

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of  
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant / /  
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant /X/

Check the appropriate box:  
/ / Preliminary Proxy Statement  
/ / Definitive Proxy Statement  
/X/ Definitive Additional Materials  
/ / Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-11(c) or  
or Rule 14a-12

KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

-----  
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

WESTERN RESOURCES, INC.

-----  
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

/ / \$125 per Exchange Act Rules 0-11(c)(1)(ii), 14a-6(I)(1), or 14a-6(I)(2)  
/ / \$500 per each party to the controversy pursuant to Exchange Act  
Rule 14a-6(I)(3)  
/ / Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(I)(4)  
and 0-11

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:  
-----

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:  
-----

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction  
computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11:\*  
-----

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:  
-----

Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it  
was determined.

/ / Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act  
Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was  
paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement  
number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:  
-----

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:  
-----

3) Filing Party:  
-----

4) Date Filed:  
-----

/x/ Filing fee paid with preliminary filing.

The following analysts' quotes were released to reporters on June 18, 1996:

Analyst Quotes and References

"This is put-up-or-shut-up time, and Western Resources just put up . . . If  
you want to clinch a deal, you have to make an offer that the other side can't  
match."

Edward Tirello  
NatWest Securities  
Wichita Eagle, June 18, 1996

"From KCPL's point of view, it's going to be hard to convince shareholders why  
they need to turn this offer down. It's clearly more competitive than what  
UtiliCorp has on the table. I don't know if it makes a lot of sense" [for  
UtiliCorp to sweeten its bid.]

Satyam Mallick  
Duff & Phelps Credit Rating Co  
Wall Street Journal, June 18, 1996

"This is a very generous offer. I think it will be difficult for the board to reject the offer so quickly again."

Barry Abramson  
Prudential Securities  
New York Times, June 18, 1996

"It will put pressure on the Kansas City Power & Light and UtiliCorp group to come up with something better. I just don't know if there's a whole lot on the table for them to do."

Michael Worms  
CS First Boston  
Bloomberg News Wire, June 17, 1996

We believe that shareholders should vote against the UCU merger and therefore for the WR merger.

Michael Worms  
CS First Boston  
Equity Research Report, June 18, 1996

"I would suspect that they (KCPL's board) would have to give this serious consideration. It certainly is a generous price relative to what the merger with UtiliCorp would be. I think they [Western Resources] will succeed.

Ray Moore  
Dillon Reed  
Topeka Capital-Journal, June 18, 1996

"The board of directors of Kansas City Power & Light have no choice but to sit down and talk. I mean, this bid is \$4 higher than the price of the stock."

Edward Tirello Jr.  
NatWest Securities  
Kansas City Star, June 18, 1996

"If you don't know what to do about it now, somebody should come and take the checkbook out of your hands."

Dan Rudakas  
Everen Securities  
Wichita Eagle, June 18, 1996

"It will be tough for them to ignore, no question about it. It's a significantly better offer."

Michael Worms  
CS First Boston  
Wichita Eagle, June 18, 1996

"The ball is definitely in UtiliCorp's court. This is enough of a premium that (UtiliCorp) will have to come back with a very good offer or an excellent rationale as to why the KCP&L shareholders should stick with them."

Dennis Hudson  
George K. Baum & Co.  
Kansas City Star, June 18, 1996

"[Although Kansas City Power would buy UtiliCorp,] it's still perceived as UtiliCorp's deal. Their (UtiliCorp's) balance sheet is pretty wasted. Kansas City Power and Light has a strong balance sheet."

Raymond Moore  
Dillion Reed  
Bloomberg Wire, June 17, 1996

"The Kansas City board has no option but to sit down and talk to (Wester). Shareholders will bring lawsuits if they don't."

Edward Tirello  
NatWest  
Bloomberg Wire, June 17, 1996

Western Resources has filed exchange offer materials with the Securities and Exchange Commission and intends to make its offer directly to shareholders of KCPL as soon as its registration statement has been declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

A registration statement relating to the Western Resources securities referred to in these materials has been filed with the Securities and Exchange

Commission but has not yet become effective. Such securities may not be sold nor may offers to buy be accepted prior to the time the registration statement becomes effective. These materials shall not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy nor shall there be any sale of these securities in any state in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful prior to registration or qualification under the securities laws of any such state.

The following broker handout was released on June 17, 1996:

Top 10 Update on the Western Resources proposed offer for KCPL

WESTERN RESOURCES BELIEVES:

1. Our offer provides superior dividend enhancement for each KLT share.[1] [2]

WR Offer \$2.00 - \$2.35

UCU/KLT "intention to recommend" a post-merger dividend of \$1.85

2. Our offer provides superior market value for each KLT share.

KLT Price \$23.875 [3] \$25.875 [4]

WR Offer 31.00 31.00

WR Premium to KLT 30% 20%

3. WR has a stronger balance sheet and credit rating. [5]

Western is rated A-/A3

UtiliCorp is rated BBB/Baa3

4. WR has a better track record than UtiliCorp.

Total shareholder returns (dividends and capital appreciation, through 12/31/95) 50% greater than UtiliCorp since the WR KPL/KGE merger in March of 1992

5. Our offer has far greater projected merger savings.

WR/KLT savings (10 years) \$1,043 million

UCU/KLT savings (10 years) 636 million

WR/KLT % greater savings 64%

6. Our offer is better for customers.

WR plan offers rate reductions of \$80 million to KLT Kansas customers in first 10 years (30% more than the UCU/KLT plan)

WR plan offers rate reductions of \$100 million for WR's KGE customers in first 10 years

WR will honor the rate settlement KLT reached for customers in Missouri providing \$200 million rate reductions in the first 10 years

7. Our offer requires fewer regulatory approvals.

Western needs approval or review from just two states (Kansas & Missouri), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), Hart-Scott-Rodino, and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). UtiliCorp needs approvals from the FERC, Hart-Scott-Rodino, NRC, seven states and three foreign countries.

8. Our offer is employee friendly.

Western has committed to no lay-offs UCU/KLT have made no such commitment

9. WR has demonstrated success with large mergers.

Western's KPL/KGE merger in 1992 was precedent setting and achieved its objectives.

10. Vote AGAINST the UCU/KLT revised proposal on the GOLD proxy card.

For questions, call Rick Kready, Western Resources' director of investor relations: 913-575-8226 or call Georgeson Company, Inc. 1-800-223-2064.

[1] Dividend at closing based on 1998 post-merger Western Resources projected annual dividend of \$2.14 per share; and, announcement by UCU/KLT of intent to recommend a dividend of \$1.85 following the close of the proposed UCU/KLT combination.

[2] Subject to exchange ratio of 0.933 - 1.100 Western Resources shares for each KLT share.

[3] Market price on last trading day before WR's original offer (4/12/96).

[4] Market price on last trading day before WR's revised offer (6/14/96).

[5] WR has been put on credit watch with negative implication. WR believes it is typical for companies to be placed on credit watch following a merger offer.

Western Resources has filed exchange offer materials with the Securities and Exchange Commission and intends to make its offer directly to shareholders of KCPL as soon as its registration statement has been declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

A registration statement relating to the Western Resources securities referred to in these materials has been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission but has not yet become effective. Such securities may not be sold nor may offers to buy be accepted prior to the time the registration statement

becomes effective. These materials shall not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy nor shall there be any sale of these securities in any state in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful prior to registration or qualification under the securities laws of any such state.

[logo]  
Western Resources