several serious financial and operational issues that must be addressed. These issues continue to undermine Western's ability to deliver its promised dividend or share price to KCPL's shareholders.

KCPL strongly recommends that shareholders do not tender shares to Western. While the Board is reviewing all its options, KCPL is proceeding with the implementation of its strategic business plan to continue building revenue, income and share value. [Text of press release issued by KCPL on October 2, 1996]

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Media Contacts: Pam Levetzow 816 / 556-2926 Phyllis Desbien 816 / 556-2903 Investor Contact: David Myers 816 / 556-2312

Joel Frank/Dan Katcher Abernathy MacGregor Group 212 / 371-5999

KCC ECHOES KCPL'S CONCERNS WITH A WESTERN MERGER

KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI (OCTOBER 2, 1996) -- The Kansas Corporation Commission (KCC), Western Resources' primary regulatory agency, has echoed KCPL's concerns regarding a merger with Western. In a September 30, 1996 filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), the KCC exhibited skepticism about Western's prospects for success.

In a letter to analysts and the financial community, KCPL summarized several substantive reasons for the KCC's intervention and protest:

- - THERE HAS BEEN NO SHAREHOLDER APPROVAL OF A WESTERN MERGER. "The absence of shareholder approval in this case makes all assertions about this merger speculative."
- THE KCC QUESTIONS WESTERN'S COST SAVINGS ESTIMATES AND MERGER BENEFITS.
 "The numbers on savings appear to be based on [Western's synergy consultant's] standard model. Whether the results of that model can be implemented in practice is unknown...."
- - WESTERN NEEDS TO PROVE THE BENEFITS/COST SAVINGS OF JOINT DISPATCH, LOAD DIVERSITY AND FUEL PROCUREMENT CAN BE ACHIEVED ONLY THROUGH A MERGER WITH KCPL.

The KCC has reiterated KCPL's concerns regarding a Western/KCPL combination. KCPL questions Western's assumptions about cost-savings and believes Western could achieve many of its purported merger savings on its own. We also believe Western has several serious financial and operational issues that must be addressed. These issues continue to undermine Western's ability to deliver its promised dividend or share price to KCPL's shareholders.

KCPL strongly recommends that shareholders do not tender shares to Western. While the Board is reviewing all its options, KCPL is proceeding with the implementation of its strategic business plan to continue building revenue, income and share value.